City of Lomita 6th Cycle Housing Element Update (2021-2029)



October 2021 Revised Draft

Contents

City of Lomita	1
6 th Cycle Housing Element Update	1
Housing Element	1
Introduction	1
Housing Element Organization	2
Housing Element Content	2
Appendices	2
General Plan Consistency	3
Goals and Policies	4
Goal 1: A housing stock that is preserved and well-maintained	4
Goal 2: Sufficient housing construction which provides a variety of housing types	4
Goal 3: A City with adequate sites for the development of housing	5
Goal 4: A community built on equity and inclusion.	5
Program Implementation	5

Housing Element

Introduction

Lomita is faced with various important housing issues that include a balance between employment and housing opportunities, a match between the supply of and demand for housing, preserving and enhancing affordability for all segments of the population, preserving the quality of the housing stock, and providing new types of housing to support growth and the changing population. This Housing Element provides policies and programs to address these issues.

The Housing Element of the General Plan addresses the comprehensive housing needs in the City of Lomita for the 8-year planning period (2021–2029). It provides an analysis of the local housing needs for all income levels, details barriers to providing needed housing, and identifies a set of strategies for meeting the housing need within the planning period. Housing Elements are one of seven required components of a General Plan and are guided by State law, which requires all local governments to update their Housing Elements every 8 years. This is the 6th update to the City of Lomita (City) Housing Element (6th cycle).

Recent amendments to housing and planning laws aim to address California's housing shortage, placing a substantial number of new requirements for the 6th cycle Housing Element. Housing in California has become some of the most expensive in the nation, ranking 49th out of 50 states in homeownership rates as well as the supply of housing per capita. Only half of California's households are able to afford the cost of housing in their local regions and every county and city across the State is required by law to adequately plan for their fair share of needed housing.

The City must adequately plan for its existing and projected housing needs, including its share of the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA), as identified by the State with input from Southern California Association of Governments and local cities and counties. In order for the private market to adequately address housing needs, the City must adopt land use plans and regulatory systems that provide opportunities for, and do not unduly constrain, housing The City's 6th cycle RHNA targets are broken down by income level as follows:

- Very-Low Income = 239 units
- Low Income = 124 units
- Moderate Income = 128 units
- Above Moderate Income = 338 units
- Total = 829

The Housing Element is a strategic vision and policy guide designed to help address the comprehensive housing needs of the City over an 8-year period (2021 – 2029 planning period). It defines the City's housing needs, identifies the barriers or constraints to providing needed housing, and provides policies to address these housing needs and constraints. development. The State requires each local government to demonstrate where housing can reasonably be expected to be added within this cycle and how the City will facilitate and incentivize its production. As identified by the 6th Cycle RHNA, the City must plan for 829 housing units, which are further broken down by income level.

Housing Element Organization

The Housing Element identifies goals, policies, and programs to comprehensively address the housing needs of all current and anticipated residents at all income levels over the upcoming housing period between 2021 through 2029. The Housing Element is divided into chapters and supporting documentation is included as appendices of the Housing Element.

Housing Element Content

- **Introduction** provides an overview of the Housing Element, its relationship to State law, the City's RHNA, and this section on the plan organization.
- **General Plan Consistency** details those policies identified throughout the elements of the General Plan that guided the policies set forth in the Housing Element to ensure that consistency is maintained throughout the General Plan.
- **Goals and Policies** specifies the City's plans for meeting the existing and projected comprehensive housing needs of Lomita.
- **Program Implementation** identifies the specific actions that will be implemented to ensure that Lomita's housing needs are met within the planning period.

Appendices

- **Appendix A 5th Cycle Review** evaluates the efficacy of the 5th cycle housing element; the progress in plan implementation; and the appropriateness of the goals, policies, and programs.
- **Appendix B Needs Assessment** provides detailed information on Lomita's demographic characteristics and trends that influence supply and demand of various housing types.
- **Appendix C Constraints and Zoning Analysis** details governmental and nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing for all income levels.
- Appendix D Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Analysis identifies disproportionate housing needs, including segregated living patterns,

concentrated areas of poverty, disparities in access to opportunity, and displacement risk.

- **Appendix E Sites Analysis** describes the methodology by which the City can accommodate their RHNA targets, how any unmet need will be met, and provides an inventory of the sites identified to meet the housing need.
- **Appendix F Outreach Summary** details the public outreach conducted for this Housing Element update and the questions, comments, and issues addressed.

General Plan Consistency

The elements that comprise the City's General Plan are required by State law to be internally consistent. Together these elements provide the framework for the development of facilities, services, and land uses necessary to address the needs and desires of the City's stakeholders. To ensure that these needs are clearly addressed throughout the General Plan, the elements must be interrelated and interdependent.

The City's General Plan was adopted in 1998 and while the plan is more than 20 years old, its analysis and policies remain relevant. The General Plan identified that Lomita was almost completely developed and that the remaining vacant land was limited to scattered parcels. This observation is especially apparent today, as Lomita has few remaining vacant parcels and future development will take place through converting lower-density or commercial uses to higher-densities and mixed uses.

This Housing Element is most directly related to the Land Use Element, since it is the Land Use Element that designates the location and extent of residential development throughout Lomita. This Housing Element is internally consistent with the goals and policies of the other elements of the General Plan. Specifically, the General Plan and the Housing Element are both guided by the following relevant principles.

- Promote an orderly pattern of development.
- Provide for a variety of housing opportunities.
- Provide adequate public services and facilities.
- Allow moderate- and high-density land uses in areas capable of supporting such uses.
- Promote and support revitalization within Lomita's commercial districts.
- Encourage a balance of land uses to meet the needs or residents.

While the goals and policies of the Housing Element are consistent with those set forth in the General Plan, the Housing Element includes a program to rezone properties to meet the RHNA, if this program creates inconsistencies with those densities identified by the land use designation, such changes will be incorporated into the General Plan Land Use Element, to

ensure that General Plan consistency is maintained. The City will review the elements of the General Plan upon any subsequent amendment to the other elements of the General Plan, to ensure internal consistency is maintained. Adoption of this Housing Element will also trigger revision of the City's Safety Element since the State has established new requirements to identify information on fire hazards, flood hazards, and climate adaptation and resiliency strategies applicable to the city.

Goals and Policies

A sound basis for any plan of action is a set of well-defined goals and policies to express the desires and aspirations of the community. The City has established the following housing goals and accompanying policies:

Goal 1: A housing stock that is preserved and well-maintained.

The City will remain committed to those efforts designed to preserve and maintain the existing housing resources in Lomita, including affordable housing, with the implementation of the following policies.

Policy 1.1: Protect public health and safety through the repair and maintenance of the existing housing stock.

Policy 1.2: Preserve and rehabilitate housing for lower-income households.

Policy 1.3: Invest in lower-income neighborhoods and in areas with affordable housing, while preventing displacement.

Goal 2: Sufficient housing construction which provides a variety of housing types.

The following Housing Element policies underscore the City's commitment in continuing assistance in the development of new housing for all income groups.

Policy 2.1: Support programs and incentives that expand housing options, especially for lower-income households and those experiencing homelessness.

Policy 2.2: Support periodic review and modifications to City ordinances, policies, and programs intended to address a variety of housing types.

Policy 2.3: Prioritize infrastructure investments that serve areas with increased densities and where there is existing or planned affordable housing development.

Policy 2.4: Promote and encourage innovation and creativity in housing development through regulations that increase transparency and flexibility in the development approval process.

Policy 2.5: Explore opportunities to offset the cost of infrastructure required of new development through the reduction of regulatory barriers, while ensuring that the costs of needed infrastructure is not unduly burdened by the community.

Policy 2.6: Identify opportunities to conserve energy through the incentivization of energy saving materials in housing development and facilitation of infill development through opportunities in areas with existing resources.

Goal 3: A City with adequate sites for the development of housing.

The City will remain committed to the identification of prospective development sites for a continued variety and diversity of new housing types at all income levels, as indicated by the following Housing Element policies.

Policy 3.1: Prioritize the identification of sites and zones to accommodate Lomita's fair share of the existing and future housing needs.

Policy 3.2: Monitor development activity and rezone sites as necessary to ensure no net loss of housing capacity throughout the planning cycle.

Policy 3.3: Engage in planning processes that identify opportunities for increased housing capacity.

Goal 4: A community built on equity and inclusion.

The following policies indicate the City's continued commitment to affirmatively furthering fair housing and advancement in equity and inclusion through equal housing opportunities within Lomita.

Policy 4.1: Continue to promote equitable and fair housing opportunities for all persons.

Policy 4.2: Oppose prejudices, practices, and market behavior that results in housing discrimination and segregation.

Policy 4.3: Continue collaboration with other public agencies involved in the enforcement of laws aimed at promoting equitable access to housing (fair housing laws) and non-discrimination.

Policy 4.4: Expand opportunities for housing access and homeownership for lower-income households and those with special needs.

Program Implementation

Program 1: Housing Rehabilitation Grant Program

The City's Community Development Block Grant Rehabilitation Grant Program is restricted to lower income homeowners (including extremely low-income homeowners) who meet the current HUD income guidelines. The program assists with the rehabilitation of owneroccupied single-family homes and mobile homes. The funds are primarily used for the correction of building safety and health code violations and correction of hazardous structural conditions.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	Provide rehabilitation assistance to 5 households annually throughout the 2021-2029 planning period.
Responsible Agency	General Administration
Funding Sources	Community Development Block Grant
Relevant Policies	1.1, 1.2, 1.3

Program 2: Code Enforcement

The City implements a code enforcement program that assists property owners in addressing both building and zoning code violations. This program is conducted on a citywide basis in response to complaints or observation of blighting or unsafe conditions. The most common residential violations addressed include overgrown vegetation, illegal dumping or improper waste container storage, and work without permits.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Track and monitor code enforcement cases as complaints are submitted. Conduct outreach to property owners with possible violations through direct contact and inform these households of steps to correction of violations. Assist 80 households to correct and close violation cases annually.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department/ Code Enforcement Division
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	1.1, 1.2, 1.3

Program 3: Mobile Home Park Regulations

The City will adopt an ordinance detailing regulations and procedures for any proposed conversion of an existing mobile home park to mitigate the adverse impacts on the housing supply, lower-income households, and on displaced persons. These procedures will require the park owner to develop a replacement and relocation plan, to adequately mitigate the impact of the closure or conversion upon the displaced residents; will provide certain rights and benefits to displaced tenants; will require relocation assistance whenever an existing mobile home park or portion thereof is converted to another use, and will require replacement of any lost units, consistent with the requirements of the Housing Crisis Act of

2019 (SB 330, 2019). Further, the City will provide guidance on the analysis of any recreational vehicles and mobile home trailers lost through redevelopment.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	Amend Mobile Home Park Regulations to ensure consistency with SB 330, 2019 by Fall of 2024.	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	1.1, 1.3, 4.2	

Program 4: Lower Income Housing Preservation

The City will continue to work with local non-profit agencies and other entities to ensure the continued availability of affordable housing developments in Lomita. The City will work towards maintaining the rent restrictions of at-risk developments by monitoring any changes in ownership, management, and status of deed-restrictions.

The agreement for Lomita Kiwanis Gardens development assistance is set to expire in 2027. This Section 8 property is governed under a Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) contract between HUD and the landlord. HAP contracts generally last between 5 and 20 years. This property has been identified as a low-risk of expiration because the likeliness that the contract with HUD will be renewed is extremely high, as this property has been owned and maintained as an affordable housing development by the non-profit Retirement Housing Foundation since 1985. The City will contact Retirement Housing Foundation to discuss strategies for preserving the affordability for Lomita Kiwanis Gardens.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Contact the Retirement Housing Foundation to identify a strategy for ongoing preservation of affordability for the Lomita Kiwanis Gardens by Fall of 2026. Provide information on priority Section 8 assistance through the Los Angeles County Housing Authority, should Section 8 contracts expire or in the event of a change in property ownership.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	• General
Relevant Policies	• 1.1, 1.2, 1.3

The following affordable housing developments are at risk of conversion, with affordability expiring in 2027:

Name	Address	Lower Income Units	Funding Source	Owner	Affordability Expiration
Lomita Kiwanis Garden	25109 Ebony Lane	67	HUD	Retirement Housing Foundation	2027

Program 5: Replacement Requirements

The City will mandate replacement requirements consistent with the Housing Crisis Act of 2019 for proposed housing developments on sites that currently have residential uses, or within the past 5 years have had residential uses that have been vacated or demolished, that are or were subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents to levels affordable to persons and families of low or very low income, subject to any other form of rent or price control, or occupied by low- or very low-income households.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Amend staff procedures related to the review and issuance of demolition and development permits by Spring 2022. Enforce replacement requirements in accordance with Government Code Section 66300 throughout and beyond the planning period.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 4.1, 4.2

Program 6: Accessory Dwelling Units

The City will review and amend the zoning code to permit Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADU) in all zones that permit residential uses, consistent with State law. Further, the City will develop and adopt a program that incentivizes and promotes the creation of ADUs that can be offered at an affordable rent for very low, low, or moderate-income households.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Adopt an amendment to the City's zoning code, consistent with or less restrictive than State requirements by Spring 2023. Develop and adopt an affordable ADU incentive program by Spring 2023.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 2.4,

Program 7: Incentives and Regulations

The City will evaluate a range of incentive-based and regulatory approaches to facilitate the development of housing for lower-income households. This will include updates to local implementation on the State's Density Bonus program, as well as evaluation of a floor area ratio-based bonuses, bonuses in exchange for infrastructure, increased opportunities for expedited permit processing, and increased use of ministerial processing for a variety of housing types.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Amend the Density Bonus ordinance to ensure consistency with State law, including the provision of a bonus for student affordable housing, senior housing, and 100% affordable developments by Spring 2023. Identify opportunities to improve development regulations intended to incentivize affordable and mixed-income housing development through regulatory inducements such as incentive zoning paired with objective development standards or process incentives such as technical assistance in exchange for affordable housing no later than Fall 2023. Annually review regulations to identify opportunities to incentivize and reduce barriers to housing production and ensure ongoing consistency with State laws. Continue to work with developers through one-on-one consultations, especially affordable housing developers, to identify process improvements to City procedures. 	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	

Program 8: Low Barrier Navigation Centers

Low-Barrier Navigation Centers are housing first, low-barrier, service-enriched shelters focused on moving people into permanent housing that provides temporary living facilities while case managers connect individuals experiencing homelessness to income, public benefits, health services, shelter, and housing. The City will amend the zoning code to permit the development of Low Barrier Navigation Centers as a use by-right, without requiring a discretionary action, in mixed-use and non-residential zones that permit residential uses.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	Amend the zoning code, consistent with State requirements by Spring 2023.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2

Program 9: Supportive Housing

The City will amend the zoning code to allow supportive housing by-right in zones where multifamily and mixed uses are permitted, including nonresidential zones permitting multifamily uses. Supportive housing shall be permitted in accordance with California Government Code section 65651.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	Amend the zoning code, consistent with State requirements by Spring 2023.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2

Program 10: Affordable Housing Streamlining

The City provides an affordable housing streamlined approval process in accordance with State requirements for qualifying development proposals and reports on affordable housing streamlining applications in the Housing Element Annual Progress Report. The City will amend their internal procedures to include SB 35 streamlining in staff permitting process procedures.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Continue to provide SB 35 streamlining to qualifying projects throughout the planning period. Amend the zoning code and staff procedures, consistent with State requirements by Spring 2023.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 4.1

Program 11: Objective Design Standards

The City will increase transparency and certainty in the development process through objective design standards. Objective design standards increase transparency and certainty in the development process by excluding personal or subjective judgement and instead using clear and measurable standards. Any new design standards developed and imposed by the City shall be objective without involvement of personal or subjective judgement by a public official and shall be uniformly verifiable by reference to the City's regulations in accordance with the Housing Crisis Act of 2019 (SB 330). As a part of the rezoning program, the City will develop objective standards to help facilitate quality design paired with the increase in density.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Ongoing monitoring of zoning code amendments to ensure any new design standards are objective. Pair rezone program (Program 14) with objective standards by Fall 2024.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.2, 2.4, 2.5

Program 12: Lot Consolidation

The majority of the parcels within Lomita are smaller in size. To facilitate housing development eligible for a density bonus, in accordance with Government Code section 65915, the City currently offers incentives to reduce the commercial requirement within the City's Mixed Use Overlay from 30% to a minimum of 10% in exchange for lot consolidation. Deviations from mixed-use development standards, including the 30% commercial requirement. may be considered through the conditional use permit process.

To further incentivize lot consolidation supportive of housing production, especially for lower-income households, the City will amend the zoning code to provide additional lot consolidation incentives to qualifying sites identified in the Sites Inventory Form. The City may establish separate Mixed Use Overlay standards for the Narbonne Avenue and Lomita Boulevards corridors. Incentives may include a menu of options such as: reductions to required setbacks, an increase in maximum allowed height and density, and a decrease in minimum dwelling unit size.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	Amend the zoning code to enhance lot consolidation incentives by Spring 2023.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6

Program 13: Zoning Revisions for Special Needs Housing

The City will amend the zoning code to permit a variety of housing types consistent with State law. This includes permitting Emergency Shelters as a use by-right, without requiring a discretionary action, in the M-C zone; permitting transitional housing subject to only those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zone; calculating Emergency Shelter parking requirements based on the demonstrated need to accommodate staff; permitting employee housing providing accommodations for six or fewer employees by the same process by which single-family residences are permitted in the same zones; permitting large residential care facilities in the Mixed Use Overlay and commercial zones; and defining and reducing development standards for Senior Planned Units Developments.

Further, to clarify the reasonable accommodation process and provide changes which may be necessary for a person with a disability to use an enjoy a dwelling, the City will amend the reasonable accommodation procedures to clarify the difference between a major and a minor accommodation.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	Implement the following Zoning Code amendments by Spring 2023:
	• Amend the zoning code to remove discretionary permit requirements for Emergency Shelters in the M- C zone
	• Amend the zoning code to consider transitional as a residential use of property and to permit transitional housing in the same manner as other residential uses in the same zone
	• Permit employee and farmworker housing in accordance with the Health and Safety Code Sections 17021.5 and 17021.6 and the Employee Housing Act.
	• Amend the zoning code to provide a process by which large residential care facilities with seven or more residents may be developed in commercial and mixed-use zones.
	• Reduce development standards for Senior Planned Unit Developments through the adoption of a reduction in parking requirements and a reduction in minimum unit size requirements.
	• Amend Reasonable Accommodation procedures to define major and minor accommodations.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 4.1

Program 14: Rezone Program

To facilitate the development of multifamily housing affordable to lower-income households, especially in areas with access to resources and opportunity, the City will rezone to accommodate the shortfall for the lower-income RHNA; rezone to accommodate the remaining moderate and above moderate income RHNA need; and rezone to create a buffer of capacity for the lower and moderate income RHNA as described below:

Shortfall Rezone:

The City will increase the allowable density within the existing Mixed Use Overlay to permit up to 30 dwelling units per acre with a minimum density of 20 dwelling units per acre, allowing

exclusively residential uses and requiring that at least 50 percent of the building floor area be dedicated to residential uses. This rezone will occur no later than October 15, 2024. Rezoned sites that are adequate for accommodating the lower-income RHNA will permit owner-occupied and rental multifamily uses by right pursuant to Government Code section 65583.2(i) for developments in which 20% or more of the units are affordable to lower income households and will be selected from site groups A through Y, as identified in Table B of the Sites Inventory Form , will be suitable, have the capacity for at least 16 units, and will be available for development in the planning period where water and sewer can be provided.

Remaining Moderate and Above Moderate Need Rezone:

As described above, the City will rezone to increase the allowable density within the existing Mixed Use Overlay to permit up to 30 dwelling units per acre. Non-vacant sites used in the 5th Cycle Housing Element and vacant sites used in the 4th and 5th cycle Housing Elements will permit owner-occupied and rental multifamily uses by right pursuant to Government Code section 65583.2(i) for developments in which 20% of more of the units are affordable to lower income households and will be selected from the sites identified in Table B of the Sites Inventory Form.

Buffer Rezone:

Additionally, to further expand housing opportunities for within resourced areas, the City will extend the Mixed Use Overlay to additional sites, not already designated as mixed use. This extension will provide a buffer of housing capacity to ensure that adequate capacity remains to accommodate the RHNA throughout the planning period. Further, the City will reevaluate consistency with the General Plan and amend the General Plan, as necessary, concurrent with the rezone to ensure continued consistency.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 The rezone will occur no later than October 15, 2024 Evaluate for General Plan consistency and amend General Plan as necessary, concurrent with the rezone. Rezone sites selected from sites A-Y as identified in Table B of the Sites Inventory Form.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.6, 3.1, 3.3

Program 15: Supporting Low Density

To increase opportunities for homeownership, the City will identify objective design standards and create a ministerial process by which a single-family or agriculture zoned lot can be subdivided to accommodate additional single-family units. This will maintain the City's existing single-family character while creating new options for those entering the housing market and increasing opportunities for fee-simple type of ownership. (SB 9, 2022)

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Identify opportunities to reduce lot size minimums, allow for flag lot configuration and maximum floor area ratios by Spring 2023. Amend the Zoning Code to allow for a process to ministerially permit lot splits for additional single-family units by Sumer 2026.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 3.3

Program 16: No Net Loss

The City will monitor development activity, proposed rezones, and identified capacity to ensure adequate remaining capacity is available to meet any remaining unmet share of the RHNA for all income levels throughout the entirety of the planning cycle, consistent with nonet-loss requirements.

If at any time during the planning period, a development project results in fewer units by income category than identified in the sites inventory (Appendix E) for that parcel and the City cannot find that the remaining sites in the housing element are adequate to accommodate the remaining RHNA by income level, the City will within 180 days identify and make available additional adequate sites to accommodate the remaining RHNA.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Amend staff procedures to ensure all development proposals and rezone proposals are reviewed against the capacity identified for sites in the Sites Inventory Form within three months of Housing Element adoption. Develop a methodology for tracking remaining capacity within one year of Housing Element adoption.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	3.2, 3.3

Program 17: Annual Progress Reports

The City will continue to report annually on the City's progress toward its 8-year RHNA housing production targets and toward the implementation of the programs identified in the Housing Element to the legislative body, the Office of Planning and Research, and the Department of Housing and Community Development.

Objective/Timeline(s)	Submit approved Annual Progress Reports to HCD annually by April 1st.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.2, 3.2

Program 18: Surplus Lands

The City will identify and prioritize State and local surplus lands available for housing development affordable to lower-income households and report on these lands in accordance with the requirements of (AB 1486, 2019). The City will report on surplus lands annually through the Housing Element Annual Progress Reports.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Identify and track surplus City-owned sites annually If surplus sites are identified and available for the purpose of developing low- and moderate-income housing, prior to the disposal of surplus lands, the City shall provide a written notice of availability to any local public entity and housing sponsors that have notified the Department of Housing and Community Development of their interest in surplus land.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.2, 2.6, 3.3

Program 19: Accessibility

The City will ensure all new, multifamily construction meets the accessibility requirements of the Federal and State fair housing acts through local permitting and approval processes. Further, the City will promote increased accessibility by connecting developers and residents to resources on design features that are accessible and safe to all people regardless of age, size, ability, or disability.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Continue to enforce accessibility requirements through development approvals throughout the planning cycle. Create a housing resources webpage that connects developers and residents to accessibility resources such as home retrofit guides, universal design standards that can increase in-unit accessibility, among other housing related information and resources by Summer 2023. Residents and property owners will be notified of updates to the City's website through the City's monthly e-newsletters that are sent to the City's listserv.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	1.1, 4.1, 4.4

Program 20: Homebuyer Assistance Programs

The Los Angeles County Development Authority administers homebuyer assistance programs for Lomita. The City will continue to connect residents to available resources and to information regarding homeownership assistance through digital media platforms. The following programs apply:

The Home Ownership Program (HOP) provides loans for down payment and closing costs. The HOP loans are shared equity loans (at zero interest) with no monthly payments until the home is sold, transferred, or refinanced. The home must be owner-occupied for the life of the loan, which is 20 years. Eligible properties are single-family homes or attached/detached condominium units or townhomes within the purchase price limits established by HUD. The borrower must contribute a minimum of 1% of the down payment. Also, the borrower must complete an 8-hour education course in homeownership by a HUD-approved counseling agency.

Mortgage Credit Certificate Program offers the first-time homebuyer a Federal income tax credit by reducing the amount of Federal taxes to be paid. It also helps a first-time

homebuyer qualify for a loan by allowing a lender to reduce the housing expense ratio by the amount of tax savings. The credit is subtracted dollar-for-dollar from his or her Federal income taxes. The qualified buyer is awarded a tax credit of up to 15% with the remaining 85% taken as a deduction from the income in the usual manner.

Southern California Home Financing Authority (SCHFA) is a joint powers authority between Los Angeles and Orange Counties formed in June 1988 to create first-time homebuyer programs for low to moderate income households. SCHFA does not lend money directly to the homebuyers; the homebuyers must work directly with a participating lender. The program provides down payment and closing cost assistance in the form of a gift equal to 4% of the first loan amount.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Include updated information in City newsletters annually. Update the City's website with relevant information and resources by Fall 2023 and ensure that all web materials use best practices for user accessibility through information that is perceivable, operable, understandable, and robust.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 4.1, 4.4

Program 21: Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

The Los Angeles County Development Authority administers the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program to increase housing access to lower-income renter households. While the City does not administer the Section 8 program, the City can work to help raise awareness to property owners about obligations to accept tenants without regard of their source of income and to inform residents of the availability of the program. The City will continue to connect residents and property owners to information regarding Section 8 rental assistance through an informative housing-related webpage with resources and updates in the City's monthly e-newsletters and bi-annual newsletters. The City will make resources available on their website and work with local rental property owners to expand program participation.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Provide70 Section 8 vouchers annually. Update the City's website to create a housing resources page that connects residents, developers, non-profits, property owners, and other interested parties to relevant information and resources on available housing programs, regulations, events, and other relevant information no later than Summer 2023. Include updated information to connect property owners and residents to the City's housing resources webpage in City newsletters which are sent to all property owners in the City's boundaries bi-annually. Include updated information to connect property owners and residents to the City's housing resources webpage in the monthly e-newsletters that are sent to the City's listserv.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 4.1, 4.4

Program 22: Fair Housing

The City, in cooperation with the Housing Rights Center (HRC), promotes equal housing opportunities. Through the City's participation in the Urban County CDBG program, HRC provides the following services:

- Housing discrimination complaints and investigations Investigates allegations of housing discrimination.
- Fair housing education and outreach Distributes educational literature and resources (available in multiple languages) and presents free fair housing law workshops for landlords, tenants, nonprofit organizations, and government employees.

• Tenant and landlord counseling – Provides free telephone and in-person counseling to both tenants and landlords.

The City will continue to further fair housing through HRC by continuing to refer complaints and inquiries to HRC, the distribution of fair housing resources, and increased coordination with HRC to gather and analyze data collected through the Fair Housing Program.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Include updated information in the City's monthly newsletters. Update the City's website with relevant information and resources on fair housing from HRC within one year of Housing Element adoption and distribute information in the City's monthly newsletter. Provide annual staff trainings on how to refer complaints and inquiries to HRC. Coordinate with HRC to gather and analyze local data collected annually.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4

Program 23: Fair Housing Development Marketing

The City shall promote compliance with housing discrimination laws to ensure that all print and advertisement materials for the sale or rental of housing is compliant with Government Code 12955, which prohibits such materials from indicating a preference or limitation based on a protected classification. The City will connect developers to resources and requirements to raise awareness about Fair Housing in housing marketing materials.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Develop and promote marketing material standards, consistent with the standards outlined in State law, to ensure representative materials are provided by developers by Summer 2024. Ensure standards are easily available for development applicants at the permit counter and on the City's website by Summer 2024. 	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	4.1, 4.2, 4.4	

Program 24: Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice

The City continues to participate in an ongoing regional collaborative effort to analyze and reduce impediments to fair housing choice. The analysis is part of a joint effort to prepare, conduct, and submit their certification for affirmatively furthering fair housing. The City will continue to collaborate with the Community Development Commission and the Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles to continue this analysis.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Continue to participate in the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice every five-years. Collaborate with the Community Development Commission and the Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles through continued communication and data-sharing for the completion of the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice every five-years. 	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4	

Program 25: Energy Conservation Program

The City will periodically review the City's zoning code and subdivision requirements, as well as other applicable codes, to promote energy conservation in housing rehabilitation and in the construction of new housing. Further, the City offers a waiver of administration planning fees and a portion of the Building and Safety fee for solar projects. This program will supplement existing City efforts in the enforcement of the State's Green Building Standards.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Periodically review Zoning and subdivision requirements to promote energy conservation measures, concurrent with updates to the Building Code or by 2026, whichever is sooner. Promote energy conservation strategies on the City's website by Summer 2023 and in the City's summer iteration of the bi-annual newsletter. Continue to provide fee waivers that are available for solar projects throughout the planning period. 	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	2.2, 2.6	

Program 26: Increased Transparency

The City will maintain information on the City's website that is applicable for housing development project proposal requirements, including a current schedule of fees, exactions, applicable affordability requirements, all zoning ordinances, development standards, and annual fee reports or other relevant financial reports.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Ensure all relevant information is provided on the City's website within one year of Housing Element adoption. Continue to update information as changes to the City's regulations are made and as new information becomes available throughout the planning period. 	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	2.4	

Program 27: Reduced Parking Requirements

Large parking lots associated with religious institutions provide opportunities for partnerships that facilitate the development of housing for vulnerable populations. The City will ensure that appropriate parking reductions apply to any development proposals that would eliminate religious-use parking spaces in exchanged for housing developments, in accordance with State law. Further, the City will explore additional opportunities to reduce parking requirements for residential uses in areas walking distance from resources and amenities.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Amend the zoning code to identify a process by which parking requirements can be reduced for religious institutions in exchange for housing development, consistent with the requirements of AB 1851, 2020 by Spring 2023. Implement parking reductions for multifamily housing by Spring 2024. 	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 2.4	

Program 28: Rezone Opportunities

To maintain adequate capacity and to increase opportunities for development, the City will continue to identify areas appropriate for increased residential densities, specifically in areas with access to resources, amenities, and public transit. The City will continue to work with developers and the broader community and explore opportunities to rezone areas ideal for increased housing capacity through specific plans, an update to the General Plan, or a focused plan amendment. The City will also coordinate with developers to identify areas ideal for implementation of density minimums, especially for sites identified to be rezoned.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Coordinate with the Housing Authority of Los Angeles to identify opportunities for increased densities and future redevelopment within the planning period. Continue evaluate opportunities for updated land use plans and zoning ordinances that can increase densities through annual informational sessions with the Planning Commission. 	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 2.4	

Program 29: Ongoing Code Updates

The City will continue to update their regulations, as necessary, in response to legislative changes. Further, the City will monitor opportunities and amend their zoning code to streamline, update, and simplify regulations related to housing, where possible.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Annually monitor State legislative changes and amend regulations accordingly. Continue to accept recommendations from the development community for ideas on how the City can increase flexibility and certainty in the development process. This will be achieved through continued project coordination meetings with developers through the submittal process.
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department
Funding Sources	General
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 2.4

Program 30: Inclusionary Housing Ordinance

To facilitate the production of lower-income housing and to ensure the City's program to rezone properties for increased densities maximizes the public benefit received, the City shall consider an inclusionary ordinance to pair with the rezoning of sites. The City shall conduct an economic feasibility analysis for consideration of an inclusionary housing ordinance. Based on the findings of the analysis, where an inclusionary requirement and in-lieu fee would not impede the development of housing, the City shall consider the adoption of an inclusionary housing ordinance.

Objective/Timeframe(s)	 Conduct an inclusionary housing feasibility analysis by Spring 2024. Adopt an inclusionary housing ordinance, where feasible, by Winter 2025. 	
Responsible Agency	Community Development Department	
Funding Sources	General	
Relevant Policies	2.1, 2.2, 4.4	

City of Lomita

6th Cycle Housing Element Update

Appendices



Appendix A: Review of 5th Cycle Housing Element Accomplishments

Appendix B: Needs Assessment

Appendix C: Constraints and Zoning Analysis

Appendix D: Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

Appendix E: Sites Analysis and

Sites Inventory Form

Appendix F: Community Engagement Summary

Appendix A: Review of 5th Housing Element Accomplishments (2013-2021)

For the 5th Cycle Housing Element planning period, the City committed to specific actions to address citywide housing needs and to help achieve housing goals. The programs are aimed to assist in achieving the goals and objectives outlined in the Housing Element. Throughout the planning period, the City continued to provide all the programs outlined in the Housing Element.

Many of the Housing Element programs are administered by regional agencies such as the Los Angeles County Development Authority (LACDA) and the City continues to participate in these regional efforts. Regional programs tend to have more funding, and while their success is not often reflected at the local level, the City of Lomita's residents benefit from these programs. The progress made toward locally administered programs has continued and many have proven to be successful, most notably the Second Unit program, or accessory dwelling unit program. All of the programs identified in the 5th Cycle Housing Element will continue in some form in the 6th Cycle, although some are revised to address the current local housing need. Below is an overview of the progress made toward each program over the eight-year Housing Element period as well as the current program status.

Program in Hous Element	ing Progress Summary	Program Status
1. Housing Rehabilitat Grant Program	occupied units were rehabilitated under this program.	Ongoing
	Effectiveness: This program set out to provide assistance to 20 households annually. The number of households assisted averaged approximately 13 per year.	
	Appropriateness: Revise and Continue with attainable objective.	
2. Code Enforceme	Progress: Phone, email, and online reporting are all available.	Ongoing
	The City began using a case management software in 2016 to better track code enforcement cases. Between October 2016 and the end of 2020, the City had 509 total cases. The most common residential violations addressed are overgrown vegetation, illegal dumping or improper waste container storage, and work without permits.	
	Effectiveness: The program objective was to monitor housing conditions and code violations to work with property owners to correct and provide information on rehabilitation assistance to eligible households. The City improved processes to better implement this program.	
	Appropriateness: Continue	
3. Mobile Ho Park Conservat Program	services to mobile home park residents that	Ongoing

	Effectiveness: There were no inquiries regarding the incorporation or purchase of mobile home parks within the 5 th Housing Element Cycle. Further, staff reached out to the California Department of Housing and Community Development to inquire about mobile home inspection results and results for the Palms Trailer Park revealed that it meets standards. Appropriateness: Revise as a program to protect tenants that are affected by redevelopment.	
4. Low Income Housing Preservation	 Progress: Kiwanis Gardens, a publicly assisted housing development with a total of 67 units was identified as being at-risk of conversion to market rate housing. The life of the affordability covenant was successfully extended through 2027. Effectiveness: This program was successful in preserving an expiring a covenant of affordability for the Kiwanis Gardens development. Kiwanis Gardens is under a project-based contract and is administered by HUD. The property is owned by the Retirement Housing Foundation and is considered to be a low risk for future conversion. 	Ongoing
5. Second Units/Granny Flats Program	 Progress: The City has approved 35 accessory dwelling units/second units from 2014-2019. Effectiveness: This program aimed to achieve an average of 3 dwelling units a year, or 24 total units. The City exceeded their objective. Appropriateness: Revise and Continue to ensure consistency with State law. 	Ongoing
6. Zoning and Other Incentives	Progress: The City offers a density bonus to qualifying developments in accordance with State Density Bonus Law. However, the City did	Ongoing

	not receive any development applications for the use of a density bonus within the 5 th cycle. However, through the discretionary review process, the City regularly relaxes the commercial square footage requirement for mixed use developments to allow for a larger proportion of residential uses and to make projects more viable.	
	Effectiveness: The objective of this program is to create a marketing brochure to advertise and promote available incentives for interested developers, but the materials were not created. However, the Planning Commission has approved the reduction of commercial requirements for mixed use development for a hand full of proposals within the 5 th cycle.	
	Appropriateness: Review and Revise	
7. Lot Consolidation	Progress: The lot consolidation program assists in the facilitation of development within the Mixed-Use Overlay. Further, through the review of mixed-use development within the Mixed Use Overlay, the Planning Commission demonstrates flexibility in the required minimum commercial square footage. One development proposal approved in 2020 was only required to provide 10% of the total square footage as commercial, as compared to 30% as detailed in the development regulations of the code.	Ongoing
	Effectiveness: The program set out to prepare a marketing brochure, visit property owners annually to discuss redevelopment potential, and provide priority ministerial processing for lot consolidation.	
	Appropriateness: Revise and Continue. This program can instead apply to all development on sites identified in the inventory.	

Sp	oning evisions for becial Needs ousing	 Progress: Zoning revisions for special needs housing includes the implementation of 2013 amendments to the zoning code to address emergency shelters, transitional housing, supportive housing, and single-room occupancy units (SRO). While the zoning amendments were adopted, no such developments were produced during the 5th cycle. Effectiveness: This program did not result in the development of special needs housing. Recent amendments to state law will require further updates to the City's zoning code. Appropriateness: Revise and Continue. This will be a program to update the zoning code consistent with State law. 	Ongoing
Ho	rst-Time omebuyers rograms	Progress: The First-Time Homebuyers Program is administered by the Los Angeles County Development Authority (LACDA). Between fiscal years 2017 -2021 LACDA reported a total of 130 loans at an amount of approximately \$87 million to assist first-time homebuyers with purchasing homes.	Ongoing
		Effectiveness: The objective of this program was to connect residents to the county's website and available resources. Countywide, the program assisted many homebuyers. The City provides a city contact on their website for questions related to HUD and CDBG programs. Appropriateness: Continue	
10 14			Oranina
Cr Ce	lortgage redit ertificate rogram	Progress: LACDA offers the Mortgage Credit Certificate Program (MCC) as an income tax credit to first-time homebuyers. Between fiscal year 2016 and 2020, LACDA issued approximately \$88.9 million in these credits.	Ongoing
		Effectiveness: The objective of this program was to connect residents to the county's	

	1	,ı
	website and available resources. Countywide, the program assisted many homebuyers. The City provides a city contact on their website for questions related to HUD and CDBG programs.	
	Appropriateness: Continue	
11. Southern California Home Financing Authority	Progress: LACDA has originated 361 home ownership loans from 2014-2020 for the Southern California Home Financing Authority across the region.	Ongoing
	Effectiveness: The objective of this program was to connect residents to the county's website and available resources. Countywide, the program assisted many homebuyers. The City provides a city contact on their website for questions related to HUD and CDBG programs.	
	Appropriateness: Continue	
12. Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program	Progress: Within the LACDA region, as of 2020, 23,326 units are under contract, and 25,114 units are authorized. This has increased since 2014 when there were 22,861 units under contract and 23,232 units authorized.	Ongoing
	Effectiveness: The objective of this program was to connect residents to the county's program and to promote the program to rental property owners. The City provides a city contact on their website for questions related to HUD and CDBG programs.	
	Appropriateness: Continue	
13. Fair Housing Program	Progress: While the City continues to refer complaints and inquiries to the Housing Rights Center and distributes fair housing service handouts, the city does not keep any quantifiable record of complaints.	Ongoing
	Effectiveness: There is not data to determine the effectiveness of the program, however that	

	does not mean that the program was ineffective.	
	Appropriateness: Review and revise. Need to develop a quantifiable way of tracking progress. Can either develop a staff training and track the number of trainings or staff trained or develop a process for tracking the number of complaints or handouts.	
14. Energy Conservation Program	Progress: The City's Newsletter goes out on a quarterly basis to every address within the City's boundaries. This includes every Lomita resident. The newsletter regularly includes information on energy and water conservation, rebates offered, etc. Further, in 2013 the City amended the Zoning Code to ensure that gas station signs use LED lights.	Ongoing
	Effectiveness: The objectives of this program are to review regulations to promote energy conservation measures and distribute materials of resource conservation. The City amended the Zoning Code related to this program in 2013 and continued to provide resources to residents on a quarterly basis throughout the cycle.	
	Appropriateness: Continue	

The City's programs developed to directly address special needs housing were successful in meeting identified objectives but did not directly result in the development of new housing for those with special needs. **Program 8** of the 5th cycle Housing Element addressed specified zoning revisions that were needed for the provision of housing for those with special needs. This included zoning revisions to permit emergency shelters, transitional housing, supportive housing, and single room occupancy units which were all implemented during the 5th cycle.

Although there are few programs that directly support the production of housing that accommodates populations with special needs, many programs indirectly support housing for those with special needs. **Program 5** of the 5th Cycle Housing Element updated the City's ADU provisions and this resulted in the production of 35 new ADUs during the 2014-2019

period. ADUs can provide opportunities for those with special needs such as seniors or those with disabilities, including developmental disabilities, by creating housing in an independent setting while still allowing for support from caregivers who reside on the same lot. Other programs that provide indirect support for those with special needs includes **Program 12**, which supports very low-income families, older adults, and those with disabilities by providing financial support to assist with rent payments. The City continued to participate in countywide programs to support lower income households, including those with special needs, as demonstrated in **Programs 9**, **10**, **and 11** providing homeownership assistance to those with the greatest need. Homeownership assistance can free up other household funds so that those with disabilities have additional funding to go toward any needed retrofits that may be better suited to specific needs. Through **Program 13**, the City continues to contract fair housing services and refers inquires to the fair housing agency.

New programs identified in the 6th cycle Housing Element more specifically address housing needs and concerns for populations with special needs. These programs include **Program 19** to create a portal with resources related to accessibility through things such as home retrofit guides, or universal design guidance; **Program 6** to develop a program that incentives the production of affordable ADUs, and **Program 9** to amend the zoning code to permit supportive housing by-right, consistent with the requirements of state law.

Appendix B: Housing Needs Assessment

Contents

Appendix B: Housing Needs Assessment	1
Demographic Profile	1
Population Characteristic Trends	1
Population Count	1
Age	1
Race and Ethnicity	2
Economic Characteristics	3
Employment Status	4
Employment by Industry	5
Unemployment Rate	7
Occupation, Employment Count, and Wages	7
Household Characteristics	8
Household Size	8
Housing Types and Growth Trends	12
Tenure and Vacancy Rates	13
Housing Age and Condition	14
Lack of Plumbing Facilities	16
Household Income and Housing Challenges	17
Household Income	17
Households Burdened by Housing Cost	21
Lower-Income Households Burdened by Housing Cost	21

Overcrowded Households	23
Large Households	24
Single-Parent Households	25
Housing Costs and Affordability	25
Median Home Value and Median Rent	26
Special Needs Groups	27
Older Adults	27
Farmworkers	
Persons with Disabilities	
Homelessness	
Available Resources	
Preservation of At-Risk Housing	41

Demographic Profile

This section provides detailed information on demographic characteristics and trends that influence supply and demand for various housing types in Lomita. Information includes population, housing, employment, and homelessness counts and trends. This information helped inform the goals, objectives, policies, programs, and activities proposed in this Housing Element.

Population Characteristic Trends

Population Count

According to data provided by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), Lomita's population will increase by approximately 1.7% between 2020 and 2035. The population growth of Los Angeles County is set to outpace that of Lomita over the same time period, with a projected population increase of approximately 7.4%. In 2020, Lomita makes up only 0.2% of the region's total population and by 2035, it is expected that Lomita's share of the population will decrease slightly, making up 0.19%. **Table 1** provides population sizes and trends for both the Lomita and Los Angeles County from 2000 to 2035.

Location	2000	2010	2020	2035	2000 to 2035		2020 to 2035	
					Change		Change	
City of	20,046	20,256	20,549	20,900	+854	+4.3%	+351	+1.7%
Lomita								
Los	9,544,000	—	10,407,000	11,174,000	+1,630,000	+17.1%	+767,000	+7.4%
Angeles								
County								

 Table 1: Population Size and Trends by City and County (2000 to 2035)

Sources:

SCAG (Southern California Association of Governments). 2020a. Pre-Certified Local Housing Data for the City of Lomita. August 2020.

SCAG. 2020b. Current Context: Demographics and Growth Forecast. Adopted September 3, 2020. https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/0903fconnectsocal_demographics-and-growth-forecast.pdf?1606001579.

SCAG. n.d. 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Final Growth Forecast by Jurisdiction. https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-

attachments/2016_2040rtpscs_finalgrowthforecastbyjurisdiction.pdf?1605576071.

Age

Age is an important demographic factor for analyzing future housing needs and crafting policies to help meet the specific demands of different age groups. **Table 2** provides population sizes by age group in 2010 and 2019. The middle age group (ages 45–64) make up the largest share of the population (27.6%), followed closely by the family-forming age group (26.5%). The largest change in population age is in the Older Adults age group (those

age 65 and over), shifting from approximately 11% of the population in 2010 to approximately 17.3% of the population in 2019—about a 60.9% increase. The City of Lomita (City) will need to implement policies that address the specific needs of this rising population of older adults, especially given that this age group represents the largest age group of those with disabilities in Lomita.

Age Group	2010		2019		Percent Change
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	2010 to 2019
Children and Youth	5,127	25.4%	4,350	21.2%	-15.2%
(Under age 18)					
College Age (Ages 18-	1,431	7.1%	1,518	7.4%	+6.1%
24)					
Family-Forming	5,820	28.9%	5,431	26.5%	-6.7%
Adults (Ages 25-44)					
Middle Age Adults	5,599	27.8%	5,661	27.6%	+1.1%
(Ages 45-64)					
Older Adults (Ages 65	2,209	11%	3,554	17.3%	+60.9%
and over)					
Total	20,186	100.2%	20,514	100%	—
Median Age	37.8		40.1		—

Table 2: Age Groups in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021a. "2010: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles." ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey Table ID DP05. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20California%20race&tid=ACSDP5Y2010.DP05&hidePreview=false. U.S. Census Bureau. 2021b. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles." ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey Table ID DP05. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20California%20race&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP05&hidePreview=false.

Race and Ethnicity

Lomita is becoming increasingly diverse. **Table 3** provides the population by race in Lomita for 2010 and 2019. Between 2010 and 2019, Lomita saw its largest percent increase in the American Indian and Alaska Native population (a nearly 166% increase), though this population makes up less than 1% of Lomita's total population. The Black population also experienced a significant increase of approximately 150%, and the Asian population grew by over 12%. In the same time period, Lomita saw its largest decrease in the White population (an approximately 11% decrease), though this population still makes up over half of Lomita's total population still makes up over half of Lomita's total population (approximately 56.7%), as well as a 6% decrease in the Hispanic population.

	2010		2019		Percent Change	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	2010 to 2019	
White	13,083	64.8%	11,634	56.7%	-11.1%	
Black or	515	2.6%	1,285	6.3%	+149.5%	
African						
American						
American	64	0.3%	170	0.8%	+165.6%	
Indian and						
Alaska Native						
Asian	2,966	14.7%	3,337	16.3%	+12.5%	
Native	71	0.4%	69	0.3%	-2.8%	
Hawaiian and						
Other Pacific						
Islander						
Other Alone	1,867	9.2%	2,556	12.5%	+36.9%	
Two or More	1,620	8.0%	1,463	7.1%	-9.7%	
Races						
Total	20,186	100%	20,514	100%	—	
Hispanic	7,247	35.9%	6,845	33.4%	-5.5%	
Origin ¹						

Table 3: Race and Ethnicity and Trends in Lomita (2010, 2019)

U.S. Census Bureau 2021a.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021b.

Notes: 1Persons of Hispanic origin may be of any race or multiple races.

Economic Characteristics

Employment has an important impact on housing needs and the demand for various types of housing. **Table 4** provides the employment trends by city, county, and the region from 2020 to 2035. Lomita is projected to add 200 jobs between 2020 and 2035 (approximately a 4% increase). These will likely include commercial and entertainment uses within key commercial shopping districts, so housing in Lomita will need to accommodate a range of housing types at prices affordable to the range of household incomes. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the average annual pay for retail trade and entertainment in Los Angeles County is approximately \$38,500 and \$114,579, respectively. By comparison, the projected job growth in both Los Angeles County and the SCAG region is set to outpace the projected job growth in Lomita within the same time frame (with increases of nearly 7% and approximately 10%, respectively).

Category	City of Lomita		Los Angeles County			SCAG Region			
	2020	2035	Percent	2020	2035	Percent	2020	2035	Percent
			Change			Change			Change
Number of Jobs	5,000	5,200	+4.0%	4,838,000	5,172,000	+6.9%	8,695,000	9,566,000	+10.0%

 Table 4: Employment Trends by City, County, and Region (2020 to 2035)

Sources: SCAG 2020b.

Employment Status

The employment opportunities in Lomita are located mainly in the commercial retail and service sectors found along the major roadways in Lomita. This limited employment base suggests that most residents work outside Lomita in major employment centers in Torrance, Long Beach, the Port, and the Carson–Compton area. In accordance with the City's General Plan Economic Development Element, the City will target its economic development efforts in the following three primary commercial areas:

- Downtown Lomita, near intersection of Lomita Boulevard and Narbonne Avenue
- Pacific Coast Highway Corridor
- East Lomita Boulevard, between Eshelman Avenue and Walnut Street

According to the 2019 American Community Survey (ACS), 11,058 Lomita residents 16 years and over were in the labor force, and among these residents, 10,684 were employed. **Table 5** provides information on employment status in Lomita in 2010 and 2019. Between 2010 and 2019, unemployment decreased by approximately 27% and Lomita residents in the Armed Forces experienced a significant increase proportionally (of approximately 1,700%), however it should be noted that this group still remains only less than 1% of the total population of residents age 16 years and over. Additionally, there was about a 16% increase in Lomita residents who are not in the labor force; the growing population of older adults may be a primary driving factor indicating that there is a growing number of residents that are entering into retirement.

Table 5: Employment Status for Population Age 16 Years and Over in Lomita (2010,2019)

Labor Force	2010		2019	Percent Change	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	2010 to 2019
In Armed Forces	1	0.0%	18	0.1%	+1,700.0%
Civilian – Employed	10,161	65.5%	10,684	63.9%	+5.1%
Civilian – Unemployed	487	3.1%	356	2.1%	-26.9%
Not in Labor Force	4,867	31.4%	5,653	33.8%	+16.1%
Total	15,516	100%	16,711	99.9%	—

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021c. "2010: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles." Selected Economic Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey Table ID DP03. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20unemployment&tid=ACSDP5Y2010.DP03&hidePreview=false.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021d. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles." Selected Economic Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey Table ID DP03. Accessed March 2021. <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20unemployment&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP03&htdePreview=false</u>.

Employment by Industry

In 2019, Lomita's top industries included Educational Services and Health Care and Social Assistance (approximately 23.5%), Retail Trade (approximately 16.8%), and Manufacturing (approximately 10.4%) (see **Table 6**). Between 2010 and 2019, the Transportation and Warehousing, and Utilities industry experienced the largest increase (by approximately 56.7%), while the Wholesale Trade industry experienced the largest decrease (by approximately 66.4%). The Educational Services, and Health Care and Social Assistance industry remains the largest overall (at approximately 23.5%) and the Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, and Mining industry remains the smallest overall (at less than 1%).

Table 6: Civilian Employed Population 16 Years and Over by Industry in Lomita (2010,
2019)

	2010		2019		Percent
Industry	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Change 2010 to 2019
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, and Mining	109	1.1%	63	0.6%	-42.2%
Construction	572	5.6%	659	6.2%	+15.2%
Manufacturing	1,288	12.7%	1,110	10.4%	-13.8%
Wholesale Trade	459	4.5%	154	1.4%	-66.4%
Retail Trade	1,379	13.6%	1,797	16.8%	+30.3%
Transportation and Warehousing, and Utilities	586	5.8%	918	8.6%	+56.7%
Information	195	1.9%	275	2.6%	+41.0%
Finance and Insurance, and Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	791	7.8%	497	4.7%	-37.2%
Professional, Scientific, and Management, and Administrative and Waste Management Services	1,036	10.2%	976	9.1%	-5.8%
Educational Services, and Health Care and Social Assistance	2,263	22.3%	2,507	23.5%	+10.8%
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation, and Accommodation and Food Services	788	7.8%	929	8.7%	+17.9%
Other Services, except Public Administration	369	3.6%	392	3.7%	+6.2%
Public Administration	326	3.2%	407	3.8%	+24.8%
Total Civilian Employed Population 16 Years and Over	10,161	100.1%	10,684	100.1%	-

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021c.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021d.

Unemployment Rate

Lomita's unemployment rate decreased slightly between 2010 and 2019, as shown in **Table 7**. However, on March 19, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom of California issued a Stay-at-Home Order (Executive Order N-33-20) to protect the health and well-being of all Californians and to establish consistency across the State in order to slow the spread of COVID-19. The sudden emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in significant increases in unemployment throughout the US. According to the EDD Bureau of Labor Statistics, Lomita's unemployment average of 356 persons (2.1%) in 2019 increased to 700 persons (7.0%) for the month of January 2021.

Table 7: Unemployment Rate for Population Age 16 Years and Over in Civilian LaborForce in Lomita (2010, 2019)

	2010	2019
Unemployment Rate	3.1%	2.1%

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021c. U.S. Census Bureau 2021d.

Occupation, Employment Count, and Wages

Table 8 provides information on occupations and earnings of the labor force in Lomita. The majority of Lomita's labor force in 2019 (40.7%) is employed in the Management, Business, Science, and Arts sector, which remains the occupation with the highest median earnings (at about \$60,475 in 2019). The second most prevalent occupation is in the Sales and Office sector, which employed 23.5% of the labor force, but with almost half the median earnings of that in the Management, Business, Science and Arts sector (\$33,729 in 2019). The third most prevalent occupation is in the Service sector, which is the lowest-earning occupation in Lomita (\$23,590 in 2019). The Service sector includes occupations related to healthcare support, protective services (such as firefighting and prevention as well as law enforcement), food preparation and serving, building and grounds cleaning and maintenance, and personal care and service. In 2019, the Area Median Income (AMI) for Los Angeles County was \$73,100, which means the three most prevalent occupation sectors in Lomita earn less than the AMI for Los Angeles County. Many employees in these sectors may need access to affordable housing options with costs that are restricted below market-rate prices. Between 2010 and 2019 the Production, Transportation, and Material Moving sector experienced the largest percent increase in both jobs (an increase of approximately 77.4%) and median earnings (an increase of approximately 25.6%).

Occupation	2010			2019		Percent C	Change	
	Number	Percent	Median	Number	Percent	Median	Number	Median
			Earnings			Earnings		Earnings
Management,	3,840	37.8%	\$58,000	4,345	40.7%	\$60,475	+13.2%	+4.3%
Business,								
Science, and								
Arts								
Service	1,633	16.1%	\$21,199	1,604	15.0%	\$23,590	-1.8%	+11.3%
Sales and Office	2,975	29.3%	\$30,949	2,511	23.5%	\$33,729	-15.6%	+9.0%
Natural	939	9.2%	\$45,438	851	8.0%	\$45,625	-9.4%	+0.4%
Resources,								
Construction,								
and								
Maintenance								
Production,	774	7.6%	\$39,524	1,373	12.9%	\$49,639	+77.4%	+25.6%
Transportation,								
and Material								
Moving								
Total	10,161	100.0%	\$37,889	10,684	100.1%	\$45,058	+5.1%	+18.9%

Table 8: Civilian Employed Population 16 Years and Over by Occupation in Lomita(2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021c.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021d.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021e. "2010: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Occupation By Sex and Median Earnings in the Past 12 Months (In 2010 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars) for the Civilian Employed Population 16 Years and Over. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey Table ID S2401. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20occupation&tid=ACSST5Y2010.S2401&htdePreview=false.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021f. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Occupation By Sex and Median Earnings in the Past 12 Months (In 2019 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars) for the Civilian Employed Population 16 Years and Over. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey Table ID S2411. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=lomita%20city.%20earnings%20by%20occupation&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S2411&htdePreview=false-

Household Characteristics

Household Size

Table 9 provides information on household types in Lomita in 2010 and 2019. Between 2010 and 2019, total households in Lomita grew approximately 3.5%. Family Households make up the largest share of household types, at 62.5% of all households, though the percentage of Family Households decreased slightly from 2010. The largest increase in household type is shown at approximately 25.7% with Family Households where the householder is female and there is no spouse present. An increase in the proportion of female heads of house is often cited within public health reviews as a global trend. Often female heads of house become

more socioeconomically vulnerable and are statistically more likely to be unhealthy due to carrying both the burden of working and caring for the family, including childcare and housework. Affordable housing options can help alleviate income related burdens on female heads of house. Additionally, Nonfamily Households experienced an approximate 12.5% increase, which includes an increase in households with roommates and shared living situations.

	2010		2019		Change	
Household Type	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Family	5,103	65.5%	5,038	62.5%	-65	-1.3%
Households ¹						
Married	3,818	49.0%	3,615	44.8%	-203	-5.3%
Male Householder,	413	5.3%	326	4.0%	-87	-21.1%
No Spouse Present						
Female	873	11.2%	1,097	13.6%	+224	+25.7%
Householder, No						
Spouse Present						
Nonfamily	2,688	34.5%	3,024	37.5%	+336	+12.5%
Households ²						
Householder	2,252	28.9%	2,515	31.2%	+263	+11.7%
Living Alone						
Householder Not	436	5.6%	509	6.3%	+73	+16.7%
Living Alone						
Total Households	7,791	100%	8,062	100%	+271	+3.5%

Table 9: Household Types in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021g. "2010: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Occupancy Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID S2501. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=lomita%20city.%20household%20size&tid=ACSST5Y2010.S2501&hidePreview=false-

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021h. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Occupancy Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID S2501. Accessed March 2021. <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20household%20size&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S2501&htdePreview=false</u>.

Notes:

1 A family household is defined by the U.S. Census Bureau as a household maintained by a household who is in a family (a group of two or more people related by birth, marriage, or adoption residing together), and includes unrelated people (unrelated subfamily members and/or secondary individuals) who may be residing there.

2 A nonfamily household is defined by the U.S. Census Bureau as a household living alone (a one-person household) or where the household shares the home exclusively with people to whom she/he is not related.

The average household size in Lomita decreased slightly between 2010 and 2019, as shown in **Table 10**.

	2010	2019	Change
Average Household Size	2.59	2.52	-2.7%

Table 10: Average Household Size in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021i. "2010: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Households and Families. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID S1101. Accessed March 2021. <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20household%20size&tid=ACSST5Y2010.S1101&hidePreview=false</u>.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021j. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Households and Families. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID S1101. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20household%20size&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S1101&hidePreview=false.

In 2019, two-person households (approximately 31.5%) made up the largest proportion of households in Lomita, though one-person households (approximately 31.2%) were just slightly lower. Tables 11 and 12 provide household sizes for owner-occupied and renteroccupied units, respectively, in 2010 and 2019. In 2019, two-person households made up the largest proportion of owner-occupied households (approximately 32.2%), while one-person households made up the largest proportion of renter-occupied households (approximately 35.5%). Between 2010 and 2019, owner-occupied households experienced a significant increase in three-person households (increase of approximately 85.7%), while all other household size categories experienced a decrease (ranging between approximately 1.3% and 8.6%). The increase in three-person households could be indicative of a number of factors including an increase of families with children, an increase in older adults residing with family members, or an increase in younger adults staying with family members. In the same time frame, renter-occupied households experienced a significant decrease in four-or-moreperson households (a decrease of approximately 25.3%), while all other household size categories experienced an increase (ranging between approximately 4.9% and 22.8%) (as shown in Table 12).

	2010		2019		Change	
Household Size	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
One-Person Household	1,018	28.0%	1,005	26.4%	-13	-1.3%
Two-Person Household	1,342	36.9%	1,227	32.2%	-115	-8.6%
Three- Person Household	371	10.2%	689	18.1%	+318	+85.7%
Four-or- more Person Household	906	24.9%	892	23.4%	-14	-1.5%
Total	3,637	100%	3,813	100.1%	+176	4.8%

Table 11: Household Size for Owner-Occupied Units in Lomita (2010, 2019)

U.S. Census Bureau 2021g.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021h.

Table 12: Household Size for Renter-Occupied Units in Lomita (2010, 2019)

	2010		2019		Change	
Household Size	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
One-Person Household	1,230	29.6%	1,510	35.5%	+280	+22.8%
Two-Person Household	1,250	30.1%	1,311	30.9%	+61	+4.9%
Three- Person Household	577	13.9%	611	14.4%	+34	+5.9%
Four-or- more Person Household	1,093	26.3%	817	19.2%	-276	-25.3%
Total	4,154	99.9%	4,249	100%	+95	+2.3%

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021g.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021h.

Housing Types and Growth Trends

Household size is one factor that influences the demand for multifamily and single-family homes, as well as the size of the units. Table 13 identifies the number of homes within each housing type. Between 2010 and 2019, the mobile home, boat, RV, van, etc. housing type experienced the largest decrease (by approximately 21.7%). The reason for the decrease is not apparent, however the margin of error in available Census data may explain the decline. Furthermore, data received from the California Department of Housing and Community Development's (HCD) website shows that in 2021 HCD oversaw 16 mobile home parks in Lomita, consisting of 525 total mobile home park spaces. The most prevalent types of housing unit in both 2010 and 2019 were one-unit detached (approximately 49% and approximately 51%, respectively) and five or more units (approximately 29% and approximately 30%, respectively). Between 2010 and 2019, Lomita experienced significant growth in one-unit detached units (approximately a 7% increase) and five or more units (approximately an 8% increase). This could be an indicator that there is a desirability in the market to develop both single family and higher density multifamily uses. Given that there is no minimum density requirement in Lomita, while opportunities for higher density development may be limited, zones that permit multifamily development also permit singlefamily development, leaving fewer opportunities for multifamily development.

	2010		2019		Change	
Housing Type	Number	Percent ¹	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
One-Unit, Detached	4,046	49.1%	4,315	51.2%	+269	+6.6%
One-Unit, Attached	760	9.2%	714	8.5%	-46	-6.1%
Two to Four Units	456	5.6%	370	4.3%	-86	-18.9%
Five or more Units	2,369	28.8%	2,554	30.3%	+185	+7.8%
Mobile Home, Boat, RV, Van, etc.	607	7.4%	475	5.6%	-132	-21.7%
Totals	8,238	100%	8,428	100%	—	—

Table 13: Housing Types by Units in Structure in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021k. "2010: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles." Selected Housing Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID DP04. Accessed March 2021.

 $https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita\%20city,\%20California\%20housing\%20type\&tid=ACSDP5Y2010.DP04\&hidePreview=falsewidth=acsdpate{table} and table and table$

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021I. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles." Selected Housing Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID DP04. Accessed March 2021.

https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20California%20housing%20type&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP04&hidePreview=false.

Tenure and Vacancy Rates

Housing tenure and vacancy rates are important indicators of the supply and cost of housing, as well as income. Housing tenure refers to whether a housing unit is owned or rented. Tenure is an important market characteristic because it is directly related to housing types. Vacancy rates are indicative of whether or not there is a sufficient supply of available housing at a given point in time. A healthy vacancy rate is considered to be at around 5%, demonstrating that there are desirable housing options available when one is searching in the market.

The ratio of owner-occupied units versus renter-occupied units is an indicator of financial stability. **Table 14** identifies the occupied housing units by tenure in Lomita in 2010 and 2019. In 2019, approximately 47% of Lomita's occupied housing stock was owner-occupied and approximately 53% was renter-occupied. Of the owner-occupied units approximately 67% of those households had a mortgage, while 33% of those households did not have a mortgage, indicating that most owner-occupied households have been in Lomita long enough to have completed their mortgage payments (likely more than 30 years).

Housing Unit Type	2010		2019	2019		Change	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Owner-Occupied	3,637	46.7%	3,813	47.3%	+176	+4.8%	
Housing Units							
Renter-Occupied	4,154	53.3%	4,249	52.7%	+95	2.3%	
Housing Units							
Total Occupied	7,791	100%	8,062	100%	_	_	
Housing Units							

Table 14: Occupied Housing Units by Tenure in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021g.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021h.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021i.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021j.

Tables 15 and 16 provide the number of vacant units and vacancy rates, respectively, in Lomita in 2010 and 2019. While a healthy vacancy is considered to be approximately 5%, both homeowner and rental vacancy rates remained below this 5% threshold in 2019 and even experienced a decrease since 2010. Considering that total housing units increased by approximately 2% since 2010, a decreasing vacancy rate indicates that there are not enough vacant units or enough new units being developed to support mobility within Lomita. This also indicates that the regional job market is likely providing more opportunities than the

housing market can keep up with, resulting in not enough housing to meet demand. However, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has complicated the imbalance of jobs and housing across the nation, as job losses, evictions, and relocations occur. Given the uncertainty surrounding this public health crisis, it is unclear if the vacancy rate will grow due to the impacts of the pandemic or will decline due to a supply that does not meet demand.

Table 15: Vacant Housing Units in Lomita (2010, 2019)nit Type20102019Change

Housing Unit Type	2010		2019		Change	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Vacant Housing	447	5.4%	366	4.3%	-81	-18.1%
Units						
Total Occupied	7,791	94.6%	8,062	95.7%	+271	+3.5%
Housing Units						
Total Housing Units	8,238	100%	8,428	100%		

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021k

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021I.

Table 16: Vacant Rate by Homeowners and Rentals in Lomita (2010, 2019)

	2010	2019
Homeowner Vacancy Rate	1.0	0.6
Rental Vacancy Rate	3.1	2.4

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021k

U.S. Census Bureau 2021I.

Housing Age and Condition

Housing age is commonly used by State and Federal programs to estimate rehabilitation needs. Typically, most homes begin to require major repairs or rehabilitation at 30 or 40 years of age. Factors commonly used to determine housing conditions are age of housing, overcrowding, homeowner income, and lack of plumbing facilities.

Residential neighborhoods between Pacific Coast Highway and Lomita Boulevard were constructed on large lots between the 1940s and 1960s. They contain many of Lomita's older housing units. Neighborhoods south of Pacific Coast Highway developed during the 1970s and 1980s and consist largely of newer housing units on uniform-sized lots in subdivisions.

To understand the rehabilitation needs for Lomita, the age of housing, overcrowding of housing, and homeowner income were considered. As provided in **Table 17**, approximately 78.9% of the housing stock is over 40 years old (built in or before 1979). Over 98% of

Lomita's housing stock was built prior to 2000. Further, approximately 4.5% of households are considered overcrowded or severely overcrowded and nearly 30% of lower-income homeowners are cost burdened by housing. Based on the percentage of the housing stock over 40 years old and cost-burdened homeowners, there is likely a need for housing rehabilitation assistance.

A high estimate of the number of units in need of rehabilitation, based solely on the age of the housing stock, is approximately 6,003 units. However, regular maintenance and remediation of many units suggests that much of this needed rehabilitation may be minimal and isolated to just small upgrades and repairs. The number of units in need of substantial rehabilitation is likely much lower. The Code Enforcement Division works to address complaints related to housing issues. The most common complaints include overgrown vegetation, illegal dumping or improper waste container storage, and work without permits. The number of code enforcement cases that received a case file in 2020 is 141 and 173 in 2019.

Further, the City works with lower income homeowners to address building safety and health code violations of dwelling units. These units are those that are in substantial need of rehabilitation. Given that the City assisted with the rehabilitation of 81 housing units in the 5th cycle Housing Element, it can be estimated that a similar number of units will require substantial rehabilitation during the 6th cycle (approximately 81 units). This number also closely correlates to those units lacking kitchen or plumbing facilities, as provided below.

Year Built	Number	Percent ¹	
2014 or later	125	1.5%	
2010 to 2013	23	0.3%	
2000 to 2009	342	4.1%	
1980 to 1999	1,295	15.4%	
1960 to 1979	3,250	38.6%	
1940 to 1959	1,830	29.3%	
1939 or earlier	923	11.0%	
Total	8,428	100%	

Table 17: Age of Housing Stock in Lomita

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021I.

Note: 1 Total may not sum due to rounding.

The City's Housing Rehabilitation Grant Program provides funding for eligible low-income homeowners for the correction of building safety and health code violations and correction of hazardous structural conditions. The grant program is funded with CDBG funds and is restricted to lower income homeowners who meet the current HUD income guidelines.

During the 5th cycle housing element, Lomita had between 3 and 20 rehabilitated housing units per year, as shown in **Table 18**. Therefore, a low estimate of the number of units in need of rehabilitation during the 6th cycle planning period, based solely on the number of units rehabilitated between 2014 and 2021, is about 81 units. In the 2020–2021 fiscal year, three units were rehabilitated through this program; however, the program was put on hold in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Fiscal Year	Number of Units Rehabilitated
2014–2015	20
2015-2016	15
2016-2017	13
2017-2018	12
2018–2019	8
2019–2020	10
2020–2021 (as of February 2021)	3

Sources:

City of Lomita. 2021. Community Development Block Grant: Residential Rehabilitation Program Data.

Lack of Plumbing Facilities

Table 19 provides the number of occupied housing units lacking complete kitchen or plumbing facilities in 2010 and 2019. About 1.1% of all housing units in 2019 lack a complete kitchen facility (an improvement since 2010) and about 0.5% lack complete plumbing facilities (a significant deterioration since 2010). It is likely that there is overlap between these two factors, indicating that many units that lack complete kitchen facilities may also lack complete plumbing facilities.

Table 19: Occupied Housing Units Lacking Complete Kitchen or Plumbing Facilities(2010, 2019)

	2010		2019		Percent Change
Facility Type	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Lacking complete kitchen	102	1.3%	87	1.1%	-14.7%
facilities					
Lacking complete plumbing	13	0.2%	41	0.5%	+215.4%
facilities					

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021k.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021I.

Household Income and Housing Challenges

High housing costs compared to household income create housing challenges for households whose incomes fall below Area Median Income (AMI) and can lead to overcrowding. AMI is calculated based on gross annual income, adjusted by family size. A four-person household is used as the standard for the base calculation for the median income and income categories are based on a percentage of the AMI, adjusted by the number of people in a household per their income category. **Tables 20 and 21** provide the AMI income categories and income limits for 2010 and 2020, respectively.

Category	Description
Extremely Low-Income	Gross household income equal to 30% or less of AMI.
Very Low-Income	Gross household income equal to 50% or less of AMI.
Low-Income	Gross household income equal to 80% or less of AMI.
Moderate-Income	Gross household income equal to 120% or less of AMI.
Above Moderate Income	Gross household income equal to 121% or more of AMI.

Table 20: AMI Income Categories

Note: AMI = Area Median Income

Table 21: Los Angeles County Income Limits for a Four-Person Household (2010, 2020)

Income Limit	2010	2020
Median Income	\$63,000	\$77,300
Low Income (80% AMI)	\$66,250	\$90,100
Very Low Income (50% AMI)	\$41,400	\$56,300
Extremely Low Income (30% AMI)	\$24,850	\$33,800
Moderate Income (120% AMI)	\$75,600	\$92,760

Source:

HUD (Office of Housing and Urban Development). 2021. Income Limits Datasets. HUD, Office of Policy Development and Research. Accessed March 2021. https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/ii.html.

Note: AMI = Area Median Income.

Household Income

Table 22 provides the household income in the past 12 months for total occupied housing units in 2010 and 2019. Between 2010 and 2019, the overall median household income for occupied housing units increased by approximately 14.6%. By comparison, owner-occupied household median income increased by approximately 28.2% while renter-occupied household median income increased by approximately 4.1%.

Among total occupied housing units, household incomes of \$150,000 or more experienced the largest increase (by approximately 65.7%), and household incomes between \$10,000 and \$14,999 experienced the largest decrease (by approximately 34.0%). As of 2019,

approximately 47% of households had a household income of \$75,000 or higher, indicating that approximately half of all households make less than the median income of \$71,606.

The 2019 estimated number of existing extremely low-income households is nearly 2,000. The California Department of Housing and Community Development provides guidance on estimating the projected housing need for extremely low-income households by presuming 50% of the very low-income housing target. Lomita's share of the 6th Cycle Housing Element target for very low-income households is 239 units, leaving the extremely low-income housing need at approximately 120 housing units.

	2010		2019		Change	
Household Income	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than \$5,000	234	3.0%	167	2.1%	-67	-28.6%
\$5,000 to \$9,999	148	1.9%	234	2.9%	+86	+58.1%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	467	6.0%	308	3.8%	-159	-34.0%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	335	4.3%	417	5.2%	+82	+24.5%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	343	4.4%	371	4.6%	+28	+8.2%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	608	7.8%	499	6.2%	-109	-17.9%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	997	12.8%	832	10.3%	-165	-16.5%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,597	20.5%	1,442	17.9%	-155	-9.7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,036	13.3%	854	10.6%	-182	-17.6%
\$100,000 to	1,176	15.1%	1,531	19.0%	+355	+30.2%
\$149,000						
\$150,000 or more	849	10.9%	1,407	17.5%	+558	+65.7%
Total	7,791	100%	8,062	100.1%	+271	+3.5%
Median	\$62,464	·	\$71,606		+\$9,142	+14.6%

Table 22: Household Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2010 and 2019 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars) for Total Occupied Housing Units in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021m. "2010: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Financial Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID S2503. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita,%20ca%20income&tid=ACSST5Y2010.S2503&hidePreview=false.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021n. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Financial Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID S2503. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita.%20ca%20income&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S2503&hidePreview=false

Table 23 provides the household income in the past 12 months for owner-occupied housing units in 2010 and 2019. Compared to total households, approximately 65.4% of owner-occupied households make over \$75,000. Among owner-occupied households, those households in income categories below the area median for owner-occupied units saw drops, with the exception of a dramatic increase of those earning between \$5,000 and \$9,000 a year. In juxtaposition to the change among lower-income earners, those earning \$150,000

or more saw the second highest increase in number of households at a 63% increase and households making between \$100,000 and \$149,000 also increased by 27.8%.

	2010		2019		Change	
Household Income	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than \$5,000	87	2.4%	84	2.2%	-3	-3.4%
\$5,000 to \$9,999	25	0.7%	118	3.1%	+93	+372.0%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	84	2.3%	42	1.1%	-42	-50.0%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	138	3.8%	63	1.7%	-75	-54%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	189	5.2%	155	4.1%	-34	-18.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	269	7.4%	64	1.7%	-205	-76.2%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	225	6.2%	254	6.7%	+29	+12.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	553	15.2%	540	14.2%	-13	-2.4%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	542	14.9%	286	7.5%	-256	-47.2%
\$100,000 to	778	21.4%	994	26.1%	+216	+27.8%
\$149,000						
\$150,000 or more	746	20.5%	1,213	31.8%	+467	+62.6%
Total	3,637	100%	3,813	100.2%	+176	4.8%
Median	\$85,679	•	\$109,879	•	+\$24,200	+28.2%

Table 23: Household Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2010 and 2019 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars) for Owner-Occupied Housing Units in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021m.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021n.

Table 24 provides the household income in the past 12 months for renter-occupied housing units in 2010 and 2019. Compared to owner-occupied households, only approximately 30.6% of rental-occupied households make over \$75,000. However, among renter-occupied housing units, households with incomes of \$150,000 or more experienced the largest increase (by approximately 86.5%), while household incomes of less than \$5,000 experienced the largest decrease (by approximately 42.8%).

	2010		2019		Change	
Household Income	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than \$5,000	145	3.5%	83	2.0%	-62	-42.8%
\$5,000 to \$9,999	125	3.0%	116	2.7%	-9	-7.2%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	382	9.2%	266	6.3%	-116	-30.4%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	195	4.7%	354	8.3%	+159	+81.5%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	154	3.7%	216	5.1%	+62	+40.3%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	336	8.1%	435	10.2%	+99	+29.5%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	773	18.6%	578	13.6%	-195	-25.2%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,039	25.0%	902	21.2%	-137	-13.2%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	498	12.0%	568	13.4%	+70	+14.1%
\$100,000 to \$149,000	399	9.6%	537	12.6%	+138	+34.6%
\$150,000 or more	104	2.5%	194	4.6%	+90	+86.5%
Total	4,154	99.9%	4,249	100%	+95	+2.3%
Median	\$49,021	·	\$51,045		+2,024	+4.1%

Table 24: Household Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2010 and 2019 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars) for Renter-Occupied Housing Units in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021m.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021n.

Table 25 provides the owner-occupied median household income and median home price in Lomita in 2010 and 2019. Between 2010 and 2019, the percent increase in median household income for owner-occupied units far outpaced the increase in median home price. Further, in contrast to owner-occupied households, the increase in annual median rent far outpaced the increase in median household income for renter-occupied units, as shown in **Table 26**. Further, renter incomes increased at a dramatically lower rate than those of homeowners. This indicates that incomes of renters are not keeping up with increasing rent amounts and homeownership opportunities are becoming further out of reach.

Table 25: Owner-Occupied Median Household Income and Median Home Price in
Lomita (2010, 2019)

	2010	2019	Number Change	Percent Change
Median Household	\$85,679	\$109,879	+\$24,200	+28.2%
Income				
Median Home Price	\$532,100	\$604,300	+\$72,200	+13.6%

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021k.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021I.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021m.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021n.

Table 26: Renter-Occupied Median Household Income and Median Rent in Lomita(2010, 2019)

	2010	2019	Number Change	Percent Change
Median Household	\$49,021	\$51,045	+\$2,024	+4.1%
Income				
Median Rent	\$13,668	\$16,728	+\$3,060	+22.4%
(annual)				
Sources:		•	•	

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021k.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021I.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021m.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021n.

Households Burdened by Housing Cost

Table 27 provides the total households burdened by housing costs in Lomita in 2019. Approximately 51% of rental households in Lomita spend more than 30% of their income on housing, while approximately 37% of homeowner households with a mortgage spend more than 30% of their income on housing. 33% of all homeowner households do not have a mortgage. As rents continue to rise, outpacing median income, more rental households will continue to be burdened by housing costs, leaving homeownership further out of reach for many.

	Households with a Mortgage	Households Paying Rent			
Total	2,569	4,249			
Less than 30% of Income Spent on Housing	63%	48.8%			

51.2%

 Table 27: Households Burdened by Housing Costs in Lomita (2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021I.

Spent on Housing

More than 30% of Income

Lower-Income Households Burdened by Housing Cost

37%

In 2019, AMI for Los Angeles County was \$73,100. **Tables 28 and 29** show the percentage of homeowners and renters, respectively, that are cost burdened within each income category. Nearly 30% of lower-income households with a mortgage and approximately 50% of all renter households were burdened by the cost of housing, meaning they spent more than 30% of their income on housing. Further, approximately 4.6% of extremely low-income

households with a mortgage were burdened by the cost of housing and approximately 75% of extremely low-income renter households were burdened by the cost of housing.

Table 28: Housing Assistance Needs of Lower-Income Homeowners with a Mortgage(2019)

Household Income	Percent of Cost-Burdened Households
	by Income
Household Income	3.4%
< \$20,000	
Household Income	1.2%
\$20,000 to \$34,999	
Household Income	4.6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	
Household Income	12.9%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	
Household Income	14.9%
<i>\$75,000 or more</i>	
Total	32.4%

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021o. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Financial Characteristics for Housing Units with a Mortgage. Data for United States. American Community Survey, Table ID S2506. Accessed March 2021.

https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=United%20States&t=Income%20and%20Poverty&g=1600000US0642468&y=2019&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S2506&hidePreview=false.

Household Income	Number of	Number of Cost-	Percent of Cost-
	Households	Burdened	Burdened
		Households	Households
Household Income < \$10,000	199	133	66.8%
Household Income <i>\$10,000 to \$19,999</i>	620	419	67.6%
Household Income <i>\$20,000 to \$34,999</i>	651	561	86.2%
Household Income <i>\$35,000 to \$49,999</i>	578	497	86.0%
Household Income <i>\$50,000 to \$74,999</i>	902	393	43.6%
Household Income <i>\$75,000 to \$99,000</i>	568	62	10.9%
Household Income <i>\$100,000 or more</i>	731	50	6.8%
Total	4,249	2,115	49.8%

Table 29: Housing Assistance Needs of Lower-Income Renters (2019)

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021p. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables." Household Income by Gross Rent as a Percentage of Household Income in the Past 12 Months. American Community Survey, Table ID B25074. Accessed March 2021.

https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=Renter%20Costs&t=Income%20and%20Poverty&g=1600000US0642468&y=2019&tid=ACSDT5Y2019.B25074&hidePreview=false.

Overcrowded Households

Overcrowding is defined by the U.S. Census Bureau as a housing unit occupied by more than one person per room. A severely overcrowded household is defined as one with more than 1.5 persons per room. Between 2010 and 2019, the total proportion of households considered to be overcrowded decreased by about 17%, and the total proportion of households considered to be severely overcrowded decreased by about 74%, as shown in **Table 30**.

Lower rates of overcrowding may lead to more positive health outcomes. For example, studies have shown that there is a strong correlation between crowded households and the probability of COVID-19 infection.¹ In particular, crowded households provide less opportunities for a person infected with COVID-19 to isolate themselves from the rest of the household.²

¹ Columbia University Irving Medical Center. 2020. "Crowded Homes, Poor Neighborhoods Linked to COVID-19." June 18, 2020. <u>https://www.cuimc.columbia.edu/news/crowded-homes-poor-neighborhoods-linked-covid-19</u>.

² Botts, J., and L. Bénichou. 2020. "The neighborhoods where COVID collides with overcrowded homes." CalMatters. June 12, 2020, Updated June 26, 2020. <u>https://calmatters.org/projects/california-coronavirus-overcrowded-neighborhoods-homes/.</u>

	2010		2019		Percent
Type of Overcrowding	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Change
Overcrowded (>1 and ≤ 1.5 occupants/room)	380	4.9%	315	3.9%	-17.1%
Severely Overcrowded (>1.5 occupants/room)	179	2.3%	46	0.6%	-74.3%

Table 30: Overcrowding in Lomita (2010, 2019)

U.S. Census Bureau 2021k.

U.S. Census Bureau 2021I.

Table 31 provides information on overcrowding by tenure in Lomita in 2019. The vast majority of both owner- and renter-occupied units had one or less occupant per room. While both owner- and renter-occupied units had relatively low levels of units considered crowded (approximately 3.1% and 4.6%), only renter-occupied units experienced any degree of severe overcrowding (approximately 1.1%). Overall, renter-occupied units experienced disproportionately higher rates of overcrowding and severe overcrowding than owner-occupied units.

Owner-Occupied Units		Renter-Occup	ied Units
Number	Percent	Number	Percent
3,693	96.9%	4,008	94.3%
120	3.1%	195	4.6%
0	0.0%	46	1.1%
3,813	100%	4,249	100%
		_	2021
	Number 3,693 120 0	Number Percent 3,693 96.9% 120 3.1% 0 0.0% 3,813 100%	Number Percent Number 3,693 96.9% 4,008 120 3.1% 195 0 0.0% 46 3,813 100% 4,249

Table 31: Overcrowding by Tenure in Lomita (2019)

Large Households

Large families are defined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as families with five or more members. Many large households have special housing needs because they tend to have lower household income and have few options or access to adequately sized, affordable housing. In 2019, there were a total of 575 large households, accounting for 7.1% of all households in Lomita, as shown in **Table 32**. Of those large households approximately 167 were renters. Further, as detailed in Table 13, in 2019 there were 2,554 housing units with 5 or more bedrooms. Of the units in the City, there are 138 renter occupied housing units with 4 or more bedrooms and 931 owner occupied housing units to meet the large household ownership needs, many large households that rent need financial assistance to help with housing affordability or support in entering the housing market.

Household Size	Number	Percent
Family households	5,038	100.4%
Two- to four-person household	4,463	88.9%
Five-person household	396	7.9%
Six-person household	130	2.6%
Seven-or-more-person household	49	1.0%
Nonfamily households	3,024	100%
One- to four-person household	3,024	100%
Five-person household	0	0%
Six-person household	0	0%
Seven-or-more-person household	0	0%
Total households	8,062	—

Table 32: Household Type by Household Size in Lomita (2019)

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021q. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables." Household Type by Household Size. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID B11016. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20b11016&tid=ACSDT5Y2019.B11016&hidePreview=false.

Single-Parent Households

Table 33 provides the number of single-parent households in Lomita. In 2019, single-parent households made up approximately 6% of all households in Lomita, and women were the head of about 89% of all single-parent households. As previously discussed, there was an increase of approximately 25.7% of household types with Family Households where the householder is female and there is no spouse present.

 Table 33: Single-Parent Households in Lomita (2019)

Households	Number	Percent*
Total households with children under the age of 18	2,389	29.6%
Single-parent households	519	6.4%
Single-parent households headed by women	463	5.7%

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021r. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles." Selected Social Characteristics in the United States. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID DP02. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20California%20single%20parent&tid=ACSDP5Y2019.DP02&hidePreview=false.

Note: *Of all households (8,062).

Housing Costs and Affordability

Housing affordability is dependent upon income and housing costs. The Federal standard of rental affordability is that a household should spend no more than 30% of its gross income on monthly housing costs and utilities. In 2019, the AMI for Los Angeles County was \$73,100, indicating that the healthy maximum housing cost for the median income household should not exceed 21,930 a year or 1,828 per month. The maximum affordability of housing costs per household is further detailed below and provided in **Table 34**.

Household Income Category	Annual Income	Maximum Affordable Monthly
		Payment for Housing and
		Utilities
Two-Person		
Extremely Low	\$25,050	\$626
Very Low	\$41,800	\$1,045
Low	\$66,800	\$1,670
Median	\$58,500	\$1,462
Moderate	\$70,150	\$1,754
Four-Person		
Extremely Low	\$31,300	\$782
Very Low	\$52,200	\$1,305
Low	\$83,500	\$2,088
Median	\$73,100	\$1,827
Moderate	\$87,700	\$2,193

Table 34: Maximum Affordable Price and Rent in Los Angeles County (2019)

California Department of Housing and Community Development. 2019. "State Income Limits for 2019." Memorandum from Z. Olmstead (Deputy Director, Division of Housing Policy Development) to Interested Parties. May 6. 2019.

https://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/income-limits/state-and-federal-income-limits/docs/income-limits-2019.pdf.

Median Home Value and Median Rent

In Lomita, the median home value in 2019 for owner-occupied units was \$604,300 and median monthly rent was \$1,394. **Table 35** provides the median value and median rent in Lomita, and **Table 36** provides the gross median rent by bedroom count in 2019. For perspective, a 30-year fixed mortgage at a 4% interest rate can cost approximately \$2,865 a month, and that price will vary depending on the amount of the down payment provided. Down payments at 20% or more will help buyers obtain lower interest rates, which tend to fluctuate from day-to-day, leaving homeownership out of reach for lower and even moderate-income households. Monthly rents are out of reach for extremely low-income households and even some very low-income households. Further, when all other household costs are considered such as student loan payments; housing attainability leaves many households at varying income levels constrained.

Table 35: Median Value and Median Rent in Lomita (2019)

Value/Rent	2019
Median value for owner-occupied units	\$604,300
Median monthly rent for occupied units paying	\$1,394
rent	

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021I.

Number of Bedrooms	Median Gross Rent
No Bedroom	\$1,065
One Bedroom	\$1,162
Two Bedrooms	\$1,475
Three Bedrooms	\$1,874
Four Bedrooms	\$3,331
Five or more Bedrooms	—

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021s. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables." Median Gross Rent by Bedrooms. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID B25031. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20median%20rent%20by%20bedroom&tid=ACSDT5Y2019.B25031&hidePreview=false.

Special Needs Groups

Groups with special needs can face increased challenges in housing. Individuals experiencing homelessness and in need of emergency shelter, older adults, persons with disabilities, large families, farmworkers, and families with a female head of household often have difficulty finding housing to meet their specific needs. This section provides an analysis of special needs groups in Lomita. Policies and programs to address these needs are incorporated throughout the Housing Element.

Older Adults

Older adults refer to those that are age 65 and over. Like many cities across California, the population of older adults is increasing and housing that meets changing needs of this demographic is becoming increasingly important. Older adults share four common characteristics:

- Income: People over 65 are usually retired and living on a fixed income.
- Healthcare: Accounts for an increasing proportion of older adults' expenses.
- Transportation: Many older adults require assistance with transportation.
- Housing: Many live alone, and their homes require modifications to meet changing levels of ability.

These characteristics indicate a need for smaller, lower-cost housing with easy access to transit, healthcare facilities, and other services. In 2019, more than 31% of the total households had a resident aged 65 years or older—an increase of approximately 46% since 2010, as shown in **Table 37**. In the same time period, there was approximately an 82% increase in householders 65 years and over living alone.

	2010		2019		Change	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Households with One or More Person 65 Years and Over	1,722	22.1%	2,520	31.3%	+798	+46.3%
Householder Living Alone (65 years and over)	633	8.1%	1,152	14.3%	+519	+82.0%

Table 37: Households with Older Adults in Lomita (2010, 2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau 2021r.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021t. "2010: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles." Selected Social Characteristics in the United States. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID DP02. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20California%20single%20parent&tid=ACSDP5Y2010.DP02&hidePreview=false.

Farmworkers

Statewide, farmworker housing is of unique concern and of unique importance. While only a small share of SCAG region jurisdictions has farmworkers living in them, they are essential to the region's economy and food supply. While there are no farmworker jobs in Lomita (see **Table 38**), there were approximately 39 people employed in the agriculture industry in 2018 (see **Table 39**). This represents a very small portion of total workers in Lomita indicating that there is little need to plan for farmworker housing in Lomita. Further, in 2019 there were approximately 63 jobs in the agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining industries in Lomita. However, those were all reported to be in sales and office occupations, service occupations, and management, business, science, and arts occupations. None of the farmworker jobs in Lomita in 2018 or 2019 were in production, transportation, or the moving of materials.

Table 38: Farmworkers by Occupation in Lomita (2018)

Number of	Percent of	SCAG Total	Description
Farmworkers	Total Lomita		
	Workers		
0	0.0%	57,741	Total jobs: Farming, fishing, and forestry
			occupations
0	0.0%	31,521	Full-time, year-round jobs: Farming, fishing, and
			forestry occupations

Sources:

SCAG 2020a

Note: SCAG = Southern California Association of Governments.

Number Employed in Agriculture Industry	Percent of Total Lomita Workers	SCAG Total	Description
25	0.2%	73,778	Total in agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting
14	0.2%	44,979	Full-time, year-round in agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting

Table 39: Employment in the Agriculture Industry in Lomita (2018)

Scag 2020a

Note: SCAG = Southern California Association of Governments.

Persons with Disabilities

Several Federal and State statutes affect the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. The Fair Housing Act (1968) prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in all types of housing transactions and defines "persons with a disability" as those individuals with mental or physical impairments that substantially limit one or more major life activities. The Fair Housing Amendments Act (1988) requires local jurisdictions to "make reasonable accommodations in rules, policies, practices, or services when such accommodations may be necessary to afford such person equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling."

The 2010 Census defines six types of disabilities: sensory, physical, mental, self-care, gooutside-home, and employment. The Census defines sensory and physical disabilities as "long-lasting conditions." Mental, self-care, go-outside-home, and employment disabilities are defined as conditions lasting six months or more that make it difficult to perform certain activities. A more detailed description of each disability is provided:

- Sensory: Blindness, deafness, or severe vision or hearing impairment.
- **Physical:** A condition that substantially limits one or more basic physical activities, such as walking, climbing stairs, reaching, lifting, or carrying.
- Mental: A mental condition lasting more than six months that impairs learning, remembering, or concentrating.
- Self-care: A condition that restricts the ability to dress, bathe, or get around inside the home.
- **Go-outside-home:** A condition that restricts the ability to go outside the home alone to shop or visit a doctor's office.
- Employment: A condition that restricts the ability to work at a job or business.

Disability by Type

Table 40 provides the population with a disability in Lomita in 2019. In 2019, approximately 11% (2,198) of Lomita's population had a disability and approximately 27% of those age 65 and older had a disability. Because older adults make up the largest subgroup of those with a disability, as older adults continue to age, the need for housing to meet varying levels of ability will become increasingly vital.

Disability Type	Number	Percent
Hearing difficulty	496	2.4%
Vision difficulty	322	1.6%
Cognitive difficulty	884	4.7%
Ambulatory difficulty	1,234	6.6%
Self-care difficulty	481	2.6%
Independent living difficulty	804	5.0%
Total	2,198	11.0%

Table 40: Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population with a Disability in Lomita (2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021u. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables." Disability Characteristics. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID S1810. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20city,%20disability%20type&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S1810&hidePreview=false.

Disability by Age

Among those age under 18 years old, the largest proportion of disabilities is cognitive. Among those age 18 years and over, the largest proportion of disabilities is ambulatory. **Table 41** provides the disability type by age in Lomita in 2019.

Disability Type	Number	Percent
Total Population	20,379	_
Total Population with a disability	2,198	10.8%
Population under 18 years old	4,350	_
<i>Population with a disability under 18 years old*</i>	66	1.5%
Hearing difficulty	13	0.3%
Vision difficulty	0	0.0%
Cognitive difficulty	53	1.9%
Ambulatory difficulty	0	0.0%
Self-care difficulty	0	0.0%
Independent living difficulty	—	—
Population 18 to 64 years old	12,559	—
<i>Population with a disability 18 to 64 years old*</i>	1,191	9.5%
Hearing difficulty	125	1.0%
Vision difficulty	173	1.4%
Cognitive difficulty	499	4.0%
Ambulatory difficulty	653	5.2%
Self-care difficulty	166	1.3%
Independent living difficulty	419	3.3%
Population 65 years and over	3,470	—
<i>Population with a disability 65 years and over*</i>	941	27.1%
Hearing difficulty	358	10.3%
Vision difficulty	149	4.3%
Cognitive difficulty	332	9.6%
Ambulatory difficulty	581	16.7%
Self-care difficulty	315	9.1%
Independent living difficulty	385	11.1%

Table 41: Disability Type by Age in Lomita (2019)

U.S. Census Bureau 2021u.

Disability by Employment Status

Table 42 provides the employment status by disability in Lomita in 2019. Among the civilian noninstitutionalized population age 18 to 64 years in 2019, the unemployment rate for those with a disability was approximately 10%, while the unemployment rate for those without a disability was approximately 3%. In 2019, the overall unemployment rate for the population 16 years and over in the civilian labor force was approximately 2%. This indicates that persons with disabilities have may have more difficulty finding work than the overall population.

	With a Disability			No Disability			
Employment	Number	Percent of	Percent of	Number	Percent of	Percent of	
Status		Total	Total in		Total	Total in	
			Labor Force			Labor Force	
Employed	540	45.3%	90.3%	9,300	81.8%	97.1%	
Unemployed	58	4.9%	9.7%	275	2.4%	2.9%	
Not in Labor	593	49.8%	—	1,793	15.8%	—	
Force							
Total	1,191	100%	—	11,368	100%	—	
Total in	598	50.2%	100%	9,575	84.2%	100%	
Labor Force							

Table 42: Employment Status by Disability for Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population18 to 64 Years in Lomita (2019)

Sources:

U.S. Census Bureau. 2021v. "2019: ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables." Employment Status by Disability Status. Data for Lomita. American Community Survey, Table ID C18120. Accessed March 2021. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=lomita%20disability%20by%20employment&tid=ACSDT5Y2019.C18120&hidePreview=false.

Persons with Developmental Disabilities

According to Section 4512 of the California Welfare and Institutions Code, a "Developmental Disability" is a disability that originates before an individual reaches 18 years of age, continues, or can be expected to continue, indefinitely, and constitutes a substantial disability for that individual, which includes intellectual disability, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and autism. The U.S. Census describes a "Cognitive Disability" as an intellectual impairment that causes one to have difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions. Cognitive disabilities include mental and emotional conditions, such as an intellectual disability, which often results in difficulty getting along with other children, doing schoolwork, or making decisions. Many persons with developmental disabilities can live and work independently within a conventional housing environment. However, the most severely affected individuals may require an institutional environment where medical attention and physical therapy are provided. Because developmental disabilities exist before adulthood, the first issue in

supportive housing for the developmentally disabled is the transition from the person's living situation as a child to an appropriate level of independence as an adult.

The State Department of Developmental Services (DDS) currently provides services and support to individuals with developmental disabilities. Services are provided through state-operated developmental centers and community facilities and contracts with 21 nonprofit regional centers. The Harbor Regional Center (HRC) serves the health districts of Bellflower, Harbor, Long Beach, and Torrance within the City of Los Angeles.³ HRC served a total of 15,148 clients in 2020, of which 12,922 (approximately 85%) were clients with developmental disabilities in the community.⁴

Homelessness

The Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) is a joint powers authority of the City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, created in 1993 to address the needs of those experiencing homelessness in Los Angeles County. LAHSA is the lead agency in the HUD-funded Los Angeles Continuum of Care, and coordinates and manages over \$70 million annually in Federal, State, County, and city funds for programs providing shelter, housing, and services to people experiencing homelessness.

LAHSA conducts the annual point-in-time counts for Lomita and the greater Los Angeles region. Data for both Lomita and its designated Service Planning Area (SPA) is provided in the point-in-time counts. To ensure local control and planning, Los Angeles County is divided into eight geographic areas designated as SPA's which are designed for planning and tracking data relative to public health and social services. Each SPA is expected to have a balance of homelessness services. Lomita is located within SPA eight. The SPA approach to balancing services among larger geographical areas ensures that homelessness is treated as a regional housing issue, rather than a city-specific issue. This approach is important for addressing solutions comprehensively. Further, a recent ballot initiative was approved by the voters, demonstrating region-wide and local community support for solutions to meet the needs of those experiencing homelessness. After being approved by voters in March 2017, Measure H went into effect in 2018, raising the sales tax countywide by a guarter-percent to raise money for homeless services, which will be used to fund affordable housing solutions such as increasing the supply of supportive and interim housing for people. experiencing homelessness across the region. The 2020 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Pointin-Time count for SPA eight is provided below in Table 43, followed by Lomita's point-in-time count results in Table 44.

³ DDS (California Department of Developmental Services). 2021. "Regional Center Listings." Accessed March 2021. https://www.dds.ca.gov/rc/listings.

⁴ HRC (Harbor Regional Center). n.d. "Harbor Regional Center Demographics 2020." https://www.harborrc.org/sites/main/files/file-attachments/demographics_2020_0.pdf?1607463864.

Population	Sheltered	Unsheltered	Total
All Persons	1,048	3,512	4,560
All Households	630	3,342	3,972
Household Composition	n		
Adults 25+ (not in family units)	377	3,224	3,601
Transitional Age Youth 18 to 24 (not in family units)	26	51	77
Unaccompanied Minors (Under 18) (not in family units)	8	N/A	8
Family Households with at least 1 child under 18	219	67	286
Veterans			
Veterans who are individuals	199	220	419
Veterans in families	N/A	1	1
Race/Ethnicity			
American Indian/ Alaska Native	2	61	63
Asian	6	55	61
Black/ African American	548	727	1,275
Hispanic/ Latino	335	1,124	1,459
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	5	33	38
White	131	1,432	1,563
Multi-Racial/ Other	21	80	101
Age	·	•	·
Under 18	408	124	532
18 to 24	67	68	135
25 to 54	400	2,380	2,780
55 to 61	94	427	521
62 and Over	79	513	592
Sexual Orientation	· ·		
Straight	917	3,365	4,282

Table 43: People Experiencing Homelessness in Service Planning Area Eight

Gay or Lesbian	7	37	44
Bisexual	8	98	106
Sexual orientation	116	12	128
Non-Conforming			
Health and Disability (i	ndicators are not mutual	ly exclusive)	
Substance Use	39	1,374	1,413
Disorder			
HIV/AIDS	9	26	35
Serious Mental Illness	113	703	816
Developmental	68	223	291
Disability			
Physical Disability	96	883	979
Domestic/Intimate Part	tner violence		
DV/IPV Experience	98	1,074	1,172
Homeless Due to	23	333	356
Fleeing DV/IPV			

Whereas Lomita's population only makes up 0.2% of the region's population in 2020, Lomita's proportion of the region's share of those experiencing homelessness is much lower at 0.037%. This is likely due to Lomita's lack of available rapid transit, major job centers, and key facilities that generally serve the needs of those experiencing homelessness. Due to the low availability of services, of those that are experiencing homelessness in Lomita, it is likely that they were once either sheltered residents of Lomita's population or possibly became stranded in Lomita.

Residents	City of Lomita	Los Angeles County
Sheltered	10	18,395
Unsheltered	15	48,041
Total	25	66,436

 Table 44: People Experiencing Homelessness by City and County (2020)

Sources:

LAHSA (Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority). 2021a. "2020 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count – Data Summary, Total Point-In-Time Homeless Population by Geographic Areas." February 3, 2021. https://www.lahsa.org/documents?id=4692-2020-greater-los-angeles-homeless-count-total-point-in-time-homeless-population-by-geographic-areas.

Los Angeles Almanac. 2021. "Homeless Counts - Los Angeles County, By City, 2020, 2019 & 2018." Accessed March 2021. http://www.laalmanac.com/social/so14b.php.

LAHSA. 2021b. "2020 Homeless Count by Community/City" [online database]. Accessed March 2021. https://www.lahsa.org/data?id=45-2020-homeless-count-by-community-city.

Available Resources

As discussed above, homelessness is recognized as a region-wide issue where region-wide housing solutions are needed. The funding acquired by Measure H is already being used to implement housing developments throughout the Los Angeles region to better serve the needs of those experiencing homelessness. Solutions throughout the Los Angeles region will help assist the needs of those in Lomita, including the 15 unsheltered people, as well as those in need across all cities in the region.

A Continuum of Care (CoC) is an integrated system of care that guides and tracks individuals and families experiencing homelessness through a comprehensive array of housing and services designed to prevent and end homelessness. HUD defined a CoC as a community plan to organize and deliver housing and services to meet the specific needs of people experiencing homelessness as they move to stable housing and maximize self-sufficiency. It includes action steps to end homelessness and prevent a return to homelessness. The continuum has four necessary parts which include outreach, intake and assessment; emergency shelter; transitional housing with supportive services; and permanent and permanent supportive housing with services if needed. Continuum of Care programs for people experiencing homelessness consist of a network of emergency and transitional shelters as defined in **Table 45**. In addition, permanent supportive housing programs for persons previously experiencing homelessness are also major components of the region's network of care. **Table 46** lists the interim housing facilities for those experiencing homelessness within Service Planning Area Eight.

TermDefinitionEmergencyProvides low-barrier, safe and supportive 14-hour shelter, food, and comfortSheltersto those experiencing homelessness. Winter Emergency Shelters are seasonalEmergency Shelters that operate from December 1st to March 31st.TransitionalProvides longer-term shelter solutions through temporary housing optionsHousingthat can last up to 24 months and includes supportive services, such as case a management.SupportiveProvides long-term housing with wraparound services that are meant toHousingupport the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.Grisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and support to 24hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housingresources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. CrisisHousing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsHousing prioritizes individual sexperiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. BridgeHousing prioritizes individual person, with the most vulnerableprioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical diability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES ProgramHousingApid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as components.Ho		
Sheltersto those experiencing homelessness. Winter Emergency Shelters are seasonal Emergency Shelters that operate from December 1st to March 31st.Transitional HousingProvides longer-term shelter solutions through temporary housing options that can last up to 24 months and includes supportive services, such as case management.Supportive HousingProvides long-term housing with wraparound services that are meant to support the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.Crisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge Housing on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizze individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Term	Definition
Emergency Shelters that operate from December 1st to March 31st.Transitional HousingProvides longer-term shelter solutions through temporary housing options that can last up to 24 months and includes supportive services, such as case management.Supportive HousingProvides long-term housing with wraparound services that are meant to support the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.Crisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge Housing on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizze individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rejid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Emergency	Provides low-barrier, safe and supportive 14-hour shelter, food, and comfort
Transitional HousingProvides longer-term shelter solutions through temporary housing options that can last up to 24 months and includes supportive services, such as case management.Supportive HousingProvides long-term housing with wraparound services that are meant to support the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.Crisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge Housing on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Shelters	to those experiencing homelessness. Winter Emergency Shelters are seasonal
Housingthat can last up to 24 months and includes supportive services, such as case management.Supportive HousingProvides long-term housing with wraparound services that are meant to support the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.Crisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge Housing on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		Emergency Shelters that operate from December 1st to March 31st.
management.Supportive HousingProvides long-term housing with wraparound services that are meant to support the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.Crisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge Housing on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall <td>Transitional</td> <td>Provides longer-term shelter solutions through temporary housing options</td>	Transitional	Provides longer-term shelter solutions through temporary housing options
Supportive HousingProvides long-term housing with wraparound services that are meant to support the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.Crisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Housing	that can last up to 24 months and includes supportive services, such as case
Housingsupport the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.Crisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		management.
Crisis HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as ourickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Supportive	Provides long-term housing with wraparound services that are meant to
hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Housing	support the stability and health of individuals experiencing homelessness.
are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Crisis Housing	Provides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24-
resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		hour residence to persons/households experiencing homelessness, while they
and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as prevention and quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		are being quickly assessed and connected to a broad range of housing
Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program componentsBridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		resources. Crisis Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA
Bridge HousingProvides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24- hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Crisis
bour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		Housing should fit seamlessly with the other CES Program components
on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Bridge Housing	Provides a safe, low-barrier, housing first, housing-focused, and supportive 24-
Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		hour residence to persons experiencing homelessness, while they are working
vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as ousing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		on locating, applying to, and obtaining their permanent housing. Bridge
prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		Housing prioritizes individuals experiencing homelessness based on the
disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		vulnerability level of the individual person, with the most vulnerable
collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		prioritized. Prioritization factors include mental health conditions, physical
Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program components.Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		disability, and length of homelessness. Bridge Housing programs must work in
components.HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option asPrevention andquickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a moreRapid Re-stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		collaboration with LAHSA and the Coordinated Entry System (CES) in Los
HomelessnessRapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option asPrevention andquickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a moreRapid Re-stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		Angeles County. Bridge housing should fit seamlessly with other CES Program
Prevention and Rapid Re-quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall		components.
Rapid Re-stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall	Homelessness	Rapid re-housing reconnects families and individuals to a housing option as
	Prevention and	quickly as possible using housing vouchers and rental assistance. It is a more
Housing shortage of housing, these options are often limited.	Rapid Re-	stable and cost-effective way to house people, however due to an overall
	Housing	shortage of housing, these options are often limited.

Table 45: Housing Option Definitions

Table 46: Interim Housing Facilities for those Experiencing Homelessness withinService Planning Area Eight

Provider	Туре	Population	Bed or Room
		Served	Count
Catholic Charities Inc. Project Achieve	Bridge Housing	Single men	43 beds for
		and	men and 16
		women	beds for
		ages 18	women
		and older	
U.S. Veteran's Initiative Inc.	Crisis Housing	Veterans	600 beds
	Bridge Housing	(men,	Rapid re-
	Transitional and	women,	housing and
	Permanent	and	homelessness
	Housing	families)	prevention
	Rapid re-housing		services for
			over 300
			households
Harbor Interfaith – South Bay Auxiliary	Temporary Bridge	Families	100 beds
	Home Shelter		
Doors of Hope Women's Shelter	Privately Funded –	Women	20 beds
	Temporary/Day		
	Out Shelter		
Beacon Light Mission	Privately Funded -	Men	22 beds
	Temporary/Day		
	Out Shelter		
Rainbow House	Emergency shelter	Women	40 beds
	that provides	and	
	temporary	Children	
	housing to women		
	and children		
	experiencing		
	violence/facing		
	homelessness due		
	to domestic		
	violence.		
Women's Shelter of Long Beach	Emergency	Women	15 beds
-	Supportive	and	
	Housing for	Children	
	victims of		
	domestic violence.		

Long Beach Rescue Mission (Lydia House)	Transitional	Women	50 beds
	housing with	and	
	support services	Children	
Long Beach Rescue Mission (Samaritan House)	Emergency Shelter	Men	140 beds
Community's Child	Transitional	Single	Single family
	Housing	mothers	home with
		and	accessory
		infants	dwelling unit
			and garage
			conversion.

Sources:

LAHSA (Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority). 2019. "LAHSA Interim Housing List." Updated October 2019. https://www.lahsa.org/documents?id=2196-lahsa-shelter-list.pdf.

In addition to the resources detailed above to assist those experiencing homelessness, cities play an important role in connecting those experiencing homelessness with supportive services. Working with the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, city staff and the South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCOG) more innovative approaches are being identified to address homelessness, including identifying a strong network of churches and non-profit service providers (Harbor Interfaith Services, St. Margaret's Center, PATH and others). Similar efforts are identifying resources available to assist extremely low-income households and those with special needs. One such program is Home Share South Bay which leverages the power of the internet to connect homeowners with individuals looking for an affordable room. Lomita has a population of 1,285 people that either have a self-care difficulty or an independent living difficulty. There is no available data to determine the proportion of these populations that have assistance from family and friends. Some of those with disabilities may qualify for available public and affordable housing (Table 47) or rental subsidies provided by the county, as detailed in the programs below. Additionally, the estimated extremely low-income housing need for the 6th cycle Housing Element is 119 units.

Table 47 details the public and affordable housing developments in Lomita, including those restricted for Section 8 housing vouchers or restricted as affordable through other subsidies.

Site	Number of	Population Served	Form of Assistance	Earliest
	Assisted Units			Expiration of
				Affordability
Harbor Hills –	301	Families and Seniors	County owned and	Permanent
26607 S. Western			subsidized	
Ave. Lomita				
90717				
Lomita Kiwanis	67	Seniors	HUD Section	2027
Gardens – 25109			202/811 Section 8	
Ebony Lane			НАР	
Lomita Manor	78	Seniors	City owned and	Permanent
			subsidized	

Table 47: Public and Affordable Housing

Other resources to assist extremely low-income households and those with special needs include programs funded by the State's Senate Bill 2 funding program. In 2017, the State of California approved Senate Bill (SB 2), which created the Building Homes and Jobs Act and the Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA) Grant program. The PLHA program is the State's first permanent source of funding for affordable housing, something cities have not had since the elimination of Redevelopment. Revenue is generated through recording fees on real estate transactions and, therefore, will vary from year to year depending on market activity. The methodology for the allocation of funds is based on population size, rates of poverty, and overcrowding. The County of Los Angeles Development Authority (LACDA) has allocated \$140,481 to Lomita for the 2021-2022 program.

The City Council authorized County Staff to administer the total allocated funding of \$140,481 from the PLHA Grant on behalf of the City for the following eligible activities:

- Capital and/or operating cost at Lomita Manor (\$0 \$140,481)
- Efforts to acquire and rehabilitate foreclosed or vacant homes/apartments (\$0 \$140,481).

Further, programs of the Housing Element that are designed to assist those with special needs include the following programs:

- Program 1: Housing Rehabilitation Grant Through this program 5 lower-income households will be assisted annually in the rehabilitation of single-family and mobile homes. Funding through this program can be used to assist with modifications to assist in accessibility upgrades.
- Program 3: Mobile Home Park Regulations This program will establish and ordinance to place additional protections for residents of mobile home parks, many of which qualify as extremely low-income households.

- Program 6: Accessory Dwelling Units Through this program the City will further incentivize the production of ADUs. ADUs can provide opportunities for those with special needs such as seniors or those with disabilities, including developmental disabilities, by creating housing that is in an independent setting while still allowing for support from caregivers who reside on the same lot.
- Program 9: Accessibility This program will provide a website with resources related to accessibility such as home retrofit guides and universal design options that can help better inform developers and residents of potential changes that can be made in housing to increase accessibility for those with limited abilities.

Preservation of At-Risk Housing

As shown in Table 47, the agreement for Lomita Kiwanis Gardens development assistance is set to expire in 2027. This Section 8 property is governed under a Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) contract between HUD and the landlord. HAP contracts generally last between 5 and 20 years, with the majority being 20-year contracts. This property has been identified as a low-risk of expiration because the likeliness that the contract with HUD will be renewed is extremely high. According to HUD's records, this property has been owned and maintained as an affordable housing development by the Retirement Housing Foundation, a non-profit, since 1985. If the expiration of these units were to expire without renewal and they were to be replaced through the development of new affordable units, the projected cost of replacing the 67 units would cost approximately 9 million dollars assuming a per unit cost of approximately \$135,000. The renewal of the contract between the non-profit and HUD is the most affordable and most likely strategy for preserving the affordability of the Lomita Kiwanis Gardens. Through **Program 4** of the Housing Element, the City is committed to doing what they can to ensure the ongoing preservation of those units identified as at-risk.

Appendix C: Constraints and Zoning Analysis

Contents

Introduction	1
Governmental Constraints	1
Land Use and Zoning	1
Residential Uses by Zone	2
Manufactured Housing	3
Accessory Dwelling Units	3
Homeless Facilities	4
Housing for People with Special Needs	8
Specific Plan	10
Building Standards	11
Development Standards	11
Mixed Use Overlay Standards	11
Parking Standards	13
Building Codes and Enforcement	14
On-Site and Off-Site Improvements	15
Energy Conservation	16
Permitting Processes and Fees	16
Permit Processes and Timelines	17
Conditional Use Permits	
Site Plan Review	19
Variances	

Zone Change	20
Height Variation Permit	20
Subdivisions	20
Fees and Exactions	21
Locally adopted ordinances	24
Non-Governmental Constraints	25
Market Constraints	25
Construction Financing	25
Mortgage Financing	26
Land and Construction Costs	27
Environmental Constraints	28
Water Supply	
Seismic Concerns	28
Geological Hazards	29
Flooding	29
Infrastructure Capacity	29
Water	29
Sewers	31
Stormwater	31
Analysis of Local Efforts to Remove Constraints	
Quantified Objectives	

Introduction

There are many factors that may affect the type, timing, and cost of housing development, maintenance, and improvement. Both governmental and non-governmental factors can act as barriers to the development of housing. The City of Lomita (City) can exercise some control to alleviate some of these barriers through regulatory changes and process improvements. The constraints detailed below include those governmental constraints related to local land use and zoning regulations, code enforcement, required on-site and off-site improvements, development permit processes, fees and exactions, and other local regulations. Further an analysis of non-governmental constraints related to the availability of financing, land costs, construction costs, as well as a detailed overview of the existing infrastructural and environmental constraints to development. Further, an analysis of local efforts to remove constraints to the development of housing is included. Ongoing and new programs aimed to facilitate development and further remove constraints are detailed in the policy and program portion of the Housing Element.

Governmental Constraints

Land Use and Zoning

State law requires that each city have a General Plan that establishes policy guidelines for future development. The City of Lomita General Plan was adopted in 1998 and consists of an integrated and internally consistent set of policies and implementing programs. The General Plan Land Use Element sets forth land use designations to guide the location, type, and intensity or density of permitted uses of land in Lomita. The City of Lomita Zoning Ordinance implements the land use element of the General Plan by providing specific direction and development standards within each of the land use categories. These land use controls can facilitate or limit certain types of development.

The Housing Element includes a list of goals, policies, and programs that are internally consistent with the General Plan. The Housing Element most specifically addresses the policies of the General Plan Land Use Element since it is the Land Use Element that designates the location and extent of residential development throughout Lomita. The following goals of the General Plan Land Use Element outline the vision for the City of Lomita consistent with the goals, policies, and programs identified in the Housing Element:

- 1. To promote an orderly pattern of development in the City;
- 2. To provide for a variety of housing opportunities;
- 3. To promote the development of a wide range of commercial activities;

- 4. To ensure a strong employment and commercial base to finance public improvements and services; and
- 5. To provide adequate public services and facilities.

As shown in Table 1, the Land Use Element describes five land uses that permit residential uses, including a Mixed-Use designation that acts as an overlay in a portion of some commercially zoned sites.

Land Use Designation	Acres	Description
Agriculture	90.9	Areas which are lower density in character and where the keeping of animals is permitted. The allowable density is up to 8.7 dwelling units per acre.
Low Density Residential	506.3	Areas that are developed with single- family homes. The allowable density is 5.8 to 8.7 dwelling units per acre.
Medium Density Residential	60.1	Areas which are developed with multifamily residential uses and mobile homes. The allowable density is 8.72 to 19.8 dwelling units per acre.
High Density Residential	45.3	Areas which are developed with multifamily residential uses and mobile homes. The allowable density is 19.8 to 43.6 dwelling units per acre. Specific Plan approval has allowed up to 88 dwelling units per acre.
Mixed Use	58.5	Horizontal and vertical mixed-use housing types at a maximum density of 22 units per acre.
Total	761.1	

Table 1: Residential General Plan Land Uses

Residential Uses by Zone

The City's zoning regulations accommodate a diversity of housing types to meet the varying needs of residents at all economic segments. This includes housing to meet the special needs of seniors, persons with disabilities, farmworkers, and those experiencing homelessness. Table 2 details the residential housing types permitted under each zoning designation.

Residential Use	A-1	R-1	RVD	MUO	M-C
	Agriculture	Single-	Residential	Mixed Use	Light
		Family	Variable	Overlay ¹	Manufacturing
		Residential	Density		and Commercial ¹
Accessory Dwelling Units	Р	Р	Р		
Community Care					
Facilities	Р	Р	Р		
(six or fewer people)					
Community Care					
Facilities	С	С	С		
(7 to 15 people)					
Emergency Shelters					Р
Home Occupations	Р	р	р	в	
(live/work)	r	r	r	В	
Manufactured Homes	Р	Р	Р		
Multifamily Housing ²			P ²	С	
Rooming House			Р		
Senior Citizen Planned			С		
Unit Developments			C		
Single-Family	Р	р	Р	С	
Residence	r	r	r		
Single-Room				С	
Occupancy					
Supportive Housing	Р	Р	P ²		
Transitional housing	Р	Р	P ²		

Table 2: Zoning for a Variety of Housing Types

P = Permitted, C= Conditional Use Permit, B= City-approved Business License, Home Occupation Permit, and approval by the Community Development Director

are required.

(1) Any uses where new buildings, structures or additions are proposed; the location of said buildings, structures and additions shall be to the satisfaction of the planning commission.

(2) Additions and new buildings requiring additional parking must receive "site plan" approval pursuant to this title.

Manufactured Housing

Manufactured housing or factory-built housing on permanent foundation are permitted in zones where single-family homes are permitted (A-1, R-1, RVD, CPD). No discretionary permit is required for manufactured housing.

Accessory Dwelling Units

Accessory and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU and JADU) are permitted in agricultural, single-family, and multifamily residential zones, subject to the review and approval by the

City's community development director. The City updated their code to comply with State requirements in 2018, however State law was further amended in 2019. Consistent with State law, the current code allows ADUs to have a maximum size of 1,200 square feet; parking is not required; and the square footage is not calculated as part of the site's total floor area ratio. Additionally, the conversion of space in an existing structure to an ADU is permitted, as well as newly constructed ADUs that are attached to the primary dwelling. However, updates to meet the following State requirements are needed: one ADU and one JADU are permitted on a lot with an existing or proposed single-family structure; ADUs are permitted in any zone that permits single-family or multifamily residential uses; and where on-site parking is lost for the construction of an ADU, that parking cannot be required to be replaced. While an amendment to the City's code is needed to address State requirements, the City currently permits ADUs consistent with State regulations. **Program 6, Accessory Dwelling Units** of the Housing Element will ensure that the City's zoning code is made consistent with the requirements of State law, as they relate to ADUs.

Homeless Facilities

Transitional Housing

Transitional housing facilities are defined under state law as buildings configured as rental housing developments, but operated under program requirements that require the termination of assistance and recirculating of the assisted unit to another eligible program recipient at a predetermined future point in time that shall be no less than six months from the beginning of the assistance. They offer temporary residential accommodations for those experiencing homelessness or families transitioning to permanent housing. Transitional housing often includes a supportive services component, such as job skills training or rehabilitation counseling to allow individuals to gain the necessary life skills to support independent living. In accordance with State law (AB 139, 2019), transitional housing must be considered a residential use of property and shall be subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zone. Transitional housing is a permitted use in agricultural and single-family zones, and may require site plan approval in multifamily zones when additions and new buildings require additional parking. Consistent with State requirements, transitional housing is only subject to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zone in A-1, R-1, and MUO zones, however in the RVD zone transitional housing is subject to a site plan approval, whereas single family housing is permitted in the RVD without being subject to a site plan approval. Therefore, **Program 13** of the Housing Element will remove the discretionary requirement for transitional housing in the RVD zone.

Supportive Housing

Supportive housing is defined as housing with no limit on length of stay that is occupied by the target population and that is linked to on-site or off-site services that assist the supportive housing resident in retaining the housing, improving their health status, and maximizing their ability to live and, when possible, work in the community. The target population for supportive housing may provide mental health support and counseling, as well as other services needed to support families and individuals with independent living. In accordance with State law, supportive housing shall be a use by right in zones where multifamily uses. Supportive housing is permitted, without a discretionary permit, in A-1 and R-1 zones. Supportive housing is a permitted use in the RVD zone, however new buildings that require additional parking require site plan approval. **Program 9** of the Housing Element will allow supportive housing by-right in zones where multifamily uses in accordance with AB 2162, 2018.

Low Barrier Navigation Centers

In accordance with Section 65662 of the California Government Code a Low Barrier Navigation Center is a Housing First, low-barrier, service-enriched shelter focused on moving people into permanent housing that provides temporary living facilities while case managers connect individuals experiencing homelessness to income, public benefits, health services, shelter, and housing. "Low Barrier" means best practices to reduce barriers to entry. Emergency shelters can qualify as Low Barrier Navigation Centers. The development of these uses must be permitted by-right in areas zoned for mixed use and nonresidential zones permitting multifamily residential uses so long as it offers services to connect people to permanent housing through a services plan that identifies services staffing; it is linked to a coordinated entry system; it complies with Chapter 6.5 of Division 8 of the Welfare and Institutions Code; and it has a system for entering information regarding client stays, demographics, income, and exit destination through the local Homeless Management Information System. The focus of Low Barrier Navigation Centers is to move people into permanent housing. The City's Zoning Code does not define Low Barrier Navigation Centers as a use, therefore, Program 8, Low Barrier Navigation Centers, will amend the zoning code to permit the development of Low Barrier Navigation Centers as a use by-right in mixed-use and non-residential zones that permit residential uses, in accordance with State law.

Single Room Occupancies

Single room occupancies (SRO) provide residential facilities where individual secure rooms are rented to a one- or two-person household. Rooms are generally 150 to 375 square feet in size and include a sink, closet, and toilet, with shower and kitchen facilities typically shared. SRO units are rented on a weekly or monthly basis. SROs are permitted within the mixed-use overlay with a conditional use permit. Conditions for approval relate only to the performance of the facility, such as parking, management, and required unit amenities as follows:

- Management. A single-room occupancy management plan shall be submitted to, reviewed, and approved by the Community Development Director. The management plan shall be comprehensive and contain management policies and operations, rental procedures and rates, maintenance plans, residency and guest rules and procedures, security procedures, and staffing needs, including job descriptions. A 24-hour resident manager shall be provided for any single-room occupancy use with five (5) or more units.
- Off-street parking must be provided at a rate of one (1) parking space per two (2) units, inclusive of guest parking.
- The building shall contain a minimum of two hundred fifty (250) square feet of common space such as recreation areas, lounges, and living spaces. An additional ten (10) square feet of common space is required per rooming unit over eleven (11). Bathrooms, laundries, hallways, the main lobby, vending areas, and kitchens shall not be counted as common space.
- Garbage receptacles are to be provided by the property owner. Garbage receptacles must be located on the lot or property in a manner that does not hinder access to any required off-street parking or loading spaces.
- Each unit shall be provided a kitchen sink with a garbage disposal, serviced with hot and cold water, and a countertop measuring a minimum of eighteen (18) inches wide by twenty-four (24) inches deep. If each individual unit is not provided with a minimum of a refrigerator and a microwave oven, a complete kitchen facility available for residents shall be provided on each floor of the structure.
- For each unit a private toilet in an enclosed compartment with a door shall be provided. This compartment shall be a minimum of fifteen (15) square feet. If private bathing facilities are not provided for each unit, shared shower or bathtub facilities shall be provided at a ratio of one (1) for every five (5) units or fraction thereof. The shared shower or bathtub facility shall be on the same floor as the units it is intended to serve and shall be accessible from a common area or hallway. Each shared shower or bathtub facility shall be provided with an interior lockable door.

Emergency Shelters

Emergency shelters are a permitted use, not subject to a discretionary permit, in the M-C zone. While the use itself does not require a discretionary action, for any use within the M-C zone where new buildings, structures or additions are proposed, the proposal will be subject to the review and approval of the planning commission. This discretionary requirement associated with new structures poses a constraint to the development of Emergency Shelters and **Program 13** of the Housing Element will remove this discretionary requirement so that Emergency Shelters are permitted by-right, in accordance with State law.

Lomita has sufficient capacity to accommodate the need for emergency shelters in the M-C zone.

The sites zoned as M-C total approximately 15 acres, located along the southside of Lomita Boulevard between Narbonne Avenue and Walnut Avenue, and on the westside of the City along Crenshaw Boulevard. The City of Lomita has a total of 25 people experiencing homelessness according to the 2020 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count; of the 25 people experiencing homelessness, 15 are unsheltered. Considering a minimum lot area of 5,000 square-feet development standard, and minimum of 220 square-feet per unit, which is the minimum unit size permitted by the California Building Code, the City can accommodate 198 dwelling units per acre. As such, the 15 acres of M-C zoned parcels in the City can approximately accommodate 2,970 dwelling units of emergency shelter units which would exceed the City's current need to house 15-person unsheltered homeless population.

Employee and Farmworker Housing

Farmworkers are considered a special housing group because of the seasonal nature of their work and the low wages for these employees. Farmworkers include employees of nurseries, stables, and agricultural and livestock operations. Farmworkers generally have limited and seasonal incomes, which present a need for affordable housing near their places of work on a seasonal basis, rather than year-round. As further detailed in the Needs Assessment, there are no farmworker occupations in Lomita, however 39 Lomita residents are employed within the agriculture industry. It is likely that these residents were employed in occupations associated with landscaping nurseries, landscaping services, stables, gardens, and equestrian centers in the Palos Verdes Peninsula.

Employee housing is housing provided for six or fewer agricultural workers in accordance with the California Health and Safety Code Employee Housing Act (EHA). The EHA requires that any employee housing providing accommodations for six or fewer employees shall be treated as a single-family structure. This mandates that employee housing shall not be required to apply for any additional permit or process that would not be required of a single-family residential structure in the same zone. Employee housing providing accommodations for six or fewer employees must be permitted by the same process by which single-family residences are permitted in the same zones. Further, farmworker housing must be permitted consistent with the EHA, Health and Safety Code section 17020-17027, and may only be subject to objective, quantifiable, written development standards, conditions, and policies that are consistent with the EHA. This includes the provision of employee housing consisting of bunkhouses of 36 beds or 12 units to be permitted in all zones that allow agricultural uses in the same manner as other agricultural uses. **Program 13** will ensure that the code permits employee housing and farmworker housing in accordance with State laws, as they relate to employee and farmworker housing.

Housing for People with Special Needs

Definition of "Family"

Local governments may restrict access to housing for households failing to qualify as "family" by the definition specified in the Zoning Ordinance. Specifically, a restrictive definition of "family" that limits the number of and differentiates between related and unrelated individuals living together may illegally limit the development and siting of group homes for persons with disabilities but not for housing families that are similarly sized or situated. In December 2006, the City amended their zoning code to remove the definition of family.

Reasonable Accommodation

The City is required by the Federal Fair Housing Act and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act to provide a process of reasonable accommodation requests. The City's municipal code establishes a process for persons with a disability to request a reasonable accommodation in the application of the City's zoning laws where necessary to afford the individual an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling within Lomita.

The Community Development Department (CDD) provides the completed application form as well as the application fee for reasonable accommodation requests. The CDD Director considers the application for a minor reasonable accommodation and issues a written determination within 30 days of the date of receipt of a completed application and may either grant the accommodation request with or without nondiscriminatory conditions of approval; may deny the request; or may refer the matter to the planning commission, which shall render a decision on the application in the same manner. If the application is for a major reasonable accommodation, the planning commission considers the application in the same manner as described above. The request is required to be approved if the specified findings are met. **Program 13** of the Housing Element includes an objective to clarify the difference between a minor and a major accommodation to ensure transparency in the process.

Residential Care Facilities

Community care facilities are defined as any facility, place, or building that is maintained and operated to provide nonmedical residential care, day treatment, adult daycare, or foster family agency services for children, adults, or children and adults, including, but not limited to, the physically handicapped, mentally impaired, incompetent persons, and abused or neglected children, and includes residential facilities, adult day programs, therapeutic day service facilities, foster family agencies, foster family homes, small family homes, social rehabilitation facilities, and community treatment facilities.

The City permits community care and childcare facilities with six or fewer persons by right in agricultural, single-family residential, and multifamily residential zones and the uses are permitted as standard residential uses in all residential zones. Community care and childcare

facilities with seven to 15 persons are permitted with a Conditional Use Permit in agricultural, single-family residential, and multifamily residential zones. As further detailed in the Permitting Processes and Fees section of this appendix, a project requiring a CUP takes about 2 to 4 months in processing time, adding between 2 to 4 weeks to the permitting process as compared to projects that do not require a CUP.

The Planning Commission may approve a Conditional Use Permit. But first a determination must be made that approval of the permit meets the required findings. The findings for a CUP are outlined below and are also detailed in the Permitting Processes and Fees section of this document.

- The proposed use is allowed within the District with approval of a CUP and complies with all other applicable requirements as follows;
- The proposed use is consistent with the General Plan.
- The design, location, size and operating characteristics are compatible with existing and future land uses, building and structures in the vicinity and the proposed use will not jeopardize, adversely affect, endanger or otherwise constitute a menace to the public health, safety or general welfare or be materially detrimental to the property of other persons located in the vicinity.
- The site is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the yards, walls, fences, parking and loading facilities, landscaping and other development features prescribed in this chapter, or as required as a condition in order to integrate the use with the uses in the neighborhood; and
- The site is served by highways and streets adequate to carry the kind and quantity of traffic such use would generate.

Requirements for discretionary permits may pose constraints to development. For example, Residential Care Facilities provide in-house treatment or rehabilitation programs on a 24hour basis. These include drug and alcohol rehabilitation and recovery facilities. These facilities require a CUP in residential zones, as they are often a source of fear and concern for community members. The Planning Commission will only deny a CUP if the required findings cannot be met. Program 13 of the Housing Element includes an objective to amend the zoning code to permit large Residential Care Facilities in commercial and mixed-use zones.

Senior Citizen Planned Unit Developments

Senior citizen planned unit developments are permitted in the Residential Variable Density zone subject to a Conditional Use Permit (CUP). Senior citizen planned unit developments are subject to the same development standards as multifamily housing developments that include minimum unit sizes, parking requirements, open space requirements, laundry

facilities, trash areas, street width specifications, a sewer study, and a water study. Additional requirements for senior planned unit developments include:

- Occupancy by residents as defined in section 51.3 of the California Civil Code;
- Elevators for structures over one-story in height; and
- Laundry facilities must be provided in each unit.

The Planning Commission may approve a reduction in parking spaces pursuant to a completed parking study if the following findings are met:

- The intent of the parking regulations, in compliance with all other applicable provisions of this chapter, is met; and
- Sufficient parking would be provided to serve the use intended and potential future uses of the subject parcel.

The parking study allows the applicant to provide evidence that the project-specific parking need is less than the parking regulations require. Parking would be evaluated based on a demonstrated-need for the target population and the specific site in context to the surrounding location.

Program 13 will amend the code to reduce development standards through reduced parking requirements and minimum unit size requirements. Additionally, the 6th Cycle Housing Element's **Program 27, Reduced Parking Requirements**, will amend the zoning code to identify a process by which parking requirement can be reduced for religious institutions in exchange for housing development. The City will also conduct a parking study in walkable areas to inform parking requirement reductions.

Specific Plan

The 24000 Crenshaw Boulevard Specific Plan was adopted by the City in 2017, and applies to the 2.5 net acre property located at 24000 Crenshaw Boulevard, on the northwest side of Lomita, and is generally bound by Crenshaw Boulevard to the west and Lomita Boulevard to the south. The site permits multifamily residential uses as well as amenities such as a leasing office, pool, community room, roof deck, and fitness center. This specific planning area permits residential densities up to 88 units per acre at heights up to 64 feet tall.

Development of the Crenshaw site is currently underway. The site is entitled to build a fourstory multifamily development which is under construction. This development will produce 220 new units, which are anticipated to be completed during the beginning of the 6th Housing Element cycle. With the site zoned for 88 dwelling units per acre and the 220 units being developed on the 2.5-acre site, this development is achieving 100% of the density permitted under the zone.

The 24000 Crenshaw Boulevard Specific Plan permits the highest residential densities in Lomita, where the urbanized nature of the surrounding area provides adequate facilities and

services for sewage, water, drainage, solid waste disposal and energy. As limited in size and intensity of use by the 24000 Crenshaw Boulevard Specific Plan, the 24000 Crenshaw Boulevard Specific Plan area will not require the development of additional sewage, water, solid waste disposal, energy, or other essential facilities.

Building Standards

Development Standards

Each zone that permits residential uses regulates the residential use permitted, lot size, density, and parking requirements. While regulations such as setbacks, lot size, and lot coverage can contribute to the number of dwelling units that can be developed on a lot, residential densities are primarily limited by established maximum densities. Table 3 describes all zones where residential uses are permitted in Lomita and their respective development standards.

Zone		Minimum Lot Area (square feet)	Maximum Density (units per acre)	Minimum Lot Width (square feet)	Maximum Floor Area Ratio	Front Yard Setback (feet)	Side Yard Setback (feet)	Rear Yard Setback (feet)	Maximu m Height (feet)
A-1 - Agric	ulture	5,000	8.7	50	0.6	20	5	20	27
R-1 - Single Residential	•	5,000	8.7	50	0.6	20	5	20	27
RVD -	1000	1,000	43.6			1			
Residenti	1452	1,452	30						
al	1500	1,500	29	50	N/A	20	5	20	27
Variable	2200	2,200	19.8	50	IV/A	20	5	20	27
Density	2500	2,500	17.4						
	5000	5,000	8.7				_	_	
Senior Planned Unit Developm ents	Conditio nally Permitted in RVD	2,000		N/A	N/A	15	5	15	27
Commerc ial Planned Developm ent	Permits uses permitted in Single- Family Zones	10,000	8.7	75	0.6	20	5	20	27

Table 3: Development Standards for Zones that Permit Residential Uses

Mixed Use Overlay Standards

In addition to the zones detailed in Table 3 above, the City has a Mixed-Use Overlay Zone that permits residential uses when they are combined with nonresidential uses on the same project site, either vertically or horizontally. Mixed-use developments are required to feature structural separations between the residential and nonresidential spaces to allow the two uses to be rented, leased, sold, or occupied separately. Development within the Mixed-Use Overlay is subject to review and approval by the Planning Commission. For any uses where new buildings, structures or additions are proposed, the location of said buildings, structures and additions shall be to the satisfaction of the commission. Minor additions that do not require additional parking are exempt from this requirement. As detailed in Table 4, the MUO permits a maximum density of 22 dwelling units per acre with no minimum density. The MUO permits standalone commercial and requires a minimum of 30% of the total square footage to be used for nonresidential uses unless a deviation is requested and approved through a conditional use permit process; further the maximum building height is 35 feet, but additional height can be approved through a CUP process. As further detailed in the Permitting Processes and Fees section of this appendix, a project requiring a CUP takes about 2 to 4 months in processing time, adding between 2 to 4 weeks to the permitting process as compared to projects that do not require a CUP. As detailed in **Program 14** of the Housing Element, the MUO will be amended to permit a maximum density of 30 dwelling units per acre, establishing a minimum density of 20 dwelling units per acre, and allowing standalone residential, removing the minimum nonresidential requirement. Additionally, as detailed in **Program 11** of the Housing Element, the City will pair the rezone (Program 14) with the creation of new objective development standards. Further, as identified in Program 7, the city will pair the rezone with objective development standards and technical assistance, making the State Density Bonus program more attractive to developers.

Table 4: Development S	tandards for the Mixed-Use Overlay Zone
Minimum Lot Area (square feet)	10,000
Maximum Density (units per acre)	22
Minimum Lot Width (square feet)	10,000
Maximum Floor Area Ratio	2.0
Minimum Unit Size	500 square feet (studio)
Front Yard Setback	N/A
Side Yard Setback	None unless the building exceeds 16 feet, then 10-foot setback.
Rear Yard Setback	None unless the building exceeds 16 feet, then 10-foot setback.
Maximum Building Height	35 feet or higher with CUP
Nonresidential Requirement	30% of total square footage shall be for nonresidential uses

In order to facilitate the development of mixed-use affordable housing within the Mixed-Use Overlay, the City offers the following incentives in exchange for lot consolidation (Lomita Density Bonus Ordinance 5.2):

- For mixed use projects involving lot consolidation, the commercial requirement in the Mixed-Use Overlay District is reduced to 20% (instead of 30%).
- For mixed use projects involving lot consolidation of at least two parcels for a minimum 20,000 square feet, the commercial requirement is reduced to 10% (instead of 30%).

These reductions in commercial requirements are intended to encourage lot consolidation and if utilized can result in projects with more residential units considered through a density bonus. **Program 12, Lot Consolidation**, will further incentive lot consolidation as the City will amend the zoning code to enhance lot consolidation incentives.

As further detailed in the Permitting Processes and Fees section of this appendix, a project requiring a CUP takes about 2 to 4 months in processing time, adding between 2 to 4 weeks to the permitting process as compared to projects that do not require a CUP.

Parking Standards

Lomita's residential parking standards require a minimum of two garage spaces for all housing types with one to three bedrooms and three garage spaces for larger single-family homes. Multifamily housing requires similar parking ratios with the addition of required guest parking. Parking is often cited as a costly component of development and when minimum parking requirements are regulated for uses citywide, they leave little room for flexibility in development. While parking requirements are costly the requirement to provide garage parking for multifamily units is more costly and is difficult for developers to achieve. Parking strategies that allow a market-driven approach can reduce the cost of development, increase development flexibility, and reduce costs for consumers, while still providing developers with the freedom to provide parking as supported by the market. Program 27, will amend the zoning code to identify a process by which parking requirements can be reduced for religious institutions, will conduct a parking study along the City's mixed-use corridors, and will implement parking reductions for multifamily housing. Two of these potential efforts include, reducing parking requirements for religious institutions in exchange for housing development, and conducting a parking study in walkable areas to inform opportunities for parking requirement reductions.

Accessory Dwelling Units	No parking required.
Single-Family Detached	Two parking spaces in a garage, and one uncovered
	parking space for four bedrooms and/or dens, and one
	additional uncovered parking space for five or more
	bedrooms and/or dens.
Duplex, Apartment House, Condominiums	Two parking spaces in a garage for each unit of up to four
	bedrooms and/or dens; three spaces in a garage for five or
	more bedrooms and/or dens, except as noted below. In
	addition, one guest parking space shall be required for each
	two units. Said spaces shall not be located in the required
	front setback areas.
Large Family and Adult Care Homes for not more than 7	One unenclosed parking space in addition to the required
to 12 Children/Adults Providing 24 hours-per-day care	for the residence, plus one space for each vehicle used
	directly in conducting of such use but not to exceed two
	such vehicles. Tandem parking for the vehicles used in
	conducting the use is allowed.
Mixed Use - Residential Portion	One parking space for each unit that is between 500 and
	700 square feet in size.
	Two parking spaces for each unit that is greater than 700
	square feet in size.
	Guest parking is provided at a ratio of 0.25 parking spaces
	per unit.
Emergency Shelters	One parking space for every five adult beds, plus one
	parking space per employee on the largest shift is required.
Senior Citizen Planned Unit Developments	Two enclosed parking spaces per unit and one guest
_	parking space per every two units. Tandem parking may be
	permitted.
Single Room Occupancy	One parking space per two units is required.

Table 5: Parking Standards by Residential Use

Building Codes and Enforcement

The City of Lomita implements the 2019 edition of the California Building Code and other model construction codes and amendments adopted by the California Building Standards Commission. The codes used by the City of Lomita are adopted in the Municipal Code by reference to the County of Los Angeles (County) codes through Ordinance No. 806U adopted on 12/17/2019. The County adopted the 2019 California Building Standards Code, which includes the 2019 Building Code, 2019 Electrical Code, 2019 Plumbing Code, 2019 Mechanical Code, 2019 Residential Code, 2019 Green Building Standards Code, and the 2019 Existing Building Code. The 2019 California Building Standards and requires inspections at various stages of construction to ensure code compliance.

County of Los Angeles modifications to the California Building Code, Plumbing Code, Mechanical Code, Electrical Code, Existing Building Code, Residential Code and Green Building Standards Code are reasonably necessary because of local climatic and geological conditions. The local climate is characterized by hot, dry summers, often resulting in drought conditions, followed by strong Santa Ana winds, often resulting in hazardous fire conditions, and heavy winter rains, often resulting in expansive soil conditions. Relevant examples of local amendments to the Building Code adopted by the County include the following: the restriction of wood shingles and wood shakes as roof coverings in any Fire Hazard Severity Zones; additional requirements for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E, or F, wood diaphragms supporting concrete or masonry walls; special inspections are not required for detached one or two-family dwellings not exceeding two stories above grade plan, provided the structure is not assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E, or F and does not have horizontal or vertical irregularities; permanent wood foundation systems and prescriptive design of foundation walls shall not be used for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E, or F; and retaining walls assigned to Seismic Design Category D, E, or F shall not be partially or wholly constructed of wood. Additionally, the County has further amended the code to extend the amount of time before a permit that is issued by the Building Official will expire from 180 day to 12 months from the date of permit issuance, increasing flexibility as outlined in the Building Code requirement. Other modifications are administrative in nature and are necessary to allow the uniform application of the codes.

The California Building Standards Code also requires new residential construction to comply with the Federal American with Disabilities Act. Although these standards and the time required for inspections increase housing production costs and may impact the viability of rehabilitation of older properties which are required to be brought up to current code standards, the intent of the codes is to provide structurally sound, safe, and energy-efficient housing. Most building and zoning enforcement activities of the City are in response to complaints by Lomita residents and violations involving structural features are not as common as zoning code violations. The Code Enforcement Division and the Community Development Department of the City continues to provide information on rehabilitation assistance to potentially eligible households. The codes serve to protect residents from hazards and risks, and are considered to be necessary constraints to housing production.

On-Site and Off-Site Improvements

The City of Lomita owns its sanitary sewer collection system and contracts with the Los Angeles County Sanitation District for maintenance. Requirements for on- and off-site improvements vary depending on the presence of existing improvements, as well as the size and nature of the proposed development. In general, most residential areas in Lomita are served with infrastructure. For the construction of any building, addition or improvement where the cost exceeds \$35,000, the Zoning Code requires a number of improvements including: curbs, gutters, sidewalks, paving and drainage structures. Private street widths must be wide enough to meet the standards established by the Los Angeles County Fire Department requirements. The City uses the standards and codes adopted by the County of Los Angeles. Other requirements for residential development include a water study for projects with five or more units to demonstrate the project impact on local water availability and fire flow. Sewer studies are required for projects with five or more units to identify local impact on capacity. Sewer impact studies may cost between \$4,000 and \$6,000 depending on the complexity of the project. Upgrades for water and sewer connections may be required to correct deficiencies where they are identified. While this is a burden on developers, the studies are vital to safeguard the public's quality of life and ensure sewer services are provided to existing and new customers in accordance with State law. Lomita is currently updating the Water Master Plan. As a result of the Water Master Plan update, a water study may not be required, depending on whether the proposed development is located within an area that is studied for deficiencies.

Energy Conservation

The City of Lomita promotes energy conservation through enforcement of the State's Green Building Standards as well as through their citywide newsletters where resources related to conservation are shared via email and mailers. Additionally, in all residential zones the City allows solar collectors and solar energy systems to exceed height limits and setback requirements mandated by the regulations to the minimum extent necessary for their safe and efficient operation in accordance with the California Building Code and other applicable provisions of State law. Where feasible, ancillary solar equipment is required to be located inside a building or screened from public view. Solar collectors and solar energy systems require written approval by the Director of Community Development or the designee of that individual to ensure compliance with the regulations. Through **Program 25, Energy Conservation Program**, the City will review zoning and subdivision requirements to promote energy conservation measures as well as promote energy conservation strategies on the City's website and newsletter.

Permitting Processes and Fees

Permit processing fees, development impact fees, and construction taxes can increase the cost of housing development in Lomita. While City fees and taxes offset the cost of development, they could serve to constrain housing production, especially production of affordable units.

Local processing and permit procedures can also constrain the development of housing through unnecessary discretionary permit requirements, lengthy permit processing timelines, and subjective requirements that leave uncertainties in the overall development design and density. Discretionary actions can be required for use permits, zone or plan amendments, and subdivisions. Whereas ministerial, or by-right, permits involve application of objective standards and criteria. Further, in accordance with section 65913.4 of the California Government Code, also known as SB 35, a permit applicant may submit an application for a development that is subject to the streamlined, ministerial approval process and is not subject to a conditional use permit, if they meet the objective planning standards as outlined in the government code and as summarized as follows:

- Multifamily housing developments on infill sites zoned for residential or residential mixed-use.
- A minimum of 10% of the units are dedicated as affordable to households earning 80% or less of the area median income.
- For developments with 10 or more units, a prevailing wage requirement is included in all contracts for the performance of work.

Jurisdictions are not required to adopt a local ordinance to implement the ministerial processing provided by SB 35. The City reports annually on any applications received pursuant to SB 35. Additionally, in an effort to increase transparency of the development permitting process, the California legislature adopted AB 1483 in 2019 to require jurisdictions to post detailed information regarding development proposal requirements. A jurisdiction shall make all of the following available on its website, as applicable and update any changes to the information within 30 days of the change:

- A current schedule of fees, exactions, and affordability requirements imposed by the City, applicable to a proposed housing development project, which shall be presented in a manner that clearly identifies the fees, exactions, and affordability requirements that apply to each parcel.
- All zoning ordinances and development standards, which shall specify the zoning, design, and development standards that apply to each parcel.
- The list required to be compiled of information that will be required from any applicant for a development project.
- The current and five previous annual fee reports or the current and five previous annual financial reports.
- An archive of impact fee nexus studies, cost of service studies, or equivalent, conducted by the City on or after January 1, 2018.

Permit Processes and Timelines

Residential projects in Lomita are reviewed by the Community Development Department and the County Building and Safety Department. Single-family residential uses are permitted by right in the A-1 and R-1 zoning designations. Development of a single unit is reviewed at the staff level only; no public hearing is required. Multifamily and condominium projects are allowed in the MUO and RVD zones. Multifamily projects (new construction) require site plan review by the Planning Commission, are allowed by right in the RVD zones but require a

Conditional Use Permit in the Mixed-Use Overlay. Multifamily projects and other residential projects requiring a variance must also be reviewed by the Planning Commission. **Program 10, Affordable Housing Streamlining**, will amend the zoning code and staff procedures to be consistent with State requirement, related to SB 35 streamlining processes. The City will also implement **Program 29: Ongoing Code Updates**, to continue updating their regulations, as necessary, in response to legislative changes and explore opportunities to increase flexibility and certainty in the development process.

The City's 5th cycle Housing Element identified the realistic capacity to be 80% of the zoning maximum. Because the City does not have a minimum density requirement within their zoning code, they did not have any requests to develop at densities below what was identified. However, an analysis of the housing development permits issued during the 5th cycle compared to the 5th cycle sites identified reveals 5 developments on identified sites. Of those 5 developments, the percent of the maximum permitted density that was achieved for each development includes 2 developments at 100% of the maximum density permitted under the zone, 1 development at 50% of the maximum density permitted under the zone, and 1 development at 80% of the maximum density permitted under the zone, and 1 development at 80% of the maximum density minimum of 20 dwelling units per acre for the MUO zoning designation and as further identified in **Program 16** of the Housing Element, the City will monitor development activity compared to remaining capacity and the City's remaining RHNA throughout the 6th cycle.

Conditional Use Permits

The City uses the CUP process to control the location and operation of certain types of land uses to ensure public health, safety, or general welfare, or to mitigate/avoid material detriment to the property of other persons in the vicinity. The Lomita Zoning Ordinance sets forth specific conditions that the Planning Commission may consider which are discussed in the Land Use and Zoning section above.

A CUP requires a public hearing and an application fee. Typically, a project requiring a CUP takes about 2 to 4 months in processing time. In comparison, a project requiring only a Site Plan Review takes about 6 weeks. The CUP process potentially extends the timeframe by 2 to 10 additional weeks, depending on whether there are other concurrent applications being processed, such as a tentative tract map.

The Planning Commission may approve a Conditional Use Permit, with or without conditions only if it first finds that:

- The proposed use is allowed within the District with approval of a CUP and complies with all other applicable requirements as follows;
- The proposed use is consistent with the General Plan.

- The design, location, size and operating characteristics are compatible with existing and future land uses, building and structures in the vicinity and the proposed use will not jeopardize, adversely affect, endanger or otherwise constitute a menace to the public health, safety or general welfare or be materially detrimental to the property of other persons located in the vicinity.
- The site is adequate in size and shape to accommodate the yards, walls, fences, parking and loading facilities, landscaping and other development features prescribed in this chapter, or as required as a condition in order to integrate the use with the uses in the neighborhood; and
- The site is served by highways and streets adequate to carry the kind and quantity of traffic such use would generate.

Site Plan Review

Site Plan Review is established in order to provide a visual and factual document to determine and regulate the physical layout, design or use of a lot or parcel of land, buildings or structures. A site plan is, or may be, required in order to determine whether a proposed development will properly comply with the provisions and development standards as described below.

The Planning Commission, or City Council on appeal, may approve a Site Plan Review, with or without conditions, only if it first finds that:

- The Site Plan complies with all applicable provisions of this Title;
- The site is suitable for the particular use or development intended, and the total development, including the application of prescribed development standards, is arranged as to avoid traffic congestion, will not adversely affect public health, safety and general welfare, will not have adverse effects on neighboring property and is consistent with all elements of the General Plan; and
- The development design is suitable and functional. This requirement shall not be interpreted to require a particular style or type or architecture

Variances

Variances permit alterations of development standards as they apply to particular uses when practical difficulties develop from the strict interpretation and enforcement of zoning codes. Zone variances may be granted, or variances may be required in particular cases for building setbacks, height, bulk, density, parking, landscaping, walls, fencing and business operation. A variance can take approximately 2 months to process.

The Commission, or City Council on appeal, may grant a Variance from the required development standards, with or without conditions, only if it first finds that:

- There are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved, including size, shape, topography, location, or surroundings, which do not generally apply to the surrounding properties in the same zone; and which deny the owner privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the vicinity and within the same zoning district;
- Because of the circumstances or conditions, the Variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property similarly situated but which is denied to the property in question;
- The granting of the Variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the adjacent property; and
- The granting of the variance is consistent with the General Plan.

Zone Change

In some cases, it is allowable to change the zoning on a particular property. Zone changes are allowed only when the change will not be materially detrimental to the property of other persons located in the vicinity. The zone change is applicable to the subject property only. A Specific Plan, Zoning Ordinance or Zoning Map Amendment may be approved only if the City Council first finds that the proposed amendment is consistent with the General Plan.

Height Variation Permit

A Height Variation Permit is required for any residential property south of Pacific Coast Highway proposing new construction, or addition to an existing home exceeding 16 feet above natural grade. The process initially requires a completed application form, construction plans and all applicable fees paid. If less than 5% of those notified object to the height variation within 14 days of notification, and the Planning Department finds that the proposed construction is designed and situated in such a manner as to minimize view obstruction and meets all other zoning and subdivision requirements of the City, the Community Development Director may approve the application. If objections are received from 5% or more of those notified, a public hearing will be conducted before the Planning Commission.

Of the sites identified for the 6th cycle inventory, only one site is south of Pacific Coast Highway and only that site would be required to apply for a height variation permit to exceed 16 feet. The realistic capacity identified on the 0.38-acre vacant site that is located south of Pacific Coast Highway has only been identified to accommodate 9 units of the moderateincome RHNA. This permit does not apply to any other sites identified in the 6th cycle sites inventory.

Subdivisions

In accordance with the Subdivision Map Act, any subdivision of land into 4 lots or units or less requires a parcel map and any subdivision of 5 lots or units or more requires a tract map. Tentative maps are first submitted to the Planning Division with an application form and

required fees. The Planning Division will route plans through the City's Public Works Department and through the City's engineering consultant for review and comment. The applicant must submit plans to Los Angeles County Fire Land Development Division for review. The case planner will assist in this process. Comments and corrections required by the County, City departments, or other consultants will be provided. The tentative map is then scheduled for Planning Commission and City Council public hearings for approval. The Commission and Council will review the tentative map for consistency with the applicable zoning and general plan land use designations.

Final maps are to be completed within the time frame allowed, and are again scheduled for City Council approval.

Subdivision projects typically take 12 to 30 months to process from the initial application to final building permit issuance.

Fees and Exactions

The schedule of planning and permitting fees in Lomita is provided in Table 6. The City contracts with the County of Los Angeles to provide plan checking services. Plan checking fees are set by the County of Los Angeles to cover the costs of services. The City's last update to their fee schedule was in 2016.

Table 6: Permitting Fees

ADMINISTRATIVE FEES

Administrative Plan Review	\$64.77
Adult Use Business	\$649.23
Banner Installation (Bracket over Narbonne Ave)	\$178.50
Certificate of Compliance	\$130.05
Film Permit	\$162.18
GIS/Mapping Services	Staff Hourly Rate
Inspections	Staff Hourly Rate
Landscape Plan Review (single-family residential)	\$130.05
Landscape Plan Review (all others)	\$259.59
Lot Merger	\$389.64
Lot Line Adjustment	\$649.23
Mailing Labels/Radius Maps	\$259.59
Minor Modification	\$194.82
Miscellaneous Permit Issuance/Processing Fee	Staff Hourly Rate
Plan Review/Code Compliance	\$259.59
Pre-Application Meeting (up to 2 hours)	\$194.82
Residential Property Report	\$162.18
Second Dwelling Unit	\$519.69
Sign Review	\$64.77
Special Event Permit	\$212.16
Temporary Banner Review	\$64.77
Telecommunications Facilities – Administrative	\$909.33
Review	
Zone Clearance	\$97.41
Zoning Letter	\$130.05

Appeals to the City Council	\$669.12	
Appeals to the Planning Commission	\$556.92	
Appeals of Lot Line Adjustment & Lot Merger	\$162.18	
Appeals of an Adult Use Business	\$519.69	
Conditional Use Permit	\$779.28	
Determination of Similarity	\$324.87	
Extension of Time – Community Development Director Approval	\$194.82	
Extension of Time – Planning Commission Approval	\$259.59	
Final Map	\$617.10	
General Plan Amendment	\$1,298.97	
Height Variation Permit	\$779.28	
Site Plan Review	\$519.69	
Specific Plan	Actual Cost plus Staff Hours	
Telecommunications Facilities – PC Review	\$1,298.97	
Tentative Parcel Map	\$1,980.33 + \$4,000 deposit	
Tentative Tract Map	\$2,110.38 + \$10,000 deposit	
Traffic and Parking Studies	Actual Cost plus Staff Hours	
Zone Change	\$1,818.66	
Zone Text Amendment	\$1,558.56	
Zone Variance	\$1,039.38	

PLANNING COMMISSION / CITY COUNCIL APPLICATION FEES

In addition to permit fees, the City also charges development impact fees to offset the impact that new development has on public facilities. These fees include the following:

- Quimby Act Fees: Otherwise known as park fees that are in-lieu of land dedication require equivalent to 1.5 acres per 1,000 population
- Park and Recreation Facilities Tax: \$300 per unit
- Water Facility fees:
 - o 1% of building valuation for single-family residences
 - o 1.25% of building valuation for duplex
 - o 1.5% of building valuation of apartments (two-story maximum)
 - o 2% of building valuation of apartments (three-story and over)

These taxes and fees can increase the cost of housing and may influence the economic feasibility of affordable housing projects. There is also a Road Improvement Fee. For construction, addition and/or improvement of structures exceeding \$35,000, road improvement fees are required to provide curb, gutter and sidewalk.

When all development fees are considered for a typical development in Lomita, the average total development fee is approximately \$15,000 for a single-family unit and \$13,000 for a multifamily unit. Given that multifamily developments are a more efficient use of land and resources, impact fees should be significantly lower than those fees for single-family housing. The 2019 National Impact Fees Survey surveyed 37 jurisdictions in California. The study reports median impact fees of \$27,256 per single-family unit and \$18,234 per multifamily unit in California. The City of Lomita's development impact fees are well below the statewide average.

Further, the City also charges a development tax for all new construction. This tax is equal to \$1,000 for every new housing unit or for every 5,000 square feet of commercial building floor area, including the commercial component of a mixed-use development. Affordable housing developments exclusively for seniors or persons with disabilities owned and operated by non-profit agencies may be exempt from this tax per California Health and Safety Code. To date, no affordable housing projects have been approved since adopting the City's development tax.

Overall, the City of Lomita planning fees and development fees are low comparing to neighboring jurisdictions. Furthermore, the Lomita Density Bonus Ordinance allows for an applicant to request regulatory incentives which could be lowering or exempting project development fees depending on the Planning Commission's discretion. Like all jurisdictions in the State, the City also charges building permit fees. However, the City does not differentiate the permit fees for single-family versus multifamily units. While fees can be a burden on the overall cost of development, they are considered necessary to accommodate the demands additional residents place on community resources and infrastructure.

Locally adopted ordinances

The City provides incentives to zoning regulations to promote and encourage the provision of a variety of affordable housing types. In addition to the lot consolidation incentive offered in the Mixed-Use Overlay, the City provides density bonuses for low-income housing projects. Due to recent amendments to the State's Density Bonus Law, the City's currently adopted density bonus ordinance is more stringent than the law allows, however the State Density Bonus Law still applies. An update to the ordinance will include a bonus for 100% affordable developments and revised bonus amounts among other minor edits.

As part of the density bonus incentives, the City offers the following incentives, as adopted in the City's Density Bonus Ordinance:

- Additional density provided the overall density bonus received for the entire residential development does not exceed 35%.
- A reduction in site development standards such as:
 - o Reduced minimum lot sizes and/or dimensions.
 - Reduced minimum lot setbacks (up to 30%).
 - o Reduced minimum private and/or common outdoor open space.
 - o Increased maximum building height (up to one additional story).
 - Reduced on-site parking standards, including garage requirements (parking study required).
- Change of zone to the City's Mixed-Use zoning designation, but with a 20% commercial maximum. The proposed commercial land use shall be compatible with the housing project and the existing or planned development in the area where the proposed housing project will be located.
- A 10% decrease in the commercial requirement for a mixed-use project in the Mixed-Use Overlay District. If the proposed mixed-use project also consolidates at least two parcels for a minimum 20,000 square feet a 20% decrease shall be permitted.
- Other regulatory incentives that result in identifiable, financially sufficient, and actual cost reductions.

The City will continue to evaluate a range of incentive-based and regulatory approaches to facilitate the develop o housing for lower-income groups through **Program 7, Incentives and Regulations**, in the 6th Cycle Housing Element.

Non-Governmental Constraints

Nongovernmental constraints are barriers to building housing that the City has less control over but can influence or help support greater change. These constraints include market constraints related to the availability of financing, the costs of land, labor, and construction, and construction timelines; as well as environmental constraints such as biological resources.

Market Constraints

Construction Financing

Construction financing costs also affect the feasibility of building new housing. During the housing boom of the late 1980s, it was not uncommon for developers to receive construction loans for 100% or more of a project's estimated future value. Following the housing market downturn of the early 1990s, however, financial institutions tightened regulations for construction loans, often requiring developers to put up at least 25% of the project value.

These trends continue today, meaning that developers must usually supply at least 25% of the project value upfront, and perhaps more if the total cost is more than 75% of the estimated value of the project.

Although there is no hard threshold for how much required upfront equity is too much before a residential project would be infeasible, the higher the proportion of equity required, the more unlikely that a developer would proceed with the project. Not only would it require more up-front cash, but higher equity contribution means a project must be able to achieve an even higher value at completion in order to generate the cash flow needed to meet acceptable cash-on-cash returns. These trends are anticipated to continue during the planning period.

Mortgage Financing

Home mortgage interest rates are a function of the national economy and personal credit ratings. Low rates make housing purchase attractive to prospective homebuyers and decrease monthly mortgages, because increased rates create differences in the monthly mortgage payment by as much as a few hundred dollars for each interest point.

The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) mandates that lending institutions disclose information on the disposition of loan applications and the income, gender and race of loan applications. Table 7 summarizes the disposition of loan applications submitted to financial institutions in 2019 for home purchase, refinance, and home improvement loans in Lomita. The loan outcome information in the table includes the number of applicants that were approved, were denied, or were incomplete or withdrawn by the applicant. In Lomita in 2019 approximately 71% of all home loans were approved, including mortgages, home improvement loans, and refinance loans. **Program 20, Homebuyer Assistance Programs**, improve the City's website outreach to connect the community with relevant information and resources regarding homeownership assistance.

Loan Type	Total Applicants	Total Approved ¹	Total Denied	Other ²
Government- backed Purchase	10	6	0	4
Conventional Purchase	214	160	19	35
Refinance	287	208	27	52
Home Improvement	46	22	16	8
Total	557	396	62	99

Table 7: Disposition of Home Loans (2019)

Approved includes loans approved by the lenders whether or not accepted by the applicant.
 Other includes loan applications that were either withdrawn or closed for incompleteness.
 Source: 2019 Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Lending Activity MSA/MD by Census Tract Data

Land and Construction Costs

Land costs are a major contributor to overall housing production prices. Land costs make up 10% to 30% of housing costs. Land in some areas costs more than others based on the availability of services, neighborhood quality, distance to business and commercial centers as well as other factors. Few vacant properties remain in Lomita. Only one vacant property was listed for sale at approximately \$85 per square foot, but it comes with entitlements for a multifamily development. The property last assessed at \$447,264, In comparison, multifamily and mixed-use properties are likely more expensive on a per-square-foot basis and on a per-unit basis when cost as a percentage of total value is considered.

Construction costs include both "hard" and "soft." Hard costs, such as labor and materials, typically account for 50% to 70% of construction costs, while soft costs, such as architectural and engineering services, development fees, construction financing, insurance, and permitting, typically average around 20% to 30% of total costs, although they can be higher for subsidized affordable housing or complex projects. A significant cost factor associated with residential building involves the cost for building materials. These can vary widely depending on size of units and the quality of amenities offered (such as grade of carpeting and tiles, appliances and light fixtures, quality of cabinetry and woodwork, fireplaces, etc.). It is estimated that material costs have increased faster than inflation in recent years. Between 2017 and 2020, the cost of raw materials (i.e., concrete, lumber, and steel) increased by approximately 20% and during 2020 a shortage of materials was brought on by the global pandemic causing increases in costs and delays in product deliveries. Further, tariffs and trade issues can also increase material costs.

For multifamily attached units, developers can usually benefit from economies of scale with discounts for materials and diffusion of equipment mobilization costs. These costs can account for more than half of the total construction cost. According to the latest Building Valuation Data release in 2019, the national average for development costs per square foot for apartments and single-family homes in 2019 are as follows:

- Type I or II, Multifamily: \$148.82 to \$168.94 per square foot
- Type V Wood Frame, Multifamily: \$113.88 to \$118.57 per square foot
- Type V Wood Frame, One- and Two-Family Dwelling: \$123.68 to \$131.34 per square foot

Data from surrounding jurisdictions in Los Angeles County show that construction costs are relatively consistent throughout the county, ranging from approximately \$150 to \$375 per square foot, and therefore high construction costs are a regional constraint on housing development.

The costs of design, regulation, and operations do not vary much by building size, so larger buildings allow developers to spread these fixed costs over more apartments. In general, construction costs can be lowered by increasing the number of units in a development,

reflecting economies of scale in multifamily construction, until the scale of the project requires a different construction type that commands a higher per square foot cost. This is because construction costs change substantially depending on the building type. For example, high-rise concrete apartments might cost \$75 or more per square foot than a six-story wood-frame structure on a concrete podium. Apartments four stories or less, can typically achieve an economy of scale, provided that the building has typical amenities and no structured parking. However, for smaller scale and affordable or middle-income housing, onerous regulations can impose a significant burden. Because of the jump in construction costs, developers may not build to the maximum height or floor-to-area ratio. Mobile homes are significantly less expensive, as are precision or factory-built housing products.

Labor costs also greatly contribute to construction costs. They are generally two to three times the cost of construction materials. A 2019 study for Smart Cities Prevail found that California lost about 200,000 construction workers since 2006. Many lost their job during the recession and found work in other industries. Pre-pandemic, the industry already faced this historic shortage of skilled labor and the labor gaps might get even larger, especially in states like California. California's shortage of needed construction workers combined with rising prices in construction materials also contributes to driving up construction costs.

Environmental Constraints

A number of environmental factors in Lomita affect the character and density of development in Lomita. These include natural resources, hazards, and safety concerns. Water supply and soils in Lomita, as well as earthquake and flood risks are addressed.

Water Supply

Groundwater resources in Lomita consist of aquifers and aquicludes, as found in the Los Angeles groundwater basin within the Los Angeles coastal plain. Lomita is located on the southern end of the Torrance plain which is underlain by water-bearing sediments that form a complex system of interlayered aquifers and aquicludes. Lomita is within the West Coast groundwater basin. The groundwater is estimated by the Los Angeles County Safety Element to be approximately 30 feet from the ground level at Lomita and the surrounding area. This indicates that the area is conducive to perched water conditions. The City is in the process of adopting a Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance which requires water conservation practices in landscape irrigation for new public and private developments.

Seismic Concerns

Active faults are identified by the U.S. Department of Conservation, and a zone around them is prohibited from new developments to prevent repetitive loss of structures and threats to safety of occupants. The unsafe areas around active faults are regulatory zones referred to as Alquist-Priolo earthquake fault zones. There are no Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones within city limits.

Geological Hazards

While numerous landslides have occurred in the Palos Verdes Peninsula and the southern portion of Lomita consists of hillside areas, a review of the Los Angeles County Safety Element shows that no definite or probable landslide hazards exist within Lomita. Also, the hillside areas are largely developed, paved and landscaped. Thus, landslide potential is limited.

Flooding

Lomita is located in an area designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency's Flood Insurance Rate Maps as Zone C, where minimal flood hazards exist. Also, dam inundation hazards do not exist in Lomita since there are no large dams or reservoirs located near Lomita, except the Palos Verdes Reservoir. Dam failure and potential inundation from this reservoir will lead to localized flooding east and southeast of the reservoir and will not affect Lomita. Lomita is not vulnerable to 100-year or 500-year flood events. However, localized flooding could still occur in isolated pockets of Lomita due to urban flooding from severe weather events. Storm drain deficiencies could result in flooding and inundation of private properties.

Infrastructure Capacity

Residential development during this 6th housing element cycle will primarily occur on properties that are previously developed. Dry-utilities including electricity, natural gas, cable, and telephone, are available at all sites identified in the **Sites Inventory**. The City's utilities receive necessary upgrades and improvements based upon future growth and development anticipated by the General Plan.

Water

According to the City of Lomita Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) water service is provided by the City's own local sources and the West Basin Municipal Water District which supplies water through the California Water Project. The local water supply sources include groundwater pumped from the City's local basin (West Coast Basin) through Well no.5. However, complications with contamination in the recent past has lowered its supply rate. The City is investigating further capital improvements to increase efficiency and quality of the potable water. Imported water supply originates from two sources: the Colorado River and the Bay Delta. According to the 2020 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP), by 2022 groundwater will constitute approximately one third of the total water extraction while imported water will supply the remaining two thirds. The City is allowed to pump 1,352 acrefeet per year (AFY) and plans to do so once Well no.5 is back online in late 2021. The City also aims to expand its water recycling capabilities and lower water demand through various programs and strategies. Historical records have proved sustainable and intentional groundwater recharge practices are being performed in the City of Lomita. Therefore, the groundwater supplies in the West Coast Basin are deemed reliable.

According to the UWMP, the City is approaching ultimate "built-out" with remaining expected future water demands primarily attributable to possible land use changes in residential densities and in-fill land development projects. While the City's population has slowly increased, the overall water usage has decreased by significant margins. According to the 2020 UWMP, Annual water consumption from 1996 to 2015 listed in the 2010 UWMP ranged from a high of 2,835 AF in 2002 to a low of 2,030 AF in 2020 with an average of 2,532 AF.

The City serves water to approximately 4,300 connections. Of these, the vast majority are residential connections (94%) with the majority of residential connections being single-family residential (3,712 connections).

According to the US Census American Community Survey, approximately 10% of the population is below the poverty line. This said, in 2020 those living below the poverty line in Lomita consumed a total of 150 AF. The City is committed to ensuring its lower-income residents have access to safe, affordable, and reliable drinking water.

The 2020 UWMP indicates that the City's water supply is projected to exceed its demands up until 2045 (the analysis ends at the year 2045). Further, the City aims to be 100% ground water sustainable in the future which decreases the reliability on fluctuating availability of imported water. The City projects that in the year 2045, expecting normal weather conditions, the City will have a surplus of 1,794AF for the year which will be stored in the Cypress Reservoir which will increase the community's resilience to water shortages and droughts.

The City has multiple local ordinances to promote water security including a contingency plan (Water Conservation and Drought Management Plan, Section 12-4 LMC). In addition to the local water plans, there are regional and basin level contingency plans that the City participates in. According to the Urban Water Management Plan, the average about of water an individual uses per day between 2016 and 2020 is 92 gallons. Most future housing production will occur from denser redevelopment due to the City's built out nature. Assuming that the new units will be apartments or condos, the Plan estimates that approximately 2.5 persons per household can be reasonably expected. If all the identified sites in the Sites Inventory Table A and B are redeveloped at their full capacity, this would create an additional 1,000 units or 230,000 gallons per day (258 AF annually) of water demand by the end of the 6th cycle. The City's water system has the capacity to satisfy potential housing growth of the Housing Element's identified sites found in the Sites Inventory.

Sewers

While the City does not currently have a Sewer Master Plan, it is investigating possible solutions to designate funding sources for potential future upgrades to the system infrastructure that the City owns. A Sewer Master Plan could provide for an assessment of the conditions of the existing sewer collection system as well as prepare for future capacity investments as demand shifts.

Wastewater generated within the City of Lomita is treated by Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts (LACSD). The City's local sewers tie into LACSD's regional trunk sewers. The City is served by the Los Angeles County Sanitation District sewer lines, which are maintained by the County Department of Public Works, with sewage from the area conveyed through sewer mains into the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant (JWPCP) in Carson. The JWPCP has a design capacity of 400 million gallons per day (mgd) and currently treats 280 mgd. Therefore, the JWPCP has a remaining capacity of approximately 120 million gdp. The cumulative sewage generation of the sites identified in the Sites Inventory is well within the capacity of JWPCP and the facilities are adequate to serve the identified capacity. Given the projected demand and the existing remaining treatment capacity, future developments' treatment demand can be met by the service provider. Expansion or construction of a new wastewater treatment facility to meet the demands of the 2021-2029 Housing Element update is not required.

Stormwater

The City is served by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District which operates and maintains regional and municipal storm drainage facilities. The City works with the Flood Control District in making local drainage plans and improvements. The existing storm drain infrastructure can accommodate the projected runoff from the potential residential development anticipated for this planning cycle. The projected stormwater runoff is not anticipated to significantly increase with future residential development given the nature and extent of existing impervious surfaces within the City and its built-out nature. Further, Title 5 Chapter 8 and 9 of the City's Municipal Code establishes requirements for development and redevelopment to integrate low impact development practices that reduces stormwater runoff.

Analysis of Local Efforts to Remove Constraints

The City has made strides to reduce constraints to development that are within the City's purview since the Housing Element was last updated in 2013. Reductions to constraints during the 5th Cycle Housing Element include the following:

- Amendment to the zoning code in 2020 to reduce parking requirements for multifamily and single-family residences Reduce the required number of parking spaces for residential units with five or more bedrooms from three spaces in a garage to two spaces in a garage and require one unenclosed parking space for a single-family home with four bedrooms and one additional unenclosed parking space for a single-family home with five or more bedrooms.
- Amendment to the zoning code in 2018 An update to the Accessory Dwelling Unit regulations consistent with State law.
- Amendment to the zoning code in 2013 to permit emergency shelters.
- Amendment to the code in 2013 to include appeal procedures for City Council decisions.
- Adoption of an ordinance in 2013 for guidance on waste management of construction and demolition debris.

Non-governmental constraints are generally market driven and outside the control of local government, however the City can take action to help alleviate some of these constraints in the form of regulatory relief and increased certainty in the development process. The policies and programs set forth in the 6th cycle Housing Element demonstrate the City's commitment to the reduction of barriers to development while protecting other interests, such as quality of life, parks and open space, and local resources.

Quantified Objectives

Table 8 summarizes the quantifiable objectives outlines in the program of this Housing Element.

Table 8. Summary of Quantifiable Objectives							
Income/ Affordability Category	RHNA	New Construction	Units to be Rehabilitated	At-Risk Units to be Preserved ¹	Households to be Assisted		
Extremely Low/ Very Low	239	151	20	34	20		
Low	124	71	20	33	60		
Moderate	128	128			40		
Above Moderate	338	338					
Total	829	688	40	67	120		

¹At-risk units are those units with affordability restrictions that will expire in the next 10 years.

Appendix D: Affirmatively Further Fair Housing Analysis

Contents

appendix D: Affirmatively Further Fair Housing Analysis	1
Assessment of Fair Housing	1
Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach Capacity	
Fair Housing Enforcement and Housing Outreach Capacity	1
Findings, Lawsuits, Enforcement Actions, Settlements, or Judgements	4
Findings	4
Compliance with Existing Fair Housing Laws and Regulations	4
Integration and Segregation Patterns and Trends Related to People with Protected	
Characteristics and Lower Incomes	4
Race/Ethnicity	10
Income	15
Familial Status	22
Persons with Disabilities	25
Findings	28
Racially and Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAP)	29
R/ECAPs	29
Findings	34
Disparities in Access to Opportunity	35
Education	35
Employment	38
Transportation	43
Healthy Environment	45

Disparities in Access to Opportunity for Persons with Disabilities	48
Findings	48
Disproportionate Housing Needs, Including Displacement	48
Cost Burden	50
Overcrowding	55
Substandard Housing	60
Displacement	63
Investment-Driven Displacement	65
Disaster-driven Displacement	68
Identification and Prioritization of Contributing Factors	68
Fair Housing Issues and Contributing Factors	68
Prioritization of Contributing Factors and Actions Designed to Meaningfully A Contributing Factors	

Assessment of Fair Housing

State law prohibits discrimination in the development process or in real property transactions, and it is the City's policy to uphold the law in this regard. Fair housing issues are addressed in Lomita through coordination with fair housing organizations to process complaints regarding housing discrimination and to provide counseling in landlord/tenant disputes. Anti-discrimination resource materials (e.g., handouts, booklets, and pamphlets) are made available to the public at City Hall, the library, and on the City's website through links to the Housing Rights Center.

Assembly Bill (AB) 686 requires that all housing elements due on or after January 1, 2021 must contain an Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH) consistent with the core elements of the analysis required by the federal Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) Final Rule of July 16, 2015.

Under State law, affirmatively further fair housing means "taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics."

There are three parts to this requirement:

- 1. Include a Program that Affirmatively Furthers Fair Housing and Promotes Housing Opportunities throughout the Community for Protected Classes (applies to housing elements beginning January 1, 2019).
- 2. Conduct an Assessment of Fair Housing that includes summary of fair housing issues, an analysis of available federal, state, and local data and local knowledge to identify, and an assessment of the contributing factors for the fair housing issues.
- 3. Prepare the Housing Element Land Inventory and Identification of Sites through the Lens of Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing.

In compliance with AB 686, the City has completed the following outreach and analysis.

Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach Capacity

Fair Housing Enforcement and Housing Outreach Capacity

The 2018 Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing Choice for the Community Development Commission and Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles serves as the fair housing planning document for portions of County of Los Angeles, including unincorporated areas and the Urban County which represents 47 smaller cities in the County including the City of Lomita. This area is referred to as the Urban County or the service area. As a part of the consolidated planning process entitlement communities that receive Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funds as a formula allocation directly from HUD are required to submit to HUD certification that they are affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH). The Community Development Commission of the County of Los Angeles (CDC) and the Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles (HACoLA) have formed a joint effort to prepare, conduct, and submit to HUD their certification for AFFH, which is presented in this Analysis of Impediments. On May 16, 2019, the CDC was officially rebranded as the Los Angeles County Development Authority (LACDA). Because the AI references the CDC, this section will also reference the agency as CDC.

Fair housing is a condition in which individuals of similar income levels in the same housing market have like ranges of choice available to them regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, familial status, ancestry, age, marital status, gender, gender identity, gender expression, genetic information, sexual orientation, source of income, or any other arbitrary factor. The AI examines local housing conditions, economics, policies, and practices to ensure that housing choices and opportunities for all residents are available in an environment free from discrimination. The AI assembles fair housing information, identifies existing impediments that limit housing choice, and proposes actions to mitigate those impediments.

A review of the fair housing profile in the Los Angeles Urban County revealed that there are several organizations that provide fair housing services, including outreach and education, complaint intake, and testing and enforcement activities, for both providers and consumers of housing. These organizations include the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH), which exists as substantially equivalent agency to HUD in the state, and the Housing Rights Center (HRC), which primarily operates in Los Angeles County. The HRC receives a multi-year grant from HUD to conduct systemic testing in areas within Los Angeles County where statistics point to any form of discrimination covered by applicable fair housing laws and persistent housing discrimination based on race, national origin, familial status and disability. HRC also provides intake of allegations of housing discrimination and provides resolution for housing discrimination, including mediation and litigation. The HACoLA provides fair housing resources for residents via its website, such as links to file complaints of a violation of fair housing, a link to the Housing Rights Center, a link to HUD's webpage on Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, link to the National Fair Housing Advocate Online blog, a copy of HACoLA's non-discrimination policy, and a link to information on the Assessment of Fair Housing.

The fair housing outreach capacity of the City exists through their continued contract with HRC. HRC investigates fair housing complaints, obtains remedies, and engages in fair housing testing. Specifically, HRC provides the following services on behalf of the City of Lomita:

- Housing Counseling HRC Housing Counselors are available to answer questions about tenant-landlord rights and obligations, including topics like security deposits, evictions, repairs, rent increases, and harassment. Conversations with Housing Counselors are private and confidential, and connect residents to needed resources.
- Discrimination Investigation and Disability Accommodations HRC Case Analysts investigate allegations of housing discrimination and help victims of discrimination enforce their Fair Housing rights. HRC helps tenants with disabilities assert their right to request accommodations or modifications in their home.
- Community Workshops and Events HRC offers education and outreach programs to raise awareness about fair housing laws, illegal practices, and tenant-landlord rights. HRC's weekly online workshops are free and open to the public, they are all virtual and are offered in both English and Spanish.
- Project Place Monthly Rental Listing HRC's monthly rental listing, Project Place, lists available affordable housing, senior housing, and veteran housing throughout Los Angeles and Ventura County.

Through Program 22 of the Housing Element, the City has committed to continued contracting with HRC for providing fair housing services for Lomita's residents. Further, through this program, the City will distribute information on fair housing services through the monthly newsletters. Further, this program includes an objective to provide annual staff trainings to ensure that all staff are regularly informed on processes and procedures related to complaint referrals to HRC.

HUD's fair housing complaint data from 2008 through 2016 was calculated for the Los Angeles County Service Area, during that time, the most common basis for a complaint was for some form of a disability, that being the basis for nearly twice as many complaints as the next most common basis - race. Of all complaints found with cause, disability was also the most common basis for the complaint, although not by such a runaway margin. Disability was the most common basis, cited 370 times in complaints, followed by familial status and race as the basis for 238 and 145 complaints, respectively. Fair housing complaints were most common in 2008, when 456 were logged, and have steadily grown in number from only 186 in 2012. Other complaints during that time, besides those already listed, were largely based on familial status, retaliation, national origin, and sex. Of the 2,610 complaints logged from 2008 through 2016, all of them were closed, dismissed or settled in a variety of ways. Nearly 57 percent of these complaints were determined to have no cause, while 564 (or 21.6 percent) of the complaints were deemed successfully settled. Of all complaints found with cause, the most common issue was failure to make reasonable accommodation, the issue being cited 290 times. The next most cited issue was discriminatory terms, conditions, privileges, or services and facilities.

Findings, Lawsuits, Enforcement Actions, Settlements, or Judgements

There are no known fair housing findings, lawsuits, enforcement actions, settlements or judgements in the City of Lomita.

Findings


The Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) identified less than one fair housing related inquiry from 2013-2021.


Compliance with Existing Fair Housing Laws and Regulations

The City of Lomita is in compliance with existing fair housing laws and regulations. The City assists local fair housing organizations to address complaints regarding housing discrimination within the City and to provide counseling in landlord/tenant disputes. The City publicizes the availability of fair housing services through various media including information on the city website and referral information at City Hall. Programs of the Housing Element that will further publicize fair housing laws and regulations include Program 22 to continue to contract with the Housing Rights Center to provide fair housing services and Program 23 to develop marketing material standards guidance for developers.

Integration and Segregation Patterns and Trends Related to People with Protected Characteristics and Lower Incomes

Residents in highly segregated Black and Hispanic neighborhoods experience vastly poorer life outcomes than residents of White neighborhoods in income, housing equity, educational attainment, and life expectancy, according to research from the University of California, Berkeley (UC Berkeley). Like many regions throughout the United States, Los Angeles has a history of excluding non-white people from the housing market through practices such as mortgage redlining. Mortgage redlining is a mapping exercise practiced by the federal governments' Home Owners' Loan Corporation (HOLC) used to guide mortgage lending desirability in residential neighborhoods based on racial and ethnic demographics, making it difficult for people of color to access loans for homeownership. Figure 1: Redlining in Lomita in 1935 shows a map of redlining that took place in Lomita in 1935. Large portions of Lomita were identified as receiving a grade of "D" which is the lowest favorability score for the approval of home mortgage loans. While redlining practices have since been made illegal, access to wealth provided through mortgage loan access has primarily been afforded to the white population, providing white people with increased opportunities to gain more wealth through access to things like education and financial investments. Affirmatively furthering fair housing involves overcoming patterns of segregation that foster inclusive communities.





In 2019, 43% of Lomita's residents were non-white and 33% of the population was of Hispanic origin which may be of any race or multiple races. The population within the City of Lomita is predominately White (56.7% in 2019), but when compared to California's total population, which consists of nearly 72% White people in 2019, Lomita is much more racially diverse. The increased diversity in Lomita may be directly tied to the historical redlining of the area, where non-white generations have remained over the years. Further, when compared to the northern and coastal communities in the region, Lomita has a larger proportion of non-white residents. **Figure 2: Race/Ethnicity in Lomita in 2019** shows the percentage of the total non-white population in Lomita.




Figure 2: Race/Ethnicity in Lomita in 2019

The "dissimilarity index" provides a quantitative measure of segregation in an area, based on the demographic composition of smaller geographic units within that area. One way of understanding the index is that it indicates how evenly two demographic groups are distributed throughout an area: if the composition of both groups in each geographic unit (e.g., Census tract) is the same as in the area as a whole (e.g., county), then the dissimilarity index score for that entire area will be 0. By contrast, and again using Census tracts as an example, if one population is clustered entirely within one Census tract, the dissimilarity index score for that entire area will be 100. The higher the dissimilarity index value, the higher the level of segregation in an area. A dissimilarity index value of 100 is the highest level of segregation.

For the Los Angeles Urban County, the Dissimilarity Index shows a mix of moderate and high levels of segregation between the racial or ethnic groups. Asian (non-Hispanic) populations show the lowest race-specific levels of segregation with White people (non-Hispanic) with an index of 53.0. Hispanic people have the highest levels of segregation with an index of 64.9, while Black people (non-Hispanic) show the next-highest index at 64.2. The Non-White and White populations show a segregation index of 55.7, indicating a high level of segregation within the Urban County. Long Beach and Los Angeles have the highest Dissimilarity Index values, with consistently moderate to-high levels of segregation among the ethnic/racial groups. For the Urban County, the Non-White/White Dissimilarity Index has remained consistent since 1990, dropping a single point value since then. These numbers indicate the Non-White/White index values have been hovering just inside the "high segregation" thresholds since 1990. The Black/White index value peaked in 1990 with a value of nearly 73 but has fallen and remained steady near a value of 67 since that time. The Hispanic/White index value has fallen nearly a full point value since 2010 but is nearly two full point values higher than it was in 1990. Finally, the Asian/White index value has risen steadily since its 1990. value of just over 46 to a peak of 50.21 in 2010; 2015 is the first year the index has fallen in value (down about one-quarter of a value point).

The following analysis examines geographic data regarding racial segregation, poverty, persons with disabilities, and areas of opportunity as identified by the TCAC/HCD Opportunity Areas map.


Figure 3: 2010 Segregation/Integration by Tract



Race/Ethnicity

Racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty (R/ECAPs) are Census tracts with relatively high concentrations of Non-White residents with these residents living in poverty. As seen in Figure 4, the percentage of non-white population in the city is moderate compared to many areas of Los Angeles County. The block groups with the highest percentage of non-White residents are located in the central/eastern and south/western portion of the city, as shown in Figure 5, with the non-White population of this area ranging from 62.79% to 69.85%. There are no block groups with a Hispanic, Asian, African American or Native American population that exceeds 10%. When compared to the region, Lomita and cities north, south, and west are predominantly white while cities to the east have far fewer White individuals and a much higher percentage of non-White individuals.

Figure 4: Predominant Population - White Majority Tracts






Figure 5: Racial Demographic (2018) – Block Group





Figure 5a: Regional Racial Demographic (2018) – Block Group



Income

Recent Census estimates regarding poverty status of households in Lomita are shown in **Figure 6**. As seen in this map, there are low concentrations of poverty in two of the four census tracts, with the poverty rate less than 20% for these two census tracts. Within each of these census tracts, there is one block group with a median income less than \$55,000. The City experiences lower poverty rates since the last assessment which spanned 2010-2014 (See **Figure 6b**). Regionally, the south and east neighboring communities experience the same or higher rates of poverty (See **Figure 6a**).

Figure 7, 7a, and 7b depict the trends and patterns of the local and regional median income overtime. Mirroring the poverty rate, median income increased over time. The present median incomes of each census tract are similar to that of neighboring communities to the north, east, and south. Median incomes to the west are far higher, mirroring the increased proximity to jobs and lower poverty rates.

Figure 6: Poverty Status (ACS 2015-2019) - Tract




Figure 6a: Regional Poverty Status (ACS 2015-2019) – Tract




Figure 6b: Poverty Status (ACS 2010-2014) - Tract





Figure 7: Median Income (ACS, 2015-2019) - Block Group







Figure 7a: Regional Median Income (ACS, 2015-2019) - Block Group

Figure 7b: Median Income (ACS, 2010-2014) - Block Group




Familial Status

Female headed households comprise between 20% - 40% in one census tract as shown in **Figure 8: Female Headed Households**. Otherwise, the remaining census tract have less than 20% female headed households. Three census tracts have 60%-80% of children in married-couple households with the fourth census tract exceeding 80%. The entire Lomita community has between 40%-60% of population 18 years and over in households living with a spouse. Less than 20% of households over 18 years of age are living alone. When compared to neighboring communities, Lomita is similar to the immediate context; however, further south-east there is a much higher rate of female headed households.

Figure 8: Female Headed Households

Legend	×
City/Town Boundaries	
[,] D	Portenese 65 Sector
(R) Percent of Children in Female Householder, No Spouse/Partner Present Households (ACS, 2015-2019) - Tract	24300 SS 40000 State (213
Percent of Children in Female Householder, No Spouse/Partner Present Households	
> 80%	2331h S1 8 4 2331h S1 8 2331h S1 2331h S1 8 2331h S1 2331h S1 8 2331h S1 8 2331h S1 8 23
60% - 80%	
40% - 60% 20% - 40%	
≤ 20%	
t Chardwheits and School and a second	S Rolling Hills

Figure 8a: Female Headed Households in the Region



Persons with Disabilities

The incidence of disabilities is moderate in Lomita compared to many areas of Los Angeles County. As shown in Figure 9, the percentage of residents reporting a disability is less than 20% in three census tracts in the city with the remaining census tract less than 10%. Overall, the percent of population with a disability remained constant overtime in every census tract and adjacent communities. There appears to be no distinct difference between rates of disabilities in Lomita as compared to the region.

Figure 9: Population with a Disability





Figure 9a: Regional Population with a Disability



Findings

Given Lomita's history as a formerly redlined community, once predominately populated by non-white residents, it retains much of the area's racial integration today. Lomita is generally a racially integrated community. The UC Berkeley Othering and Belonging Institute publication *The Roots of Structural Racism Project* (June 2021) identified two census tracts in Lomita as being racially integrated and the remaining two census tracts with low-medium segregation.

Racially and Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAP)

R/ECAPs

An area is designated an R/ECAP if two conditions are satisfied: first, the Non-White population, whether Hispanic or Non-Hispanic, must account for at least 50 percent of the Census tract population. Second, the poverty rate in that Census must exceed a certain threshold. That threshold is set at either 40 percent or three times the overall poverty rate, whichever is lower. No R/ECAPs are identified in the City of Lomita as shown in Figure 10 R/ECAP. Additionally, Figure 10a compares this data to Lomita's surrounding cities where R/ECAPs are located to the south and Figure 10b demonstrates that the 2009-2013 R/ECAPs for the area are the same as the 2021 R/ECAPs.

Further, while R/ECAPs indicate high concentrations of non-White residents where a disproportionate number of residents live in poverty, areas of affluence indicate census tracts where 80 percent of the population is White, and the median household income is \$125,000 or more. As shown in Figure 4 and Figure 7b, while the City does have a small area of those earning \$125,000 or more, the City does not have any areas where 80% or more of the population is white, therefore no areas of affluence exist within Lomita.

Three census tracts are identified as "high resource" as shown in Figure 11. The southern-most census tract is primarily identified as "moderate resource" and includes an area south of Palos Verdes Drive North as "highest resource. There is a small area identified as "low resource" due to the presence of the Naval Defense Fuel Support facility.

To the west of Lomita, communities experience consistently high resourced areas. To the west, there are also a concentration of high-income White individuals while to the east is a more diverse population with lower median incomes and higher poverty.

Figure 10: R/ECAP (2009-2013)




Figure 10a: Regional R/ECAP (2009-2013)




Figure 11: TCAC/HCD Opportunity Map





Figure 11a: Regional TCAC/HCD Opportunity Map



Findings

According to the 2020 California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) Opportunity Area Map (Figure 11), Lomita is predominately within designated "Highest Resource" areas. Highest Resource areas are those with very high index scores for a variety of educational, environmental, and economic indicators. Some of the indicators identified by TCAC include high levels of employment and close proximity to jobs, access to effective educational opportunities for both children and adults, low concentration of poverty, and low levels of environmental pollutants, among others. TCAC areas increase opportunities for affordable housing developers that qualify for tax credits. Nearly all of the sites identified at all income levels and all sites identified for lower-income housing in the Sites Inventory form and detailed in Appendix E Sites Analysis are in Lomita's High Resource areas, further increasing the likelihood that affordable housing can realistically be developed within the planning period.

The City does not have any R/ECAPs or Areas of Affluence as aforementioned. When compared to the surrounding area, there are R/ECAPs located to the south east of Lomita. Past policies, practices, and investments that have led to the establishment of R/ECAPs in the south eastern portions may be attributed to historical underinvestment before the cities in the southern portions of Los Angeles were incorporated. The City of Lomita "began" as a residential tract (Lomita Subdivision) in the early 1900's and became oil drilling land and agricultural lands in the 1920's. The Lomita Subdivision covered 7 square miles in 1907 and further development was spurred. Lomita became a center for agriculture and oil extraction activities in the South Bay area. By 1935, as previously shown, the developed areas of Lomita were redlined, leaving residents with little access to home loans.

The LA Conservancy notes that even though the 1930s continued to be a relatively prosperous time in Los Angeles due to a booming entertainment industry, the Great Depression took its toll on a large percentage of the city's population. Architecture critic Esther McCoy wrote that during the Depression, "30 percent of all dwellings in Los Angeles had no inside toilet, 50 percent had no bathtub, and 20 percent were unfit for human habitation." To combat the high rates of substandard habitation, public housing developments were developed throughout the county. Occupying a hilly site in Lomita near the Palos Verdes peninsula, Harbor Hills opened in 1941. Harbor Hills was developed by the Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles and still offers more than 300 affordable units on a 27-acre site in Lomita.

The City of Lomita incorporated in 1964, at which point, the City was mostly built out with scattered vacant parcels. The push for incorporation was primarily a result of wanting to keep a small-town atmosphere which is reflective of Lomita today, demonstrating that the patterns over time as it relates to past policies and community outlook. The community is known for the generations of families that call Lomita home. Local businesses, schools, religious

organizations, and other institutions have thrived due to a supportive and stable community and government. Lomita is gradually seeing development that is changing some of the longstanding characteristics of the built environment, but the sense of the community character remains unchanged.

Disparities in Access to Opportunity

Lower-income households and racially segregated communities are disproportionately impacted by a combination of locational factors such as proximity to landfills, areas prone to flooding, freeways, industrial areas, and other toxins and pollutants.

The location of affordable housing in areas proximate to resources provides lower-income residents with increased access to opportunities such as increased education through quality schools, employment options, transportation options, low poverty exposure, and environmentally healthy neighborhoods. Research indicates that amongst various economic and social factors, being in proximity to certain amenities can encourage positive critical life outcomes. Areas that offer lower-income households the best chance at economic advancement, high educational attainment, and good physical and mental health are ideal for affordable housing development.

Education

Lomita's education domain score represents the range of education outcomes. When compared to surrounding coastal communities, Lomita's score is lower but higher when compared to communities to the east. Lomita's score ranges from 0.25 to 0.75 representing a range of moderate education outcomes as shown in Figure 12, Education Domain Score. When comparing the education domain score to Figure 4, White Majority Tracts, it appears the populations with fewer white individuals, in the southeast of the city, experience lower educational domain scores. And the area shown to have a median income of \$125,000 or more in Figure 7 has higher educational outcomes. Communities to the west of Lomita experience greater scores while the communities to the east experience lower scores.

Figure 12: Education Domain Score





Figure 12a: Regional Education Domain Score



Employment

Lomita's economic domain score represents the range of economic opportunities in the area. When compared to surrounding coastal communities, Lomita's score is lower but higher when compared to communities to the east. Lomita's score ranges from 0.50 and up which shows a relatively positive economic outcome as shown in **Figure 13 Economic Domain Score**. Further, **Figure 14 Jobs Proximity Index** shows that those in the northern and western portions of the City have the greatest access to employment based on proximity ranging from 40 to 80 in the highest block groups and as low as 20 to 40 in the southern and eastern areas of the community. Further, the southernmost portion of Lomita's has the furthest proximity. The sites identified in the Housing Element Sites Inventory are located in those areas with highest proximity to employment opportunities and public transit, to give those without a vehicle better access to employment. When comparing the jobs proximity index to Figure 4, White Majority Tracts, populations with fewer white individuals, in the southeast of the city, experience lower proximity to jobs. And the area shown to have a median income of \$125,000 or more in Figure 7 has moderate proximity to employment.

Similarly, to poverty rates and median income, the cities to the west of Lomita enjoy high economic scores while cities to the east experience lower domain scores. However, cities to the east, west, and south experience low proximity to employment scores while the communities to the north experience higher index scores.

Figure 13: Economic Domain Score




Figure 13a: Regional Economic Domain Score




Figure 14: Jobs Proximity Index





Figure 14a: Regional Jobs Proximity Index



Transportation

Lomita is served by local bus lines that run along the Pacific Coast Highway, Crenshaw Boulevard, Lomita Boulevard, and Narbonne Avenue. All sites identified in the Housing Element Sites Inventory as accommodating the lower-income housing need are identified along these bus routes to increase mobility opportunities, especially for lower- and extremely low-income households.

Additionally, the city sponsors a taxicab program for senior and disabled residents of Lomita. Service is provided on a round-the-clock basis to and from any point within the service area and the six satellite points. Residents 65 years of age and older or disabled persons of any age are eligible. The service area covers all of Lomita and extends outside of the City's limits, is limited to trips originating and terminating in the area between Hawthorne Blvd and Vermont Ave and between Sepulveda Blvd and Pacific Coast Hwy and where the City boundary crosses over the highway. **Figure 15 Dial-A-Ride** shows the service area for this program.

Figure 15: Dial-A-Ride



Healthy Environment

Lomita's environmental domain score represents more positive environmental outcomes throughout the City. **Figure 16 Environmental Domain Score** shows that Lomita ranks between 0.75 and 1.0. This score is consistent throughout the census tracts and there is little disparity across the City. The communities to the west of Lomita enjoy higher environmental scores while the cities to the east experience lower scores.

Figure 16: Environmental Domain Score





Figure 16a: Regional Environmental Domain Score



Disparities in Access to Opportunity for Persons with Disabilities

As discussed previously, the percentage of residents reporting a disability in Lomita is less than 20% in three census tracts in the city with the remaining census tract less than 10%. Further in 2019, 27% of those with a disability in Lomita were made up of adults age 65 years and older. As the population ages, mobility among older adults can become increasingly limited. The sites inventory of the Housing Element identifies capacity along the City's mixeduse corridors, increasing opportunities for future residents to access public transit and to reach needed services without reliance on automobiles. Program 19 of the Housing Element aims to increase opportunities for accessibility in housing for those with a disability.


Findings

As shown in this section, Lomita has moderate to high access to opportunity throughout the City. Therefore, there is a weak relationship between disparities in access to opportunities and population subgroups. Those sites identified in the Housing Element Sites Inventory have been identified in those areas with close proximity to employment, education, services, and other resources to capitalize on the existing mixed-use corridors and the opportunities for mobility via walking and bicycling for those that wish to take advantage of the central location near all needed amenities and resources Lomita has to offer. Further the mixed-use areas provide access for those that rely on public transit, as well as for those that rely on an automobile.

Disproportionate Housing Needs, Including Displacement

Homeownership is the largest asset of most households in the U.S. and, for many households, provides an opportunity to build wealth. Over generations, many households have used wealth gained through homeownership to send their children to college or invest in other opportunities, creating access to more wealth. One of the most prevalent consequences of residential segregation is the intergenerational inaccessibility of homeownership. In 2019, approximately 47% of Lomita's occupied housing stock was owner-occupied and approximately 53% percent was renter-occupied. Additionally, approximately 25% of homeowners in Lomita are of Hispanic origin, who may be of any race or multiple races. While White households make up approximately 57% of the population, they make up nearly 71% of total owner-occupied housing units. Figure 17: Race/Ethnicity of Homeowners below shows the race of homeowners in Lomita.

Figure 17: Race/Ethnicity of Homeowners



Cost Burden

According to the federal government, rental housing is considered "affordable" if the people living there pay no more than 30 percent of their income for rent or mortgage. The renter cost burden in the City can be seen on **Figure 18, Renter Cost Burden**, with 20 to 80 percent of the population, within the given census tract, who are cost burdened. **Figure 19, Homeowner Cost Burden**, shows that homeowners in Lomita are less cost burdened by their housing costs, representing 20 to 60 percent of the population.

In 2019, approximately 51% of rental households in Lomita spend more than 30% of their income on housing, while approximately 37% of homeowner households with a mortgage spend more than 30% of their income on housing. 33% of all homeowner households do not have a mortgage. As rents continue to rise, outpacing median income, more rental households will continue to be burdened by housing costs, leaving homeownership further out of reach for many. Renter and owner cost burden is extremely prevalent throughout the region.

Figure 18: Renter Cost Burden




Figure 18a: Regional Renter Cost Burden




Figure 19: Homeowner Cost Burden





Figure 19a: Regional Homeowner Cost Burden




Overcrowding

Overcrowding is defined by the U.S. Census Bureau as a housing unit occupied by more than one person per room. A severely overcrowded household is defined as one with more than 1.5 persons per room. As shown in **Figure 20 Overcrowded Households**, those overcrowded households in Lomita are located in the eastern middle portion of the city ranging from 12 to 15%, the rest of the city is less than the statewide average of 8.2%. Further as shown in **Figure 21 Severely Overcrowded Households**, all of Lomita falls in the lowest category at or below 5%. To the east of the City of Lomita, neighboring cities experience far greater levels of overcrowding and severe overcrowding.

Lower rates of overcrowding may lead to more positive health outcomes. For example, studies have shown that there is a strong correlation between crowded households and the probability of COVID-19 infection.¹ In particular, crowded households provide less opportunities for a person infected with COVID-19 to isolate themselves from the rest of the household.² When comparing the overcrowded households tract map to Figure 4, White Majority Tracts, it appears the populations with fewer white individuals, in the southeast of the city, experience higher rates of overcrowding.

Figure 20: Overcrowded Households








Figure 21: Severely Overcrowded Households





Figure 21a: Severely Overcrowded Households in the Region



Substandard Housing

Table 1, Households with Any of Four Housing Problems, shows the percentage of households in Lomita experiencing any one of four housing problems (lack of complete kitchen facilities, lack of complete plumbing facilities, more than one person per room, and monthly housing costs exceeding 30 percent of monthly income) by census tract. Further, Table 1 provides a breakdown of the households with housing problems by race or ethnicity. Generally, minority households are also more likely to experience higher rent burdens, poor housing conditions, and an increased risk of displacement and/or homelessness. White, Non-Hispanic households across the region and in each jurisdiction, are the least likely to experience housing problems while Black and Hispanic households experience housing problems at the highest rates (e.g., cost burden, overcrowding). However, as shown in Table 1, the percentage of households with any of the four problems does not vary greatly in different parts of the City. Similarly, race and ethnicity are generally consistent in their distribution throughout the City. It is noted that Census tract 67001 has the lowest Black, non-Hispanic population and Native American, non-Hispanic population and has the fewest housing problems. Figure 22 Percent of all households with any 4 of the severe housing problems show the percentage across the City. Lomita falls in the 20-40% range. Only to the west are there households with less than four of the housing problems outlined above.

Census	Percentage of Households with Housing Problems	Households with Housing Problems by Race/Ethnicity				
Tract Code		White, Non- Hispanic	Black, Non- Hispanic	Native America, Non- Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander, Non- Hispanic	Hispanic
670001	39.22%	46.72%	1.81%	0.21%	15.37%	31.74%
670003	47.1%	47.84%	2.7%	0.5%	13.01%	32.07%
670002	43.92%	38.74%	4.05%	0.3%	14.85%	37.74%
670100	45.94%	41.67%	6.63%	0.42%	16.1%	31.24%

Source: HUD AFFH Mapping Tool -2020 https://egis.hud.gov/affht/

Figure 22: Percent of Households with Any of Four Housing Problems





Figure 22a: Percent of Households with Any of Four Housing Problems in the region



Displacement

Displacement is an event that occurs for various reasons, including investment, disinvestment, or even disasters. Gentrification, or the influx of capital and higher-income residents into working-class neighborhoods, is often associated with displacement, which occurs when housing costs or neighborhood conditions force people out and drive rents so high that lower-income households are excluded from moving into the area.

According to the Urban Displacement Project, a research collaboration between UC Berkeley and University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), the City of Lomita includes Census tracts which are 'At Risk of Becoming Exclusive.' Those areas which are at risk of becoming exclusive include moderate to high-income areas that exhibit specified risk factors for future exclusion of lower income households **Figure 23: Gentrification and Displacement Map**. Those risk factors in Lomita include high concentrations of moderate, mixed-moderate, mixed-high or high-income households; tracts where housing is primarily affordable to middle, high, mixed moderate, and mixed high-income households, and tracts with significant increases in housing costs. Housing in Lomita is affordable to middle, high, mixed moderate, and mixed high-income households. Although segregated living patterns are not evident within the city's boundaries, higher-income households in Lomita can make adjacent neighborhoods more vulnerable to gentrification, influencing segregation at the regional level.




Figure 23: Gentrification and Displacement Map

Investment-Driven Displacement

Lomita has a relatively high concentration of Mobile Home Parks as shown in Figure 24 Mobile Home Parks. Redevelopment of these properties could leave lower-income households displaced. The City is committed to protecting and assisting these communities and **Program 3** of the Housing Element demonstrates that commitment. Compared to its surrounding communities, Lomita hosts far more mobile home parks than any neighboring city.

Figure 24: Mobile Home Parks





Figure 24a: Mobile Home Parks in the Region



Disaster-driven Displacement

Lomita's greatest disaster-related safety risks include air pollution, extreme heat, and seismic hazards. People with existing health conditions, such as asthma and heart disease, are more sensitive to air pollution. These conditions are also often caused by living near sources of air pollution. The average rate of asthma-related emergency department visits is between 30 to 46 people per 10,000 visits; however, this average increases to between 46 and 72 people per 10,000 visits in the area of Lomita between State Route 1 and West Lomita Boulevard.

Additionally, people who spend more time outdoors, including young children, people who work outdoors, and people in households without cars, are often exposed to polluted air at higher rates.

People can be adversely affected by extreme heat if they have existing health conditions or spend increased time outdoors working, commuting, or playing. People who depend on walking, biking, or transit to get around; older adults; and young children are at risk for heat stroke. Specifically, residents of the downtown area are less likely to own a car and are more likely to walk, bike, or take public transportation to work. This means they often spend more time outdoors during heat waves when doing important daily errands.

No populations are specifically more at risk because of an earthquake, but low-income households may be more likely to live in older non-retrofitted homes.

Identification and Prioritization of Contributing Factors

Fair Housing Issues and Contributing Factors

Although Lomita is a racially and ethnically diverse city with high access to opportunity, low rates of poverty, and fairly low rates of household overcrowding; some homeowners and renters struggle with housing overpayment. Rates of overpayment by renters is higher than those of homeowners. Additionally, three of the City's four census tracts has 10-20% of the population with a disability. While these percentages of those with a disability is not especially high, the City wants to be proactive in addressing the future needs of an aging population as it relates to changing levels of ability. Further, the City recognizes that to better meet the needs of lower-income households and those with disabilities, planning and land use decisions must consider opportunities for these populations to have improved access to resources and upward mobility. For these reasons, the City has identified the need for affordable housing, the need for accessible housing, and carefully considered land use and planning decisions to be the top priorities, in this order, for the City to affirmatively further fair housing. While there appears to be no threat from community opposition, lack of regional cooperation, or lack of funding sources, the Housing Element's programs address each of these potential concerns if indeed they become constraints to housing development in the future.

Prioritization of Contributing Factors and Actions Designed to Meaningfully Address Contributing Factors

The City's priorities for addressing impediments to fair housing issues are identified as follows:

- 1. Affordable Housing. Between 39% and 47% of households experience housing problems such as cost burden or overcrowding. The low availability of housing options to meet the needs of lower-income households is a key factor contributing to the City's risk of becoming exclusive. Because of this, the production of housing for lower income, especially extremely low-income, households to meet a range of housing needs is a priority for the City. Housing Element Program 3, to provide assistance to mobile home park tenants; **Program 4**, to preserve the affordability of housing units; and Program 10, to provide permit streamlining for affordable housing developments aim to directly assist with the realization of affordable housing. Specifically, Program 14 increases densities along the City's key corridors to facilitate the production of lower-income housing in an area with high access to resources and **Program 30** will capture some of this new density specifically for affordable housing through the adoption of an inclusionary housing ordinance. Other programs that more broadly demonstrate the City's commitment to affordable housing include **Program 7** to provide a range of incentives to facilitate the development of affordable housing and Program 27 to reduce parking requirements, especially for affordable housing.
- 2. Accessible Housing. In 2019, approximately eleven percent (2,198) of the City's population had a disability and approximately 27 percent of those age 65 and older had a disability. Because older adults make up the largest subgroup of those with a disability, as older adults continue to age, the need for housing to meet varying levels of ability will become increasingly vital. The Housing Element identifies actions to help meet the changing needs of the population. Housing Element **Program 6**, to incentivize affordable ADUs, **Program 13**, to reduce development standards for senior housing and housing to assist those with special needs; **Program 14**, to increase density in areas with high access to opportunity; and **Program 19**, to better connect developers and residents to resources related to housing accessibility aim to assist in increasing housing for the aging population and those with disabilities.
- 3. Land use and planning decisions. Land use and planning decisions can influence and restrict needed housing opportunities. Those sites identified in the Housing Element Sites Inventory have been identified in those areas with close proximity to employment, education, services, and other resources to capitalize on the existing mixed-use corridors and the opportunities offered by the area. The mixed-use areas of the City offer increased opportunities for mobility via walking and bicycling for those that wish to take advantage of the central location near all needed amenities

and resources Lomita has to offer. Further, the mixed-use areas provide access for those that rely on public transit, as well as for those that rely on an automobile. Those that have the greatest need to be less reliant on automobiles can greatly benefit by the level of accessibility that the mixed-use areas provide due to proximity to resources. Housing Element **Program 14** increases densities along the City's key corridors to facilitate the production of lower-income housing in an area with high access to resources. Additionally, because most of the City is located in a high resource opportunity area, **Program 15** increases density in established single family neighborhoods to increase opportunities for homeownership and upward mobility for those entering the housing market.

4. Community Opposition to Affordable Housing. The City of Lomita has not experienced opposition to the development of affordable housing in its neighborhoods. Community activists understand that the community is in need of more affordable housing types and solutions and Lomita's residents and businesses are in support of identify solutions to solving housing issues. The Lomita Chamber participated in the first community workshop for the 6th Cycle update, demonstrating support from the local business community and recognizing the interconnectedness of housing availability and a healthy local economy. Further, a recent ballot initiative was approved by the voters, demonstrating community support for solutions to meet the needs of those experiencing homelessness. After being approved by voters in March 2017, Measure H went into effect in 2018, raising the sales tax countywide by a guarter-percent to raise money for homeless services; suggesting county-wide support for affordable housing solutions such as increasing the supply of supportive and interim housing for people experiencing homelessness locally. Finally, the comments received through the Housing Element update process indicate a strong willingness from the community to address the local housing needs, as further detailed in Appendix F. Through **Program 22** of the 6th Cycle Housing Element, the City will continue to contract fair housing services through the Human Rights Center where the conduct fair housing education and outreach for the City, as further detailed in the Housing Element Programs section. Through Program 23 of the Housing Element the City will create fair housing marketing guidance for use by developers to ensure that housing marketing materials are representative, as further detailed in the Housing Element Programs section. Through Programs 20 and 21 the City will continue to send information out to residents within the City's newsletters on the availability of housing assistance programs. Further, through Program 19 as a part of the City's housing resources webpage, they will connect residents and developers on accessibility guidance through resources such as home retrofit guides. As further detailed in the programs of the Housing Element, the outreach that will be conducted through implementation of the Housing Element will elevate the conversation around fair housing, housing affordability, and garner further community support around housing solutions.

- 5. Housing Discrimination. Discriminatory housing policy and practices of landlords can be a major threat to individuals or families seeking permanent housing; especially those with special needs or accommodations. Figure 25: Discrimination Cases (Total, 2020) shows that the City experienced a low-moderate amount of housing discrimination complaints consistently throughout the city. Program 22 will support fair housing practices, Program 23 will support developers in the production of fair housing marketing materials, and Programs 7, 8, 9, 13 and 27 commit the city to removing constraints to development to better facilitate the development of housing for all, including those with special needs and extremely low-income households.
- 6. Land Use and Zoning Laws. Approximately 50% of the City of Lomita consists of single-family residential uses. Due to its built-out nature, opportunities for housing exist primarily through redevelopment. To remedy this situation and facilitate a more streamlined context for affordable housing developers, the City will implement the following Programs:
 - Through **Program 6**: Accessory Dwelling Units, the City will provide an incentive for ADUs that can be offered as affordable. ADUs can provide opportunities for those with special needs such as seniors or those with disabilities, including developmental disabilities, by creating housing in an independent setting while still allowing for support from caregivers who reside on the same lot.
 - Through **Program 7**: Incentives and Regulations, the City will pair rezones with objective development standards to incentivize the production of housing and will offer incentive zoning that will increase developer interest in the State Density Bonus Program.
 - Through **Program 10**: Affordable Housing Streamlining, the City will provide process streamlining for qualifying affordable and mixed-income housing developments.
 - Through **Program 11**: Objective Design Standards, the City will develop objective design standards to be paired with future rezones.
 - Through **Program 12**: Lot Consolidation, the City will enhance incentives for lot consolidation beyond what is already offered to developers.
 - Through **Program 14**: Rezone Program, the City will enforce a minimum density of 20 dwelling units per acre, will mandate a minimum of 50% of the total square footage be dedicated to residential uses, and will permit exclusively residential uses.

- Through **Program 16**: No Net Loss, the City will monitor the housing capacity identified in the Adequate Sites Inventory throughout the planning period to maintain sufficient capacity for the remaining RHNA at all income levels.
- Through **Program 27**: Reduced Parking Requirements, the City will reduce parking requirements for multifamily housing and for religious institutions when affordable housing is provided.
- Through **Program 29**: Ongoing Code Updates, the City will continue to monitor changes to State legislation and update the Zoning Code as needed and will continue to coordinate with developers in facilitating ideas on process and procedural improvements.
- Through **Program 30**: Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, the City will evaluate the feasibility of an inclusionary housing ordinance and adopt said ordinance, which will further incentivize the use of the State Density Bonus program.
- 7. Lack of Regional Cooperation. The City continues to participate in Countywide housing assistance programs. However, lengthy Section 8 and publicly-owned affordable housing waiting lists are indicators that there are not enough resources to meet the needs of all residents in the region and in Lomita. The City is committed to connecting residents to available housing assistance programs as detailed in Programs 20 and 21, where the City will continue to send information out to residents within the City's newsletters on the availability of housing assistance programs. In addition, the City will work with local stakeholders to identify process modifications and potentially new incentives through Program 7 of the Housing Element.

Lack of Affordable Housing & Lack of Public or Private Investment in Areas of **Opportunity.** Affordable housing developers rely on state tax credits to make their developments financially feasible. To be competitively rewarded credits, the developers must identify areas of opportunity as it relates to access to resources, which includes all areas of Lomita. However, due to Lomita's completely built-out nature, affordable housing developers must seek out development opportunities that can exist through the redevelopment of sites. To make redevelopment feasible for affordable housing developments and development in general, as demonstrated in **Program 14** of the Housing Element, the City will implement a rezone to increase density on identified sites to allow up to 30 dwelling units per acre. Further, through Program 12, the City will enhance incentives for lot consolidation beyond what is already offered to developers. Program 26 will make it easier for affordable housing developers to navigate the regulatory environment and Program 10 will provide an expedited process for affordable housing developments. Program 12 incentivizes lot consolidation, further incentivizing redevelopment that will result in an increase in residential uses in areas in close proximity to commercial services, serving the needs of future residents. Programs related to continued participation in Countywide assistance such as Programs 4, 20, 21, and 24, will

assist the facilitation of affordable housing development and continue to support the City's most vulnerable populations. The City is increasing opportunities for upward mobility or "access to opportunity" by facilitating the production of affordable housing, connecting residents to financial resources, and supporting homeownership opportunities through **Program 15**, by increasing capacity in existing single-family neighborhoods and **Program 20** to support with homeownership to increase the financial security of its current and future residents. Additionally, Housing Element **Programs 22 and 23** were developed to further demonstrate the City's commitment to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing through increasing fair housing awareness, continuing to contract fair housing services, and continuing to participate and engage in the Regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice.




Figure 25: Discrimination Cases (Total, 2020)

Appendix E: Adequate Sites Analysis

Contents

Appendix E: Adequate Sites Analysis	1
Regional Housing Needs Allocation	2
Capacity Analysis	
Small Sites	3
Development Permit Activity	
Realistic Capacity	6
Accessory Dwelling Units	7
Vacant Sites	9
Underutilized Sites	
Fair Housing	
Current Development that is Credited Toward RHNA	
Total Capacity Identified and Credit Toward RHNA	
Sites Identified to be Rezoned	
Conclusions	
Programs to Support Development of Underutilized Sites	

As provided under State law, the Housing Element must include an inventory of land suitable and available for all types of residential development, including vacant sites, and non-vacant sites having realistic and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the local housing need at all income levels. As further detailed in the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) discussion below, every local government is assigned a number of housing units representing its share of the state's housing needs for an eight-year period. The City of Lomita's (City's) housing need for the 6th Cycle 8-year period (2021 to 2029) consists of 829 total units. Through the Adequate Sites Inventory for the 2021–2029 planning period, the City has identified capacity for 338 total units, through vacant sites, underutilized sites, and projected Accessory Dwelling Units. Further, the City has identified 220 total units to be credited toward the RHNA. To meet the remaining RHNA by income category, the City has identified areas to be rezoned to not only meet their housing need, but to ensure adequate capacity throughout the planning period. The identified capacity is met through several methods, including in the form of currently vacant sites available for housing development; identified underutilized sites available for development at higher densities with realistic development potential; the development of housing that is underway and will have Certificates of Occupancy issued within the planning period; the potential for accessory and junior accessory dwelling units; and sites identified for a rezoning program.

In conjunction with the sites identified for the Adequate Sites Inventory, the Housing Element programs will further support new development on sites identified, at and above the corresponding capacity established for the respective sites. The Adequate Sites Analysis analyses the sites identified in the Adequate Sites Inventory, evaluates development that is currently underway, which counts towards the City's housing need; details the expected number of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) to be developed within the planning period; breaks down the methodology by which realistic development capacity was determined; and summarizes the approach utilized for the identification of sites selected for rezoning.

State law requires a land inventory that relies largely on vacant sites, and if a City is relying on non-vacant sites, findings based on substantial evidence must be provided to demonstrate that the existing use does not constitute an impediment to additional residential development. However, Lomita is completely built-out, meaning that vacant sites are nearly nonexistent. The vacant sites that are suitable for residential development have been included in **Table 5** of this document and in **Table A** of the Sites Inventory Form. As such, with a complete shortage of vacant land in Lomita, the City must largely rely on non-vacant sites, as an alternative to rely on vacant land simply does not exist. Furthermore, the lack of supply in vacant land currently available in Lomita is not something that the City can directly control. The City can only incentivize and promote redevelopment within Lomita, which is the intent of several programs in the Housing Element, such as **Program 14** where the City has committed to rezoning all sites within the Mixed Use Overlay (MUO) and will be expanding the MUO to additional sites to permit a minimum of 30 dwelling units per acre, which is above and beyond their needed default density of 20 dwelling units per acre.

facilitate redevelopment to make the City's housing unit targets possible include Program 7 which will pair the City's rezone with objective development standards and technical assistance, making the State Density Bonus program more attractive to developers; Program 12 which will enhance incentives for lot consolidation beyond what the City already offers; Program 27 which will reduce parking requirements for multifamily housing; and **Program 30** where the City will evaluate the feasibility of an inclusionary housing ordinance and adopt said ordinance, which will further incentivize the use of the State Density Bonus program. While State law (Government Code Section 65583.2) presumes existing uses to be an impediment to additional residential development, market interest in redevelopment was evident during the 5th Cycle Planning Period as residential development did occur, despite the lack of vacant land. In addition, a residential project in the pipeline, including a total of 220 units (further described in Table 9 below), provides evidence that the market's interest in redevelopment projects on nonvacant sites in the City will continue into the 6th Cycle Planning period. This 220-unit development was made possible through a specific plan that was approved by the City and **Program 28** demonstrates the City's continued support of capturing additional capacity through future specific plans, General Plan updates or amendments, and focused area plans.

Regional Housing Needs Allocation

Pursuant to State law, each local government in the State has a responsibility to accommodate a share of the projected housing needs in its region. The process of allocating regional housing needs to local governments is called the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). The RHNA for local governments in the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) region was developed for the 6th Housing Element cycle and the City has been assigned a RHNA of 829 total units, divided into income categories as follows:

- Very Low-Income Units 239
- Low-Income Units 124
- Moderate-Income Units 128
- Above Moderate-Income Units 338

The need for extremely-low income units is folded into the very low-income category and is estimated to be approximately 120 of the 239 very low-income units. To ensure that adequate capacity is maintained to accommodate its RHNA units and meet the housing needs of the City throughout the entirety of the 6th cycle, additional capacity above and beyond the RHNA assigned to the City has been identified. In accordance with State requirements, the City will monitor the housing capacity identified in the Adequate Sites Inventory throughout the planning period to maintain sufficient capacity for the remaining RHNA at all income levels as described in **Program 16** of the Housing Element.

Capacity Analysis

While a zoning designation's development standards enforce the maximum permitted density of a site, density maximums do not prohibit a development from proposing a number of units that is less than the maximum allowed on a site. Furthermore, while there may be developer interest in achieving the maximum permitted density, height limitations, setbacks, and other development standards can make achieving the maximum density difficult. For this reason, the realistic capacity of each site must be determined for the sites identified in the Adequate Sites Inventory. The realistic capacity is assessed based on the local permit density trends for existing and approved residential developments. This realistic capacity is calculated based on the sum area of grouped parcels called *sites* in this analysis. Sites must have a total area of at least one-half acre to be considered viable for lower income housing. Parcels are grouped to meet this threshold and because they share amenable qualities such as proximity to one-another, similar zoning, and other site characteristics. To further support the feasibility of the grouping of parcels **Program 12** of the Housing Element will enhance incentives for lot consolidation beyond what the City already offers; as further detailed in **Program 12**.

Small Sites

Sites smaller than a 0.5 acre in size are deemed inadequate to accommodate housing for lower-income housing unless evidence or recent trends can prove otherwise. In the case of Lomita, the average parcel size is 0.23 acres, far below the 0.5 acre minimum, and only 4.7% of the City's total parcels are greater than 0.5 acres. Therefore, if the sites inventory were to exclude all parcels smaller than 0.5 acres, without considering the possibility of consolidating smaller parcels, the sites inventory would be excluding more than 95% of the City's total parcels from the sites inventory. In addition, of the large parcels that exist in the City, many are public facilities which do not permit residential uses. These large public facilities include the City Hall, parks, and several public schools. Other uses on large sites include mobile home parks and existing affordable housing developments, all of which are a resource to the community and cannot reasonably be assumed to be redeveloped into housing. The only large sites that can responsibly accommodate housing capacity in Lomita include sites with existing religious institutions. An analysis of the large sites with existing religious institutions revealed that the likeliness of redevelopment of these sites is low, however Program 27 of the Housing Element will develop a process by which parking requirements will be reduced for religious institutions in exchange for housing. For all the aforementioned reasons, the Sites Inventory must rely on the consolidation of parcels to accommodate the lower-income RHNA. Of the three existing public and affordable housing developments in Lomita, as listed in Table 47 of Appendix B, permit records show that Lomita Manor assembled three parcels for the development of 78 affordable units. To incentivize development on the sites identified, Program 12 of the Housing Element will enhance incentives for lot consolidation beyond what the City already offers, as further detailed in Program 12. Further, through the process of updating the housing element, there have been workshops, newsletters and outreach to developers and property owners. Four local developers have met with staff to

discuss specific properties and potential densities to support future residential or mixed-use development. At least 6 out-of-area developers have inquired about properties under study and the willingness for Lomita to embrace higher density residential projects. Development interest has been focused primarily on Narbonne Avenue, with additional interest expressed on Lomita Boulevard which would involve lot consolidation.

Development Permit Activity

An analysis of the City's recent permit activity in zones where multifamily development is allowed was used to determine development trends in the area and to assist in the analysis of realistic development capacity. Since 2011, 15 total residential developments were permitted in multifamily and mixed-use zones. The unit counts for these developments, 334 total units were permitted on sites where the total maximum allowed density per the zone yields 381 total units. While some developments were produced at lower densities than what the zoning otherwise allows, on average, these developments achieved approximately 88% of the maximum density allowed under the zone. Developments that achieved the lowest percent of the total capacity allowed under the zoning designation, tend to be located on smaller parcels ranging between 0.56 and 0.12 acres. The permitted developments are detailed in **Table 1** below.

Parcel	Address	Zone	Acres	Maximum	Units	Percent	Date
Number(s)				Units	Permitted	Capacity	Permit
				Under		Achieved	Issued
				Zone			
7376008014	24710	RVD 2500	0.1096	2	2	100	February
	Pennsylvania						2020
	St.						
7410011011	1747 257 th St.	RVD 1500	0.17	5	3	60	August
							2020
7374011032	24000	Crenshaw	2.516	220	220	100	December
7374011034	Crenshaw	Boulevard					2020
7374011037	Blvd.	Specific					
7374011039		Plan					
7374011041		(MUO)					
73766015013	24813	Mixed Use	1.38	30	15	50	October
	Narbonne	Overlay					2020
	Ave.						
7553007073	25819	RVD 1000	0.56	24	10	42	March
	Eschelman						2019
	Ave.						
7376011004	24334	RVD 2500	0.21	4	1	25	July 2019
	Pennsylvania						
	Ave.						
7375001006	25002	Mixed Use	1.16	25	20	80	June 2018
	Medawar	Overlay					
	Way						
7375002025	25114	Mixed Use	0.47	10	12	120	March
	Narbonne	Overlay					2017
	Ave						
7376016002	2162 248 th St.	Mixed Use	0.12	3	1	33	March
		Overlay					2016
7374010016	2225 241 st St.	RVD 1500	0.46	13	13	100	May 2016
7374015022	24318 Lucille	RVD 2500	0.16	3	1	33	October
	Ave.						2015
7374012020	24107 Alliene	RVD 2500	0.19	3	3	100	August
	Ave.						2016
7375001002	2150 250 th St.	Mixed Use	0.16	3	1	33	August
		Overlay					2014
7553001099	26039	RVD 2500	0.93	18	16	89	November
	Cypress St.						2016
7553007072	2024 Bella	RVD 2500	0.92	18	16	89	March
	Ln.						2011
Total			1	381	334	87.6%	

Table 1: Recent Development Permit Activity

Realistic Capacity

While some recently permitted developments were able to achieve and even exceeded the maximum density allowed under the zoning designation, realistic capacity must consider local trends of recent development activity. On sites where multifamily developments are permitted, these developments tend to utilize a higher proportion of the maximum density allowed under the zoning designation. However, single-family detached units within multifamily zones yield the lowest proportion of the maximum density allowed under the zoning designation. Recent development achieved as low as 25% and as high as 120% of the capacity allowed under the zoning designation. Based on the total number of units permitted (334) in zones that permit multifamily development, compared to the maximum number of units allowed under the zoning designation (381), approximately 88% of the total development capacity in multifamily zones has been achieved since 2011.

Due to the wide range of capacities achieved compared to the potential number of units allowed under the zone's maximum density, the realistic capacity identified is analyzed through a conservative lens, and it is anticipated that in coordination with the progressive policies and programs of the Housing Element, 85% of the zoning maximum is a realistic yet moderate capacity that can be achieved on the sites identified in the Sites Inventory. Therefore, the realistic capacity assumed under all sites identified in the inventory is 85% of the maximum number of units permitted under the base zone. This realistic capacity of 85% of the zone density can easily accommodate the planned units as well as all development standards and improvements required under the zoning.

Because most of the sites identified as adequate to accommodate the City's RHNA, as detailed in the Sites Inventory form, are located in the City's MUO and because the MUO will enforce a minimum density of 20 dwelling units per acre, will mandate a minimum of 50% of the total square footage be dedicated to residential uses, and will permit exclusively residential uses as described in **Program 14**; the realistic density of 85 percent is still realistically achievable within the MUO. Additionally, when we calculate the percent of the capacity achieved for those multifamily and mixed use developments within the MUO (Table 1), developments achieved an average of 88 percent of the maximum density permitted. Further, programs that will make this realistic density especially attractive to developers include Program 7 which will pair the City's rezone with objective development standards and technical assistance, making the State Density Bonus program more attractive to developers; **Program 12** which will enhance incentives for lot consolidation beyond what the City already offers; Program 27 which will reduce parking requirements for multifamily housing; and Program 30 where the City will evaluate the feasibility of an inclusionary housing ordinance and adopt said ordinance, which will further incentivize the use of the State Density Bonus program because density bonus units count toward inclusionary requirements. These programs of the Housing Element contribute to making it more viable and attractive for

housing developers to build enough units on identified sites in commercial and mixed-use zones to meet the assumed 85% of allowed density expectation as mentioned above.

The Housing Element contains programs which will assert this reasonable capacity rate of 85% in mixed-use zones and even supports future rezones through specific plans, General Plan update or amendments, or focused area plans for the identification of future housing opportunities, as detailed in **Program 28**, which aims to go above and beyond the rezone program (**Program 14**) to work with local developers and the community to identify and rezone areas ideal for increased capacity including underutilized properties within commercial and mixed use zones.

Accessory Dwelling Units

The Housing Element may satisfy its RHNA requirement through methods alternative to the identification of sites. One such methodology is through an analysis of the expected number of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADUs) to be developed within the planning period. The number of ADUs and JADUs that can be credited toward potential development must be based on the following factors:

- ADU and JADU development trends since January 2018
- Community need and demand for ADUs and JADUs
- Resources and incentives available to encourage their development
- The availability of ADUs and JADUs for occupancy
- The anticipated affordability of ADUs and JADUs

Recent changes to legislation governing the development and provision of ADUs and JADUs have sparked growth in these units in cities across California, including Lomita. Because Lomita is entirely built out and urbanized in nature, ADU and JADU production is an ideal strategy for producing needed housing while capitalizing on existing infrastructure such as water and sewer. Additionally, this is often a strategy that is more easily accepted by stakeholders that may be resistant to change, as these units provide a form of hidden density that is palatable to many.

Between 2012 and 2017, only 7 ADUs were permitted in Lomita; however, between 2018 and 2020, 15 ADUs were permitted in Lomita. Table 2 details recent ADU and JADU development in Lomita.

Year	Very Low-Income	Low-Income Units	Moderate-Income	Above Moderate-
	Units		Units	Income Units
2014	0	0	1	0
2015	0	2	0	0
2016	0	2	0	0
2017	0	2	0	0
2018	0	3	1	0
2019	0	0	1	6
2020	0	0	2	2

Table 2: Recent ADU and JADU Development

Notes: ADU = Accessory Dwelling Unit; JADU = Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit.

Because ADU and JADU legislation has been revised several times since 2017, providing increased opportunities for the development of housing, it is expected that development trends will continue upward. Government Code section 65583.1 details how jurisdictions may consider alternative means of meeting RHNA beyond vacancy and underutilized sites. The potential for accessory dwelling units (ADUs) or junior accessory dwelling units (JADUs) is one of these available alternative means. A jurisdiction must include an analysis of the anticipated affordability of ADUs in order to determine which RHNA income categories they should be counted toward. To provide assistance to local governments, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) conducted an analysis that provides assumptions for ADU affordability that can be used to assign ADUs to income categories for the purpose of the Housing Element. The analysis examines current market rents for reasonably comparable rental properties, using platforms such as Craigslist, Zillow, and Westside Rentals and key words to identify ADUs. The analysis relies on a survey of rents of 150 existing ADUs conducted between April and June of 2020. They make findings to reflect the geographic distribution, size, and other characteristics of ADUs across the region. Based on ADU and JADU trends since January 2018, a conservative estimate of the number of units to be produced under this approach is 40 units throughout the 2021–2029 planning period. Further, under the affordability assumptions provided by the SCAG analysis, it can be assumed that 24 of these units will be affordable to lower income households. This breakdown is further provided in Table 3.

Table 3: ADU Potential to C	Credit Toward RHNA
-----------------------------	--------------------

Total Units	Extremely Low- Income Units	Very Low- Income Units	Low-Income Units	Moderate- Income Units	Above Moderate-
					Income Units
40	6	1	17	2	14

Notes: ADU = Accessory Dwelling Unit; RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment.

In coordination with the updated policies and programs in the Housing Element and the City's ongoing efforts to promote the development of ADUs and JADUs, it is likely that these units will be produced at a much higher rate. Program 6 of the Housing Element will further promote and incentivize the production of ADUs and JADUs.

Vacant Sites

Lomita consists of approximately 980 total acres and is almost entirely built-out, leaving little to no flexibility for the development of housing on vacant sites. Accessor parcel data reveals that 54 total parcels in Lomita are vacant. However, upon ground-level inspection, the majority of these sites are not available for development. Many of the sites are irregularly shaped parcels wedged behind developed sites with no street access, some are designated rights-of-way, and others are parking lots, which do not meet the definition of a vacant site. Of these sites, 9 were found to be vacant and developable, all of which are shown in Table 4 below. While these sites may be vacant and developable, they are not considered suitable for lower-income housing because they either do not meet the default density for lower-income units or they are too small to qualify for lower-income housing development. Further, two of the vacant developable sites are not zoned to permit residential uses and rezoning would require a comprehensive process that analyzes the surrounding nonresidential uses and their compatibility with and appropriateness for residential uses, these two sites are shown to further demonstrate the lack of vacant land in Lomita. Table 4 provides a breakdown of all the vacant sites in Lomita, and Table 5 provides a breakdown of vacant site capacity that can accommodate a portion of the RHNA. Those vacant sites with capacity are listed in detail in Table A of the Sites Inventory form.

Parcel Number	Zone	Acreage	Maximum Units	Realistic
			Permitted	Capacity
7372017048	CG	0.61	0	0
7375015903	R1	0.49	4	3
7376021013	R1	0.273	2	2
7376016013	MUO	0.1561	3	3
7374015900	MUO	0.1649	4	3
7373017025	A1	0.45	4	3
7373017026	A1	0.45	4	3
7373007014	CR	0.3508	0	0
7553003114	RVD 1500	0.38	11	9

Table 5: Vacant Site Capacity

Total Units	Very Low-Income Units	Low-Income Units	Moderate-Income Units	Above Moderate- Income Units
26	0	0	9	17

Underutilized Sites

Since Lomita is almost entirely built-out, determining which non-vacant sites are underutilized and have the strongest potential for redevelopment can help identify ideal areas for accommodating new housing through redevelopment. Opportunities for redevelopment to higher densities in Lomita exist primarily on sites within the City's Mixed-Use Overlay (MUO) where the zoning is more permissive than what is built and the current use is likely to redevelop when paired with the programs of the Housing Element, including **Program 14** to increase the allowable density within the MUO to allow up to 30 dwelling units per acre, above and beyond the City's default density of 20 dwelling units per acre. While existing uses on nonvacant sites are an impediment to development, underutilized sites are identified through a thorough and selective criteria to determine which existing uses are most likely to redevelop when paired with the right zoning designation, regulations, and policies.

The sites analysis was completed using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) mapping software using multiple data sets to identify potentially available housing sites, largely depending on SCAG's annual land use parcel-level dataset (ALU v.2019.2) available from SCAG's open GIS data portal last update in June 2021. SCAG's land use dataset provides extensive parcel-level data, including existing land uses mainly based on 2019 tax assessor records.¹ The City is nearly completely built-out, meaning that vacant sites are nearly nonexistent, which was verified using the tax assessor land use codes. As such, local governments with limited vacant land resources may rely on non-vacant and underutilized residential sites to accommodate their RHNA. Although, HCD's Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook (Government Code Section 65583.2) states that a "nonvacant site's existing use is presumed to impede additional residential development," the City's opportunities for residential development must mainly depend on underutilized sites due to the lack of vacant lands. Development of underutilized sites can be seen through recent development trends and current projects in the pipeline for redevelopment of underutilized sites being developed at a higher density or with greater intensity. Therefore, this sites analysis largely depends on those underutilized sites within City limits that were zoned to allow for residential development identified by the following factors:

- Building Age Buildings more than 50 years old
- Under Valued An assessed improvement to land value ratio less than 1
- Underbuilt Commercially zoned sites where the current FAR compared to the maximum allowable FAR is less than 100%

¹ Source of 2019 existing land use: SCAG_REF – SCAG's regional geospatial datasets; ASSESSOR – Assessor's 2019 tax roll records; CPAD- California Protected Areas Database (version 2020a; accessed September 2020); CSCD – California School Campus Database (version 2018; accessed September 2020); FMMP – Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program's Important Farmland GIS data (accessed September 2020); MIRTA – U.S. Department of Defense's Military Installations, Ranges, and Training Areas GIS data (accessed September 2020).

• **Resource Access** - Within TCAC/HCD Opportunity Areas, defined by the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) as areas whose characteristics have been shown by research to support positive economic, educational, and health outcomes for lower-income households.

These initial factors were used to narrow the selection of sites within the City to allow for a more informed approach to selecting sites. Following the selection of sites through the above-mentioned data-driven approach, sites were then further narrowed down through onthe-ground research that looked at the potential to yield a positive count of net new units, the feasibility of the redevelopment of the existing use, and any known developer interest that has been revealed through developer discussions with City staff. This included the use of online mapping tools, including Google Earth and Google maps, as well as City knowledge of the current projects in the pipeline and development interest in certain areas of the City, were used to verify building vacancies and underutilized status of existing uses. The methodology was developed to align with current trends in the City. The detailed Sites Inventory form provides the context of each site including the General Plan designation, the zoning designation, a description of existing uses, and details if the site was previously identified. The City is experiencing increased development interest in the areas identified for future development and multiple inquiries regarding potential housing projects are received on a monthly basis. Through the process of updating the housing element, there have been workshops, newsletters and outreach to developers and property owners. Four local developers have met with staff to discuss specific properties and potential densities to support future residential or mixed-use development within the areas identified as having strong potential for redevelopment. At least 6 out-of-area developers have inquired about properties identified in the Sites Inventory and the willingness for Lomita to embrace higher density residential projects. Development interest has been focused primarily on Narbonne Avenue, with additional interest expressed on Lomita Boulevard.

Based on recent trends in the City, the likelihood for non-residential uses in the MUO is limited. Furthermore, as described in Program 14, the MUO will enforce a minimum density of 20 dwelling units per acre, will mandate a minimum of 50% of the total square footage be dedicated for residential uses, and will permit exclusively residential.

Land suitable for residential development must be appropriate and available for residential use in the planning period. As such, the sites were also reviewed according to their development standards and regulations, as well as recently approved or built residential projects in the same zones where housing is an allowed use. Sites that require rezoning were also studied through the sites analysis and included in the Sites Inventory, based on the housing program included in the Housing Element requiring rezoning of the areas identified, discussed in detail below.

Further, in accordance with Housing Element law, the City's default density for accommodating capacity for lower-income units requires zoning that permits a minimum of 20 dwelling units per acre. Also, it is detailed under State guidance that many assisted housing developments using State or Federal resources result in developments between 50 and 150 total dwelling units, and parcels that are too small or too large may not facilitate developments of this size. In addition to permitting 20 dwelling units per acre or greater, for a site to qualify as having capacity for lower-income housing, it must be between 0.5 and 10 acres. Those underutilized sites with a net gain of dwelling units between a site's current state and realistic building capacity combined with a lot size of at least 0.5 acres places it in the priority category for redevelopment to meet the lower income RHNA target.

Because of the recent higher-density multifamily development occurring within Lomita's MUO zone, the ability of sites to yield a positive unit count when calculating net new units under the realistic density, as well as the abundance of underutilized sites that are zoned above the City's default density, all underutilized capacity has been identified within the City's MUO. The sites identified consist of multiple contiguous parcels, all of which are along the Lomita Boulevard and Narbonne Avenue mixed use corridors. The combination of both the rezone program (**Program 14**) and the lot consolidation program (**Program 12**) makes the City's MUO especially attractive for development. **Table A** in the Sites Inventory form lists these underutilized sites, and **Table 6** below provides the totals for the identified realistic capacity for these underutilized sites by income category which is further detailed in Table A of the Sites Inventory form.

Density (dwelling	Total Units	Very Low- Income Units	Low-Income Units	Moderate- Income Units	Above Moderate-
units/acre)					Income Units
22	272		240	26	6

Table 6: Identified Capacity for Underutilized Sites
--

Fair Housing

As detailed in Appendix D Affirmatory Further Fair Housing Analysis, the sites identified to accommodate nearly all of the City's RHNA and all of the sites identified to accommodate the lower-income RHNA were selected due to their location in areas with access to increased opportunities for households with access to available resources. The sites identified in the Sites Inventory all fall under the "High Resource" designation as acknowledged by Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC), granting potential affordable developers increased eligibility for tax credits. As such, planned units for these sites of all income categories would be located in TCAC High Resource Opportunity Areas as well. Identified sites improve disparity

in resident access to resources as an inherent part of the site selection process. Figure 1 displays the sites identified in the Housing Element and Figure 2 displays the TCAC Opportunity Map.

The identification of these sites helps to mitigate segregation of protected groups through the potential for greater variety in housing opportunity; potential development in these areas creates diversity in availability across income levels, and spatially expands upon the zones where housing is both affordable and varied. The identified sites will catalyze downward trend in dissimilarity index, by increasing opportunities for affordable housing development within areas of Lomita with the lowest poverty levels, highest proportion of the white population, in close proximity to jobs, education, and transit, and within areas with positive environmental outcomes.

Since no R/ECAPs exist within the City as identified in this element, the inventory and analysis of prospective sites for residential development does not exacerbate R/ECAP conditions. Development of these sites to realistic capacity also does not introduce R/ECAP conditions into the area. The Sites Inventory and Analysis also improves upon disproportionate housing needs and displacement through thorough consideration of existing units and benefit generated from potential redevelopment as further detailed in Appendix D Affirmatively Further Fair Housing Analysis.







Figure 2 TCAC Opportunity Map



Current Development that is Credited Toward RHNA

Although the 6th Cycle Housing Element due date is October 15, 2021, the RHNA projection period begins June 30, 2021, and ends October 15, 2029. Projects that are approved, permitted, or receive a certificate of occupancy during the RHNA projection period may be credited toward meeting the RHNA.

In 2017, the City adopted the 24000 Crenshaw Boulevard Specific Plan (CBSP). This plan applies to a 2.516-acre site and permits multifamily development with residential amenities at a density up to 88 dwelling units per acre. In December of 2020, the City issued permits for the implementation of the CBSP, permitting the development of 220 market-rate units on what was formerly a parking lot used for storage. The development is currently under construction and is expected to be completed by July 2022. The grading and foundation of the site as well as the underground parking garage has already been completed and the construction of the structure are currently underway. Once completed, this five-story podium development will provide an amenity-rich community to the growing population, with studios, and one and two-bedroom apartments. The City worked closely with the developers to help them understand the needs of Lomita's residents. While this development will not provide any of the City's needed housing for lower-income households, this puts the City on track to meet the above moderate-income RHNA category and out paces all units constructed during the 5th Cycle Housing Element. The breakdown of these units by income category is provided in Table 7.

Table 7: CBSP Units to Credit Toward RHNA

Total Units	Very Low-Income Units	Low-Income Units	Moderate-Income Units	Above Moderate- Income Units
220	0	0	0	220

Notes: CBSP = Crenshaw Boulevard Specific Plan; RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment.

Total Capacity Identified and Credit Toward RHNA

Table 8 below shows the breakdown of all capacity and credits to be counted toward the RHNA, as detailed above and compares these numbers to the total 6th cycle RHNA. The capacity identified in this table does not reflect any additional capacity that would be captured through a rezone. The remaining RHNA by income category as shown below, will be met through a rezone program.

Category	Total Units	Lower Income Units	Moderate and Above Moderate-Income Units
RHNA	829	363	466
Development to be Completed During RHNA	220	0	220
Potential ADUs	40	24	16
Vacant Site Capacity	26	0	26
Underutilized Capacity	272	240	32
Remaining RHNA	271	99	173

 Table 8: Total RHNA Compared to Credits and Capacity Identified

Notes: RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment; ADU = accessory dwelling unit.

Sites Identified to be Rezoned

After calculating the City's current capacity on vacant lands, underutilized lands, projections for Accessory Dwelling Units, and calculating developments that are underway to be credited toward the RHNA, the City has a shortfall of 99 units for the lower-income RHNA category and a remaining need of 173 units in the moderate and above moderate income RHNA category. In order to accommodate the remaining RHNA at all income categories as well as a buffer of additional capacity, the City has identified areas appropriate for increased densities in accordance with a rezone program. Through **Program 14** of the Housing Element, the City will rezone certain sites designated in the Mixed Use Overlay to permit up to 30 dwelling units per acre.

Further, those sites identified to be rezoned to meet the lower income RHNA shortfall will meet the following requirements:

- Permit a minimum of 16 dwelling units per site.
- Have a minimum zone density of 20 dwelling units per acre.
- Permit owner-occupied and rental multifamily residential uses by-right for developments in which at least 20% of the units are affordable to lower-income households.
- Shall be accommodated on sites that allow 100% residential uses and require that residential uses occupy a minimum of 50% of the total floor area of a mixed-use project.

Within the areas identified to be rezoned to permit 30 dwelling units per acre, only those sites that are underutilized have been identified as having realistic development potential within the planning period using the same criteria as detailed in the Underutilized Sites section of this analysis. Further, many of the sites identified for a rezone program (Table B of the Sites Inventory form) have been identified as having underutilized capacity under their current zoning as shown in Table A of the Sites Inventory form. Those sites that have existing

capacity (Table A of Sites Inventory form) that will be rezoned to add additional capacity (Table B of the Sites Inventory form) can be identified by the consolidated site letter in the Sites Inventory form and the capacity identified for those rezone sites (Table B of the Sites Inventory) represents the difference between the realistic existing capacity and the total realistic capacity after the rezone. Calculation of the difference ensures that capacity is not double counted on sites. The sites identified to be rezoned, as described in Table B of the Sites Inventory form, details the following for each parcel:

- The RHNA income category that the site can accommodate,
- The associated consolidated site letter.
- If the site was identified as having existing capacity on Table A of the Sites Inventory form.
- If the site is identified to meet the remaining Moderate/Above Moderate RHNA need.
- If the site is identified to accommodate a no-net-loss buffer.

Table B of the Sites Inventory form demonstrates the realistic capacity of each site to be rezoned, as well as the net new capacity of those sites that are identified as having underutilized capacity under the current zoning. Further, Table 9 below summarizes the net new realistic capacity identified by income level or buffer.

Total Units	Lower Income	Moderate and	Buffer
	Units	Above Moderate	
		Units	
442	99	173	170

Table 9: Rezoned Site Capacity

When rezoned sites are paired with the following programs of the Housing Element these properties will permit higher densities and residential development on these sites will be further incentivized, paving the way for future development. Programs: 7-Incentives and Regulations, 12-Lot Consolidation, 27-Reduced Parking Requirements, and 29-Ongoing Code Updates.

Conclusions

Bound by Torrance on the north and west, Los Angeles to the east, and Rolling Hills Estates on the south and southwest, Lomita has developed to the edges of its boundaries. Because Lomita is nearly entirely built out and does not have large swaths of land preserved for open space or conservation, there are little to no opportunities to identify new housing capacity on undeveloped lands. With few vacant sites, much of the City's housing capacity is identified in the form of underutilized sites that are most suitable for redevelopment. The underutilization of these sites in combination with their location in high resource areas and paired with the following programs of the Housing Element will ensure that the City can realistically meet the RHNA targets at all income levels during the Housing Element planning period.

Programs to Support Development of Underutilized Sites

As further detailed in the Programs of the Housing Element, the City will implement the following programs to facilitate and incentivize development on the sites identified to accommodate the City's RHNA:

- Through Program 6: Accessory Dwelling Units, the City will provide an incentive for ADUs that can be offered as affordable.
- Through Program 7: Incentives and Regulations, the City will pair rezones with objective development standards to incentivize the production of housing and will offer incentive zoning that will increase developer interest in the State Density Bonus Program.
- Through Program 10: Affordable Housing Streamlining, the City will provide process streamlining for qualifying affordable and mixed-income housing developments.
- Through Program 11: Objective Design Standards, the City will develop objective design standards to be paired with future rezones.
- Through Program 12: Lot Consolidation, the City will enhance incentives for lot consolidation beyond what is already offered to developers.
- Through Program 14: Rezone Program, the City will increase densities to permit 30 dwelling units per acre, enforce a minimum density of 20 dwelling units per acre, will mandate a minimum of 50% of the total square footage of a mixed use project be dedicated to residential uses , and will permit exclusively residential uses.
- Through Program 16: No Net Loss, the City will monitor the housing capacity identified in the Adequate Sites Inventory throughout the planning period to maintain sufficient capacity for the remaining RHNA at all income levels.
- Through Program 27: Reduced Parking Requirements, the City will reduce parking requirements for multifamily housing and for religious institutions when affordable housing is provided.
- Through Program 29: Ongoing Code Updates, the City will continue to monitor changes to State legislation and update the Zoning Code as needed and will continue to coordinate with developers in facilitating ideas on process and procedural improvements.

• Through Program 30: Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, the City will evaluate the feasibility of an inclusionary housing ordinance and adopt said ordinance, which will further incentivize the use of the State Density Bonus program.

Further details on these programs can be found in the Programs section of the Housing Element.

Sites Inventory Form

Table A: Housi	ng Element Sites Inv	entory, Table	Starts in Cell A2																
Jurisdiction Name	Site Address/Intersection	5 Digit ZIP Code	Assessor Parcel O Number	General Plan Designation (Current)	Zoning Designation (Current)	Minimum Density Allowed (units/acre)		Parcel Size (Ac	res) Existing Use/Vacancy Infrastruc	ure Publicly-Owned	Site Status	s Identified in Last/Last Two Planning Cycle(s)	Lower Income Capacity		Above Moderate Income Capacity	Total Capacity	Optional Information1	Optional Information2	Optional Information3
LOMITA	2458 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376001120 A	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 2	2417 Private school, parkir YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	41.92			41 91979			
LOMITA	24205 PENNSYLVANIA AVE	90717	7374011014 B	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	1184 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	1.21			1.21408			
LOMITA	2413 LOMITA BLVD 2417 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374011019 B 7374011020 B		MU-O	0	2	2 0	0839 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 0849 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	0.57			0.56893			
LOMITA	2439 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374011025 B	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	0904 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	0.69			0.69048			
LOMITA	24105 PENNSYLVANIA AVE 2435 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374011009 B 7374011024 B		MU-O	0	2		2819 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	4.27			4.27153			
LOMITA	2435 LOMITA BLVD 24117 PENNSYLVANIA AVE	90717	7374011024 B 7374011011 B		MU-O MU-O	0	2	2 0	0804 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 1169 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Not Used in Prior Housing Element	0.50			0.50348			
LOMITA	2409 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374011018 B	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	0874 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	0.63			0.63438			
LOMITA	24201 PENNSYLVANIA AVE 24121 PENNSYLVANIA AVE	90717 90717	7374011013 B 7374011012 B		MU-O MU-O	0	2		1162 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 1212 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	1.17			1.17294			
LOMITA	2431 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374011023 B		MU-O	0	2	2 0	0759 PARKING YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	1.42			1.41933			
LOMITA	24111 PENNSYLVANIA AVE	90717	7374011010 B		MU-O	0	2		1651 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	2.09			2.08737			
LOMITA	2403 LOMITA BLVD 2421 LOMITA BLVD	90717 90717	7374011040 B 7374011021 B		MU-O MU-O	0	2		0.077 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 0828 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	0.44			0.4399			
LOMITA	2429 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374011016 B	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	.6837 Strip Mall YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	12.79			12.78519			
LOMITA	24209 PENNSYLVANIA AVE 2425 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374011015 B 7374011022 B		MU-O MU-O	0	2		1105 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 0839 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	1.07			1.06635			
LOMITA	2340 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011022 B		MU-O	0	2		1491 Parking YES - Curren		Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	2.79			2.78817			
LOMITA	2352 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011007 C		MU-O	0	2	2 0	.4126 Apartment, 8 YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	0.00						
LOMITA	2360 LOMITA BLVD 2340 1/2 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011006 C 7376011019 C		MU-O MU-O	0	2		0.488 Apartment and Spa, IYES - Curren 0638 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Not Used in Prior Housing Element	1.13			1.13			
LOMITA	2344 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011008 C		MU-O	0	2		4291 RETAIL YES - Curren		Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	8.02			8.02417			
LOMITA	24505 CYPRESS ST	90717	7376011023 D		MU-O	0	2	2 0	2172 Car Garage YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	4.06			4.06164			
LOMITA	2332 LOMITA BLVD 24511 CYPRESS ST	90717 90717	7376011021 D 7376011024 D		MU-O MU-O	0	2		0.405 Learning Center YES - Curren 1473 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Not Used in Prior Housing Element	7.57			7.5735			
LOMITA	2312 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011022 D	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	5193 APARTMENT, 4 YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	5.71			5.71091			
LOMITA	2335 LOMITA BLVD 2270 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011020 D 7376012037 F		MU-O	0	2	2 0	2236 Learning Center YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Not Used in Prior Housing Element	4.18			4.18132			
LOMITA	2258 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376012037 E		MU-O	0	2	2 0	14484 Family Health Care YES - Curren 12759 Car Garage YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	8.39			5.15933			
LOMITA	2266 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376012023 E		MU-O	0	2	2 0	1905 Water Retailer YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	3.56			3.56235			
LOMITA	2234 LOMITA BLVD 2244 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376013001 F 7376013003 F		MU-O	0	2		1058 COMMERCIAL YES - Curren 1663 SFR and office busineYES - Curren		Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	1.98			1.97846			
LOMITA	2222 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376013003 F		MU-O	0	2	2 0	2511 Service YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	4.70			4.69557			
LOMITA	2232 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376013007 F		MU-O	0	2			NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	5.14			5.14063			
LOMITA	2240 LOMITA BLVD 24669 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376013002 F 7376017019 G		MU-O MU-O	0	2		1324 Auto Repair YES - Curren 1535 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	2.48			2.47588			
LOMITA	24709 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017011 G		MU-O	0	2		1564 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	1.92			1.92468			
LOMITA	24710 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017008 G		MU-O	0	2		1491 Duplex, 2 YES - Curren		Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	0.79			0.78817			
LOMITA	24644 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017003 G 7376017015 G		MU-O MU-O	0	2		1491 OFFICE BUILDING YES - Curren 1518 COMMERCIAL YES - Curren		Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	2.79			2.78817 2.83866			
LOMITA	24644 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017002 G	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	1505 COMMERCIAL YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	2.82			2.81622			
LOMITA	24706 NARBONNE AVE 24632 NARBONNE AVE	90717 90717	7376017007 G 7376017001 G		MU-O MU-O	0	2	2 0	1402 DUPLEX, 2 YES - Curren 2945 RETAIL YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	0.62			0.62174 5.50715			
LOMITA	24693 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017001 G		MU-O	0	2		22995 RETAIL TES - Curren		Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	3.54			3.54223			
LOMITA	24673 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017018 G	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	1581 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	1.96			1.95647			
LOMITA	24683 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017016 G		MU-O	0	2		1604 DUPLEX, 2 YES - Curren 1565 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	1.00			0.99948			
LOMITA	24665 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017020 G	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	1559 DUPLEX, 2 YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	0.92			0.91533			
LOMITA	24658 NARBONNE AVE 24700 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017031 G 7376017006 G		MU-O MU-O	0	2			NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	5.56			5.56325			
LOMITA	24700 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017006 G		MU-O	0	2	2 0	10693 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	2.94			2.94151 0.29591			
LOMITA	24815 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376016016 H		MU-O	0	2	2 0	1221 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element			1.28	1.28			
LOMITA	24809 WOODWARD AVE 24823 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376016017 H 7376016014 I		MU-O	0	2		1539 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 1499 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element		16	1.88	1.88			
LOMITA		90717	7376016013 I	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	1561 VACANT YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element		2.92	2	2.92			
LOMITA	24821 WOODWARD AVE 24836 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376016015 I 7376016007 J		MU-O MU-O	0	2		1314 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element		1.46	5	1.46			
LOMITA	24836 NARBONNE AVE 24846 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376016007 J		MU-O	0	2	2 0	13026 Strip Mall and SFR on YES - Curren 12097 COMMERCIAL YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	4.66			4.66			
LOMITA	2155 250TH ST	90717	7376016009 J		MU-O	0	2	2 0	1398 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	1.61			1.61			
LOMITA	2168 250TH ST 25008 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375001007 K 7375001008 K		MU-O MU-O	0	2	2 0	1563 COMMERCIAL YES - Curren 0.091 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Not Used in Prior Housing Element			2.92	2.92			
LOMITA	25016 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375001008 K		MU-O	0	2		1012 Single family residen YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element			0.89	0.89			
LOMITA	25020 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375001011 L		MU-O	0	2	2 0	0997 Auto Service YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	8.01		1.86	1.86			
LOMITA	25001 NARBONNE AVE 25043 NARBONNE AVE	90717 90717	7373015033 M 7373015021 M		MU-O MU-O	0	2	2 0	4286 Funeral Home YES - Curren 4637 OFFICE BUILDING YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	8.01			8.01 8.67			
LOMITA	25035 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373015020 M	Mixed Use	MU-O	ő	2	2 0	2157 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	3.03			3.03			
LOMITA	25019 NARBONNE AVE 25027 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373015006 M 7373015007 M		MU-O MU-O	0	2			NO - Privately-Owned NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	0.15			0.15			
LOMITA	25031 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373015007 M		MU-O	0	2		2132 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	2.99			2.99			
LOMITA	25200 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375002005 N		MU-O	0	2		2875 Singel family residen YES - Curren		Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element	4.38			4.38			
LOMITA	25208 NARBONNE AVE 25201 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375002006 N 7373014025 O		MU-O MU-O	0	2		2242 DUPLEX, 2 YES - Curren 2255 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element	2.19	3.22		2.19			
LOMITA	25209 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373014025 O	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	2188 Singel family residen YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element		3.05		3.09			
LOMITA	25217 NARBONNE AVE	90717 90717	7373014021 7373014007 Q		MU-O	0	2		2386 RETAIL YES - Curren 0.148 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element		4.46		4.46			
LOMITA	25345 NARBONNE AVE 25335 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373014007 Q 7373014005 Q		MU-O MU-O	0	2		0.148 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 1471 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element		1.77		1.77			
LOMITA	25339 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373014005 Q	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	1483 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element		1.77	i da la companya da l	1.77			
LOMITA	25350 NARBONNE AVE 2165 253RD PI	90717	7375003004 R 7375003002 R		MU-O	0	2		2037 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 1205 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren		Available Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Not Used in Prior Housing Element	2.81			2.81			
LOMITA	25412 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375003007 R	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2	0.201 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	2.76			2.76			
LOMITA	25408 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375003005 R		MU-O	0	2	2 0	1964 RETAIL YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	3.67			3.67			
LOMITA	25342 NARBONNE AVE 25404 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375003001 R 7375003005 R		MU-O	0	2		1368 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren 2032 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDYES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	1.56			1.56			
LOMITA	25419 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373013016 S	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2 0	4677 OFFICE BUILDING YES - Curren	NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	8.75			8.75			
LOMITA	25409 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373013017 S		MU-O	0	2		3335 OFFICE BUILDING YES - Curren		Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant	6.24			6.24			
LOMITA	25401 NARBONNE AVE 25007 Walnut Street	90717	7373013018 S 7375015903		MU-0 81	0	2		1486 OFFICE BUILDING YES - Curren 14926 VACANT YES - Curren	t YES - Other Publick-Own	Available and Available	Used in Prior Housing Element - Non-Vacant Not Used in Prior Housing Element	2.78			2.78			
LOMITA	2061 250TH ST	90717	7376021013	Residential - Low	R1	0	8.	7 0	2728 VACANT YES - Curre	t NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element			2	2			
LOMITA	2468 254TH ST	90717	7373017026		A1 RVD1500	0	8.			t NO - Privately-Owned t NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element			3	3			
LOMITA	26109 NARBONNE AVE 2474 254TH ST	90717 90717	7553003114 7373017025	Residential - High Residential - Agriculture		0	2	9 0 7	0.454 VACANT YES - Curre	t NO - Privately-Owned	Available Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element Not Used in Prior Housing Element		9	3	9			
LOMITA	24329 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7374015900	Mixed Use	MU-O	0	2	2	0.17 VACANT YES - Curre	t NO - Privately-Owned	Available	Not Used in Prior Housing Element			3	3			
LOMITA		1				1		1					1		1				

Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need, Table Starts in Cell A2

Table B: C	Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezon	ed to Accomm	odate Shortfa	all Housing Need,	Table Starts	in Cell A2		1											
Jurisdiction Name	Site Address/Intersection	5 Digit ZIP Code	Assessor Parcel Number	Very Low- r Income Low-Ir	come Moder Inco		Type of Shortfall	Parcel Size (Acres)	Current General Plan Designation	Current Zoning	Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Proposed Zoning	Minimum Density Allowed	Maximum Density Allowe	d Total Capacity	Vacant/ Nonvacant	Description of Existing Uses	Consolidated Optic Sites Informa	onal Optional ation2 Information3
LOMITA LOMITA	24234 CRENSHAW BLVD	90505	7376001021	1 1	0.2102		Shortfall of Sites		4 Industrial	MC		MU-O	2	3			OFFICE BUILDIN	A	
LOMITA	24214 CRENSHAW BLVD 24260 CRENSHAW BLVD	90505	7376001122		.9003		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		16 Industrial 16 Industrial	MC	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	RETAIL: Strip Mal COMMERCIAL: st	A	
LOMITA	24200 CRENSHAW BLVD	90505	7376001123		0.3071		Shortfall of Sites	0.404	2 Industrial	MC		MU-O	2	0	0 10.3071	Non-Vacant	RETAIL: strip mal	A	
LOMITA	2458 LOMITA BLVD SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7376001120		24356 80512		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		7 Mixed Use 4 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	21	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	Private school, pa SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011019	9 0	57052		Shortfall of Sites	0.083	9 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 1.13945	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	B Underuti	lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011020		57732 61472		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		I9 Mixed Use I4 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 1.16495	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011025		91692		Shortfall of Sites		9 Mixed Use	MU-O		MU-O	2	3	6.18845	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011024		54672		Shortfall of Sites		14 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3 3		Non-Vacant			lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011011 7374011018		79492 59432		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		I9 Mixed Use /4 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant			lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011013		79016		Shortfall of Sites		2 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	1.9631	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011012 7374011023		82416 51612		Shortfall of Sites		2 Mixed Use 9 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	21	3	0 2.0906	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011023		12268		Shortfall of Sites		i1 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	B Underuti	lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011040		0.5236		Shortfall of Sites		7 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3 3		Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1 Strip Mall	90717	7374011021 7374011016	1 0	56304 64916		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		18 Mixed Use 17 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 1.1114	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE Strip Mall		lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1	90717	7374011015	5	0.7514		Shortfall of Sites		15 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	1.81775	Non-Vacant			lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE, 1 2340 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374011022	2 0	57052	01388	Shortfall of Sites		9 Mixed Use 11 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta lized sit Sites identified t
LOMITA	2340 LOMITA BLVD 2352 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011018	7		30568			11 Mixed Use 16 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O		MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	Parking Apartment, 8		lized sitsites identified to
LOMITA	2360 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011006	6		.3184			8 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	4.444	Non-Vacant	Apartment and Sp SINGLE FAMILY RE	C Underuti	lized sitSites identified to
LOMITA	2340 1/2 LOMITA BLVD 2344 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011019	9	0	13384 91788			18 Mixed Use 11 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0.6269	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	C Underuti	lized sit Sites identified to lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	24505 CYPRESS ST	90717	7376011023	3 1	47696	11700	Shortfall of Sites	0.217	2 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 5.5386	Non-Vacant	Car Garage	D Underuti	lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	2332 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011021	1	2.754		Shortfall of Sites	0.40	15 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 10.3275	Non-Vacant	Learning Center	D Underuti	lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	24511 CYPRESS ST 2312 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011024		00164 53124		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites	0.147	'3 Mixed Use 13 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 2.75615	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE APARTMENT, 4		lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	2336 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376011020	0 1	52048		Shortfall of Sites	0.223	16 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 5.7018	Non-Vacant	Learning Center	D Underuti	lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	2270 LOMITA BLVD 2258 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376012037	7 3	04912 87612		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		14 Mixed Use i9 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	20	3	0 11.4342	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	Family Health Care	E Underuti	lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	2258 LOMITA BLVD 2266 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376012021		.2954		Shortfall of Sites		9 Mixed Use 15 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 7.03545	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	Car Garage Water Retailer		lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	2234 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376013001		71944		Shortfall of Sites		8 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	21	3 3		Non-Vacant	COMMERCIAL		lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	2244 LOMITA BLVD 2222 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376013003		13084		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		13 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	21	3	0 3.24065	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SFR and office bus	F Underuti	lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	2232 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7376013007	7 1	86932		Shortfall of Sites	0.274	9 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant			lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	2240 LOMITA BLVD 24669 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376013002	2 0	90032		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		4 Mixed Use 5 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 3.3762	Non-Vacant	Auto Repair SINGLE FAMILY RE	F Underuti	lized site identified on Ta lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	24669 WOODWARD AVE 24709 WOODWARD AVE	90/1/ 90717	7376017019		0438		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		IS Mixed Use I4 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O		MU-O MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized sit Buffer lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	24710 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017008	8 1	01388		Shortfall of Sites	0.149	11 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3	0 1.80205	Non-Vacant	Duplex, 2	G Underuti	lized sit Buffer
LOMITA LOMITA	24644 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017003		01388 03224		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		11 Mixed Use 8 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	20	3	0 3.80205	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	OFFICE BUILDING	G Underuti	lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	24644 NARBONNE AVE	90717	737601701		02408		Shortfall of Sites		8 Mixed Use 6 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	COMMERCIAL		lized sit Buffer lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	24706 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017007	7 0	95336		Shortfall of Sites	0.140	12 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3 3	0 1.5751	Non-Vacant	DUPLEX, 2	G Underuti	lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	24632 NARBONNE AVE 24693 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017001 7376017014		2.0026 65172		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		IS Mixed Use 19 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant	RETAIL SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized sit Buffer lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	24673 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017018	8 1	07508		Shortfall of Sites	0.158	1 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 3.03155	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	G Underuti	lized sit Buffer
LOMITA LOMITA	24683 WOODWARD AVE 24679 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017016		09072		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		14 Mixed Use 15 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use		2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	DUPLEX, 2 SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized sit Buffer lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	24679 WOODWARD AVE 24665 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017017		0642		Shortfall of Sites		i5 Mixed Use i9 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3			DUPLEX, 2		lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	24658 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376017031		2.023		Shortfall of Sites		'5 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	21		0 7.58625	Non-Vacant	SERVICE	G Underuti	lized sit Buffer
LOMITA LOMITA	24700 NARBONNE AVE 24705 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376017006		06964 47124		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		'3 Mixed Use K3 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use		21	3	0 4.01115	Non-Vacant	RETAIL SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized sit Buffer lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	24815 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376016016	6	47 124	0.8302		0.122	1 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 2.11355	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	H Underuti	lized site identified to
LOMITA LOMITA	24809 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376016017	7		1.0465			19 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 2.92445	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	24823 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376016014 7376016013	3		1.0193	2		I9 Mixed Use I1 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O		MU-O MU-O	2	3	0 2.82245	Non-Vacant Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE VACANT	I Underuti	lized sit Sites identified to lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	24821 WOODWARD AVE	90717	7376016015	5		0.8935		0.131	4 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3 3	0 2.3507	Non-Vacant			lized sitSites identified to
LOMITA LOMITA	24836 NARBONNE AVE 24846 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7376016007	7		2.0576			16 Mixed Use 17 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant	Strip Mall and SFR COMMERCIAL		lized sit Sites identified to lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	2155 250TH ST	90717	7376016005	9		0.9506	4		8 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3		Non-Vacant		J Underuti	lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	2168 250TH ST	90717	7375001007	7		1.0628	4		3 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant		K Underuti	lized sitSites identified to
LOMITA	25008 NARBONNE AVE 25016 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375001008	0		0.618			11 Mixed Use 12 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	2	3	0 1.3205	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE Single family resid		lized sit Sites identified to lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	25020 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375001011	1		0.6779		0.099	7 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3 3	0 2.54235	Non-Vacant	Auto Service	L Underuti	lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	25001 NARBONNE AVE 25043 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373015033		91448 15316		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		16 Mixed Use 17 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	21	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	Funeral Home OFFICE BUILDING		lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	25035 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373015020		46676		Shortfall of Sites		67 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	4.50035	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA LOMITA	25019 NARBONNE AVE 25027 NARBONNE AVE	90717 90717	7373015006		78064		Shortfall of Sites Shortfall of Sites		18 Mixed Use 18 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0.9274	Non-Vacant	DUPLEX, 2 DUPLEX, 2	M Underuti	lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	25027 NARBONNE AVE 25031 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373015007		44976		Shortfall of Sites	0.213	12 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	- 3 D 3	4.4366	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized site identified on Ta lized site identified on Ta
LOMITA	25200 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375002005	5		1.955		0.287	'5 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3	6.33125	Non-Vacant	Singel family resid	N Underuti	lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA LOMITA	25208 NARBONNE AVE 25201 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375002006 7373014026	6		52456 .5334			12 Mixed Use 15 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use		2	3		Non-Vacant	DUPLEX, 2 SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized sit Sites identified to lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	25209 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373014025	5	1.	8784		0.218	8 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	21	3 3	0 4.5794	Non-Vacant	Singel family resid	O Underuti	lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA LOMITA	25217 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373014021	1		0064			I6 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	2	-	6.0843	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	RETAIL		lized sit Sites identified to
LOMITA	25345 NARBONNE AVE 25335 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373014007	5		.0064			18 Mixed Use 11 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use		2		2.774	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized sitSites identified t lized sitSites identified t
LOMITA	25339 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373014006		1.	00844		0.148	13 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	21	3 3	0 2.78165	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	Q Underuti	lized sitSites identified to
LOMITA	25350 NARBONNE AVE 2166 253RD PL	90717	7375003004	4 1	38516 8194				17 Mixed Use 15 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 4.19435	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE		lized sit Buffer lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	25412 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375003007	7	.3668				11 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use		2	3	4.1255	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	R Underuti	lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	25408 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7375003006		33552				4 Mixed Use	MU-O		MU-O	21	3	0 5.0082	Non-Vacant	RETAIL	R Underuti	lized sit Buffer
LOMITA LOMITA	25342 NARBONNE AVE 25404 NARBONNE AVE	90717 90717	7375003001 7375003005		93024 38176	-			8 Mixed Use 12 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 2.4884	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	R Underuti	lized sit Buffer lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	25419 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373013016		18036			0.467	7 Mixed Use	MU-O	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 11.92635	Non-Vacant	OFFICE BUILDING	S Underuti	lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	25409 NARBONNE AVE 25401 NARBONNE AVE	90717	7373013017	7	2.2678	_			IS Mixed Use I6 Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3			OFFICE BUILDING OFFICE BUILDING		lized sit Buffer lized sit Buffer
LOMITA	2087 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7373013018	5	8	.2926			i2 Commercial	CG CG	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SERVICE	T Underuti	Buffer
LOMITA	2103 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374005052	2		.2803			l6 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use		2	3 3			SINGLE FAMILY RE		Buffer
LOMITA	2021 LOMITA BLVD 24625 ESHELMAN AVE	90717 90717	7374004058	8	0	.8564			8 Commercial 8 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	2	3	0 0.8564	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	RESIDENCE AND C		Sites identified to Sites identified to
LOMITA	2051 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374004019	9	16	.0446		0.629	2 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	0 3	16.0446	Non-Vacant	COMMERCIAL	U	Sites identified to
LOMITA	24627 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7374004062	2		22455			11 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	21	3	4.22455	Non-Vacant	DUPLEX, 2 RETAIL	U	Sites identified to Buffer
LOMITA	2017 LOMITA BLVD 24637 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7374004041	3		.8972			14 Commercial 11 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use Mixed Use		2	3		Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	RETAIL SINGLE FAMILY RE	U U	Buffer Sites identified t
LOMITA	2061 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374004049	9		54935		0.413	7 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	21	3	0 10.54935	Non-Vacant	PARKING	U	Sites identified to
LOMITA	2075 LOMITA BLVD 24633 FSHFI MAN AVE	90717 90717	7374004016	6		10.715	1		2 Commercial 5 Commercial	CG CG	Mixed Use Mixed Use	MU-O MU-O	2	3	0 10.7151	Non-Vacant	COMMERCIAL SINGLE FAMILY RE	U	Sites identified to
LOMITA	24621 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7374004029	9		2.748	5	0.14	7 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 2.7485	Non-Vacant Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	U	Sites identified to Sites identified to
LOMITA	2055 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374004020	0		3.151	4	0.162	8 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	2	3	0 3.1514	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	U	Sites identified to
LOMITA	2011 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374004061	1		0.7620	D	0.069	11 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-0	2	J 3	0.76205	Non-Vacant	OFFICE BUILDING	U	Sites identified to

Jurisdiction Name	Site Address/Intersection	5 Digit ZIP Code	Assessor Parcel Number	Very Low- Income	Low-Income	Moderate- Income	Above Moderate- Income	Type of Shortfall	Parcel Size (Acres)	Current General Plan Designation	Current Zoning	Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Proposed Zoning	Minimum Density Allowed	Maximum Density Allowed	Total Capacity	Vacant/ Nonvacant	Description of Existing Uses	Consolidated Sites	Optional Information2	Optional Information3
LOMITA	2043 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7374004053				42.28155		1.658	1 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30	42 28155	Non-Vacant	EXEMPT			Sites identified to
LOMITA		90717	7374004039				1.84365			3 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30	1.84365		VACANT	U		Sites identified t
LOMITA	24648 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019023				2.2742			4 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30		Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	24650 1/2 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019026				2.1161		0.122	2 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30	2.1161	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	24652 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019027				2.978		0.15	6 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30	2.978	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v	:	Sites identified to
LOMITA	24648 1/2 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019024				2.28695		0.128	9 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30	2.28695	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	24652 1/2 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019031				2.24615		0.127	3 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30	2.24615	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	24654 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019030				2.2691		0.128	2 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30	2.2691	Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	24650 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019025				2.28695		0.1289 Commercial		CG		MU-O	20	30		Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	24656 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019029				1.8764		0.112	8 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30		Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	24658 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372019028				2.27165		0.128	3 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30		Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	24636 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7372020031				18.7064		0.772	8 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30			SINGLE FAMILY RE	v		Sites identified to
LOMITA	1950 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7375015006		3.5139				0.137	8 Industrial	MC	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30	3.5139	Non-Vacant	COMMERCIAL	W		Buffer
LOMITA	1962 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7375015034		6.0329				0.275	8 Industrial	MC		MU-O	20	30			RETAIL AND RESID	W		Buffer
LOMITA	1948 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7375015007		2.5394				0.138	8 Industrial	MC		MU-O	20	30			RETAIL AND RESID	W	1	Buffer
LOMITA	1966 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7375015003		3.519				0.13	8 Industrial	MC		MU-O	20	30			INDUSTRIAL LIGH	W		Buffer
LOMITA	24726 ESHELMAN AVE	90717	7375015010		7.82045				0.345	9 Commercial	R1P	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30		Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	W		Buffer
LOMITA	24802 WALNUT ST	90717	7372017052		12.6986				0.537	2 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30		Non-Vacant	SINGLE FAMILY RE	х		Buffer
LOMITA	1879 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7372017051		15.96045				0.625	9 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30			HOTEL, MOTEL	х		Buffer
	1823 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7372017049		18.7629				0.735	8 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30			OFFICE BUILDING	Y		Buffer
LOMITA	1837 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7372017048		15.63915		_		0.613	3 Commercial	CG		MU-O	20	30	15.63915		VACANT	Y		Buffer
	1813 LOMITA BLVD	90717	7372017046		16.6762				0.732	4 Commercial	CG	Mixed Use	MU-O	20	30	16.6762	Non-Vacant	RETAIL AND RESIC	Y		Buffer
LOMITA																					

Table C: Land Use, Table Starts in A2

	Zoning Designation (From Table A, Column G)	General Land Uses Allowed
R1		Single Family Residential Uses, Manufacture
A1		Single Family Residential Uses, Manufacture
RVD1500		Single Family or Multifamily Residential Uses
MU-O		Single Family and Multifamily Residential Use

Appendix F: Outreach Summary

Contents

Appendix F: Outreach Summary	1
Introduction	1
Online Survey	1
Planning Commission Informational Meeting	2
Farmer's Market	2
Virtual Public Workshop #1	2
Planning Commission and City Council Joint Session Public Meeting	3
Ongoing Communication	3
Housing Element Update Webpage	4
Communication with Community-Based Organizations	4
Public Review Draft of Housing Element	5

Introduction

The City conducted extensive public outreach that engaged a broad spectrum of the community and stakeholders. The City is committed to continuing meaningful engagement throughout the entirety of the 6th housing element cycle. The City is aware of the need for certain emphasis to make engagement practices more accessible to the lower-income households and populations with a disability. Lomita is committed to developing specific strategies to reach out to these populations as demonstrated in Programs 8, 19, 20, 23, and 26 of the Housing Element which commit the City to better connect residents to resources related to housing. The COVID-19 pandemic related stay-at-home orders of 2020 and 2021 provided the City with opportunities to explore new avenues for public engagement and increased access for those that are traditionally not involved in the planning process. The City responded quickly to changing outreach needs and found success in online engagement, which inherently provides greater access to residents with mobility limitations. Early outreach and formal engagement activities were held virtually, while the City took informal opportunities to engage the public in-person at the local farmer's market. The following outreach activities were conducted to engage stakeholders and inform the development of the Housing Element:

Online Survey

The online survey engaged 95 participants to better inform local housing needs and concerns. The survey was posted on the City's website and emailed to the City's interested parties list, a flyer with a QR code linking to the survey was mailed out to every address within Lomita's boundaries, and the Lomita Chamber of Commerce emailed a link to the survey to their listserv in their newsletter.

The virtual format of this engagement strategy is not only more accessible to the mobility impaired since it could be taken from home, but it is also convenient for low-income families who may work late jobs or manual labor and would prefer to be with family and resting at home rather than attending an inconvenient in-person community workshop. Furthermore, the online format allows for the use of external software programs which can make written text more accessible to individuals experiencing disabilities such as vision impairment by increasing the text size or running a program that reads aloud the text from the screen for the hard of hearing.

Survey respondents tended to have lived in the City for 10+ years (72.6 percent of respondents), live in single-family detached residences (79.0 percent), and own their home (81.1 percent). When asked about the types of housing needed most in the City, respondents identified single-family housing (49.5 percent) as being the most necessary, and further

acknowledged the most urgent housing concerns in the City as housing affordability (31.2 percent) and overcrowding (25.8 percent).

The detailed results of the survey are provided below under Exhibit A.

Planning Commission Informational Meeting

The Planning Commission informational meetings took place on October 12, 2020 and March 8, 2021. These meetings informed Commissioners early in the planning process of the update to the Housing Element and exposed them to the requirements of the update as set forth under State law. Staff provided an overview of recent relevant legislation, Lomita's Regional Housing Needs Allocation, and provided a large number of resources including the Sites Inventory Guidebook, resources from Abundant Housing LA on best practices, and an article from UCLA's Regional Policy Studies center on how cities can use the 6th cycle housing element to overcome neighborhood resistance to housing. These meetings led to an informational dialogue to set early expectations for the update to the Housing Element. The staff reports provided to the Planning Commission are provided below under **Exhibit B**.

Farmer's Market

The Lomita Farmer's Market provided a safe in-person environment where City staff were able to engage local shoppers to inform them of the Housing Element process, the upcoming virtual public workshop #1, and the online survey. The Farmer's Market took place outside of regular working hours on Sundays to interact with a greater proportion of the community. A City booth was routinely utilized to provide flyers and information related to the Housing Element process. On two occasions, large display booths were provided to highlight the Housing Element process where staff handed out flyers, responded to residents' questions, and provided an opportunity for on-site survey participation. Translation services were provided for Spanish speaking members of the community.

Virtual Public Workshop #1

The first virtual public workshop was held in April 2021 and allowed interested parties to be engaged in a more formal setting where they learned about the planning process, the components of the Housing Element, and the importance of their role in the development of the plan. Although 91% of Lomita's households speak English, Spanish translation was made available to assist the 3.8% of households that speak Spanish with limited English. This meeting was held outside of regular work hours during the evening to boost attendance. Prompting questions led to meaningful feedback from the community to gauge their priorities and identify areas where they would like to see future growth accommodated. This workshop was promoted on multiple platforms including at the Farmer's Market, on the City's

website, through the City's newsletter, and through the Chamber of Commerce newsletter. The meeting was co-hosted by the local Chamber of Commerce to better engage a broad range of stakeholders.

Attendees from the community brought forth concerns about higher housing density within the City and how that may be detrimental to its small-town feel, as well as the concern about increased infrastructural demand on roadways. Community members in attendance also inquired about Accessory Dwelling Units and how they might be best utilized within the City as well as about the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) statistics for the 6th Cycle Housing Element. Further, attendees spoke in favor of a need for a new grocery store to meet existing and projected demands in the City.

The presentation materials from the workshop are provided below under Exhibit C.

Planning Commission and City Council Joint Session Public Meeting

The joint session with the City Council and Planning Commission was the second public meeting for the update. This meeting was hosted on Wednesday, June 30th, 2021, from 5 - 7pm. It provided a formal setting open to the public to present the findings of the background analysis and the drafted goals, policies, and programs of the Housing Element. This was a session held with Planning Commission and City Council, which invited citizens to provide input, be informed on the planning process, and be given contact information on how to provide future comments. Community members inquired about affordable senior housing in the Lomita Manor area, mobile home parks, future traffic congestion in already traffic-heavy areas of the City that are being highlighted for housing development, and about the site inventory and analysis criteria.

The presentation materials from the meeting are provided below under Exhibit D.

Ongoing Communication

Ongoing communication involved staff working closely with elected officials, attending Planning Commission meetings, and working closely with City management to inform on the process and exposing the aforementioned groups to the requirements of the update. Ongoing communications took place throughout the entirety of the 6th cycle Housing Element update process. This includes updated numbers concerning the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), new legislation affecting the 6th Cycle Housing Element including an inventory of suitable sites, a new Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) analysis requirement, and modifications to the Accessory Dwelling Unit approval and construction processes. Staff also sent out monthly newsletters to their email list and two newsletters were mailed to every address in Lomita to inform on the update and opportunities to be engaged. Those that received mailed newsletters include every residential unit and commercial office in Lomita, including those residing in affordable housing units in Lomita and community-based organizations that have offices in Lomita, as well as local religious institutions that serve vulnerable subsets of Lomita's population.

Housing Element Update Webpage

The project webpage was posted on the City's website to provide a consistently accessible resource for addressing common questions, providing a portal for communication with the City, and creating a stream of information that allows community members to stay up to date with the planning process even if they are unable to attend scheduled events. Comments received to date through the project website include comments related to utilizing parks for future housing, the need for housing that is affordable to those with a physical or cognitive disability, a desire to accommodate the housing need within existing commercial areas, and creating multifamily buffers between commercial zones and single-family zones.

Communication with Community-Based Organizations

Outreach to community-based organizations took place through email to St. Mark's Presbyterian Church, The Bay Church, Islamic Center of South Bay, Chabad of South Bay, Community's Child and the Lomita Chamber of Commerce to identify the extent of and capacity to which homelessness or other housing issues are being served. Many of these stakeholders provide services and work closely with lower-income households and residents with special needs. The community operations of all organizations helped to inform the planning process and development of the 6th Cycle Housing Element. Further the Lomita Chamber of Commerce played an active role in the update, recognizing the importance of a housing supply that meets the local need in supporting local businesses.

The outreach conducted for the update to the Housing Element, engaged a broad range of community members and stakeholders alike. The City cast a wide net to gain participation from all segments of Lomita's interested parties, including extremely low-income and lower income households. While the total number of those that participated cannot be confirmed, it can conservatively be estimated that 200 people participated in the update to the Housing Element. Further, more than 8,000 housing units received newsletters through the mail, including extremely low-income households and lower income households residing in affordable housing as well as those residing in market rate housing in Lomita. The newsletters included information on the Housing Element update and provided direction to the City's website. When compared to the previous (5th) Housing Element cycle, which received a comment from only one member of the public, the success of the extensive outreach process conducted for this Housing Element update is immense and has contributed to a set of meaningful goals, policies, and programs that reflect Lomita's housing needs.

Public Review Draft of Housing Element

The public review draft of the Housing Element was made available for a 30-day public review and comment period for responsible agencies, interested organizations, and members of the public. The period took place from August 14, 2021 through September 14, 2021 and comments could be sent either by email, by mail, or through a comment form that was made available on the City's website. The City notified the public of the availability of the draft Housing Element through an email sent to the City's listserv, including all those that participated in public events, all members of the Planning Commission and City Council, and all those that signed up to receive notifications on the Housing Element through a fillable form available on the City's website. Comments received on the draft Housing Element as well as all comments received throughout the Housing Element updated process are detailed below with explanations of where in the Housing Element the specific comment is addressed.

Comment	Location in Housing Element
Does the plan depend mostly on non- vacant sites, either in general or for lower- income RHNA categories? Lomita should not make assumptions about how many sites will be redeveloped during the 8-year planning period. Does the plan include at least a 15-30% buffer of available sites above the RHNA allocations? Does the plan put most or all lower-income housing in industrial or commercial areas, or far from public facilities? Cities and counties are required to "affirmatively further fair housing" through their Housing Element plans, including by distributing planned lower-income housing throughout the jurisdiction to ensure that lower-income homeowners and tenants have access to opportunity. If the plan includes rezoning, is the rezoning plan reasonable? Consider any significant NIMBY opposition to the specific area planned for rezoning, or whether the rezoned areas are not very suitable for housing.	Addressed in Appendix E and detailed in the Sites Inventory form Tables A and B.

In order to motivate property owners to do construction which have large lots, the city should allow for apartment dwellings or multiple dwelling units without the 5ft space requirements to be constructed on those properties.	As detailed in Appendix E, the City has few large lots and will incentivize the consolidation of lots as detailed in Program 12 of the Housing Element. Setback requirements of 5 feet are considered to be reasonable and do not unduly constrain development.
Residential development in commercial areas and creating a multi-family buffer between commercial zones and residential areas.	Addressed in Appendix E and detailed in the Sites Inventory form Tables A and B.
How parents can purchase affordable 1- or 2-bedroom housing for intellectually and physically disabled adult children.	Programs of the Housing Element to address the needs of those with a disability include: Program 6, to incentivize affordable ADUs - ADUs can provide opportunities for those with special needs such as seniors or those with disabilities, including developmental disabilities, by creating housing in an independent setting while still allowing for support from caregivers who reside on the same lot; Program 13, to reduce development standards for senior housing and housing to assist those with special needs; Program 14, to increase density in areas with high access to opportunity; and Program 19, to better connect developers and residents to resources related to housing accessibility aim to assist in increasing housing for the aging population and those with disabilities.
Please include public lands, like parks, as possible site for urbanization and leave our bedroom community alone!	Addressed in Appendix E and detailed in the Sites Inventory form Tables A and B.
Affordable housing	The Housing Element addresses the needs of lower-income households, including extremely low-income households through the sites identified and the programs of the


Housing Element. The following programs
apply: Program 3, to provide assistance to
mobile home park tenants; Program 4, to
preserve the affordability of housing units;
and Program 10, to provide permit
streamlining for affordable housing
developments aim to directly assist with the
realization of affordable housing.
Specifically, Program 14 increases densities
along the City's key corridors to facilitate the
production of lower-income housing in an
area with high access to resources and
Program 30 will capture some of this new
density specifically for affordable housing
through the adoption of an inclusionary
housing ordinance. Other programs that
more broadly demonstrate the City's
commitment to affordable housing include
Program 7 to provide a range of incentives
to facilitate the development of affordable
housing and Program 27 to reduce parking
requirements, especially for affordable
housing.

Letters Received


Letters received through the Housing Element update process are included as **Exhibit E** below. These include a letter from the California Department of Transportation (DOT) and a letter from Abundant LA. The letter from the DOT was in response to the Negative Declaration for the project, as required under the California Environmental Quality Act. DOT expressed their support for the Housing Element and recommended significantly reducing or eliminating car parking requirements. Reductions in parking are addressed through **Program 27** of the Housing Element. The objectives of **Program 27** were further refined based on feedback received from DOT. The letter from Abundant LA details guidance on State Housing Element law, specifically as it relates to the Sites Analysis and Inventory of land available to accommodate the RHNA.

EXHIBIT A

Q1 What is your interest in housing in Lomita? Check all that apply.




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I am a current resident	90.53%	86
I am a local developer	2.11%	2
I own a business in Lomita	10.53%	10
I own property in Lomita	40.00%	38
I work in Lomita	11.58%	11
I wish to become a resident in Lomita	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	2.11%	2
Total Respondents: 95		



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
None of the above	5.26%	5
0-2 years	3.16%	3
2-5 years	11.58%	11
5-10 years	7.37%	7
10+ years	72.63%	69
TOTAL		95


Q2 How long have you lived in Lomita?

Q3 How would you rate the physical condition of the home you live in?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSE	S
None of the above	2.11%	2
Excellent condition	36.84%	35
Shows signs of minor deferred maintenance (e.g. peeling paint, chipped stucco, etc.)	38.95%	37
Needs one or more modest rehabilitation improvements (e.g. new roof, new siding, etc.)	13.68%	13
Needs one or more major upgrade (e.g. new foundation, new plumbing, new electrical, etc.)	8.42%	8
TOTAL		95

Q4 Why have you chosen to live in Lomita? Select all that apply.




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Close to work	42.11%	40
Close to family and friends	52.63%	50
Quality of housing	25.26%	24
Local recreation amenities and scenery	18.95%	18
Affordability	56.84%	54
Quality of local schools	4.21%	4
Safety of the neighborhoods	47.37%	45
City services and programs	17.89%	17
Other (please specify)	21.05%	20
Total Respondents: 95		



Q5 Do you own or r	rent your	home?
--------------------	-----------	-------


ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
None of the above	2.11%	2
Own	81.05%	77
Rent	16.84%	16
Live with other household (neither own or rent)	0.00%	0
Currently experiencing homelessness	0.00%	0
TOTAL		95

Q6 If you wish to own a home in Lomita, but do not, what issues are preventing you at this time? Select all that apply.




ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
I cannot find a home within my target price range	68.18%	15	
I cannot find a home that suits my needs (e.g. size, disability accommodations, etc.)	9.09%	2	
I do not currently have the financial resources for an appropriate down payment	45.45%	10	
I currently do not have the financial resources for an adequate monthly mortgage payment	45.45%	10	
I cannot currently find a home that suits my quality standards	4.55%	1	
Total Respondents: 22			

Q7 Select the type of housing that best describes your current home.




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Single family home	78.95%	75
Accessory dwelling unit (granny flat or guest house)	2.11%	2
Duplex or attached home	4.21%	4
Multifamily Home (Apartment or Condo)	9.47%	9
Currently without permanent shelter	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	5.26%	5
TOTAL		95



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
None of the above	1.05%	1
Single person	21.05%	20
Couple (no children in the home)	29.47%	28
Couple with children in the home	37.89%	36
Single parent with children in home	5.26%	5
Multi-generational (3 or more generations in the same home)	5.26%	5
Non-family with roommates	0.00%	0
TOTAL		95

Q8 Which best describes your household type?




0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100% ANSWER CHOICES RESPONSES

Q9 What type of housing is most needed in Lomita?


ANOMER CHOROLO		
Single family	49.45%	45
Duplex/attached	6.59%	6
Condominiums (multifamily ownership)	6.59%	6
Apartments (multifamily rentals)	8.79%	8
Senior Housing	9.89%	9
Accessory Dwelling Units (granny flat or guest house)	4.40%	4
Housing for people with disabilities	1.10%	1
Other (please specify)	13.19%	12
TOTAL		91

Q10 What do you believe is the biggest barrier to housing stability in Lomita?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Not enough well-paying jobs	7.61%	7
Low availability of rent-restricted affordable homes	7.61%	7
Cost of quality housing is too high	30.43%	28
Cost of housing is too high in areas with good jobs, schools, and other services	14.13%	13
Low supply of housing	20.65%	19
I don't know	19.57%	18
TOTAL		92

Q11 What do you believe is the most urgent housing concern in Lomita?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Housing affordability	31.18%	29
Housing availability	12.90%	12
Homeownership	7.53%	7
Homelessness	9.68%	9
Housing proximity to services and resources	0.00%	0
Overcrowding	25.81%	24
Displacement	0.00%	0
Housing quality	3.23%	3
I don't know	9.68%	9
TOTAL		93

Q12 What do you believe is the best way to address the living and housing needs of older adults or seniors?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Provide housing payment assistance	6.67%	6
Support construction of more retirement communities	16.67%	15
Locate senior housing where services are within walking distance	11.11%	10
Offer more rent-restricted, affordable senior housing	15.56%	14
Connect seniors to creative living scenarios (e.g., shared living spaces)	15.56%	14
Provide retrofitting assistance to make homes more age-friendly	21.11%	19
Other (please specify)	13.33%	12
TOTAL		90

Q13 What do you believe is the most urgent home ownership issue?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Down payment	11.83%	11
Overall costs	30.11%	28
Costs to maintain a home	9.68%	9
Available homes in desirable areas	8.60%	8
Available homes in my price range	23.66%	22
Ability to get a loan	1.08%	1
I don't know	15.05%	14
TOTAL		93

Q14 What do you believe is the most pressing issue to finding quality housing?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Discrimination (e.g., based on race, family status, or disability)	1.09%	1
Lack of housing for people with disabilities	3.26%	3
Background check requirements	0.00%	0
Only low-quality housing available in my price range	23.91%	22
Low availability of housing to fit my household size	9.78%	9
Low availability of housing where I want to live	21.74%	20
I don't know	40.22%	37
TOTAL		92

Q15 Sometimes changes in living conditions or a neighborhood may threaten to displace people from their home. Which is the most pressing displacement concern?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Sudden rent increase	25.81%	24
Sudden lease termination	4.30%	4
Eviction	6.45%	6
Maintenance issues not fixed	9.68%	9
Long-term residents can't stay in community	17.20%	16
Neighborhood businesses closing	13.98%	13
I don't know	22.58%	21
TOTAL		93

Q16 The City of Lomita is required to plan for 827 additional housing units. What do you think is the best strategy for accommodating these homes?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Increase housing opportunities along commercial corridors	47.19%	42
Allow more housing in single-family neighborhoods	11.24%	10
Increase opportunities for backyard units (i.e. Granny flats or Accessory Dwelling Units)	26.97%	24
Increase housing opportunities near schools and parks	8.99%	8
Allow taller buildings with more housing units	5.62%	5
TOTAL		89

Q17 Which hazard do you think has the MOST potential to affect your household?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Air pollution	28.89% 26
Extreme heat	7.78% 7
Flooding	1.11% 1
Earthquake or landslide	51.11% 46
Hazardous materials	10.00% 9
Wildfire	1.11% 1
TOTAL	90

Q18 Has your household prepared for a hazard in the following ways? (mark all that apply)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Prepared an evacuation kit and plan	53.33% 48
Prepared a shelter in place kit and plan	43.33% 39
Signed up for City hazard alerts	38.89% 35
Participated in a first aid, CPR, or CERT class	41.11% 37
Know my neighbors in need and agreed to assist them in a hazard	40.00% 36
Other (please specify)	5.56% 5
Total Respondents: 90	

Q19 Of the hazard preparation strategies listed above, is there anything your household wants to do in the future? (mark all that apply)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Prepared an evacuation kit and plan	41.67%	30
Prepared a shelter in place kit and plan	37.50%	27
Signed up for City hazard alerts	29.17%	21
Participated in a first aid, CPR, or CERT class	20.83%	15
Know my neighbors in need and agreed to assist them in a hazard	33.33%	24
Other (please specify)	6.94%	5
Total Respondents: 72		

EXHIBIT **B**



CITY OF LOMITA PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT

TO:	Planning Commission	March 8, 2021
FROM:	Sheri Repp Loadsman, Interim Community & Economic	Development Director
SUBJECT:	Housing Related Issues	

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission receive an update on new state housing mandates in preparation of the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update.

BACKGROUND

The Housing Element is one of seven required Elements of the General Plan. General plans serve as the local government's "blueprint" for how the city will grow and develop. The purpose of the Housing Element is to adequately plan to meet the housing needs of everyone in the community. Housing Elements must be updated every eight years.

Housing Element law, which was enacted in 1969, mandates that local governments adequately plan to meet the existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the community. The California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) reviews every local government's housing element to determine whether it complies with state law and then submits written findings back to each local government. HCD's approval is required before a local government can adopt its housing element as part of its overall General Plan.

The Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), which is required by state law, is a method of allocating housing units to jurisdictions throughout the State. Using State population data, HCD mandates that a certain amount of housing units be constructed within all regional planning areas throughout the State. The Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) under which Lomita is subject to is Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). SCAG, in collaboration with HCD, calculated the number of existing and projected housing units that must be constructed within the six counties and 191 cities in Southern California. During the fifth housing cycle, SCAG was responsible for allocating 412,000 units throughout the region. Lomita was assigned to construct 47 housing units. During the sixth housing cycle, HCD has mandated SCAG to allocate 1,344,740 units throughout the region. Under the SCAG 6th Cycle Proposed Final RHNA

Allocation Plan (<u>Exhibit 1</u>), the City is required to provide 829 housing units (increased from the draft allocation of 827 housing units).

Final RHNA Allocations

Income Level		4th Cycle	5th Cycle	6th Cycle
		RHNA	RHNA	RHNA
		Allocation by	Allocation by	Allocation by
		Income Level	Income Level	Income Level
Very Low	Deed Restricted	87	12	239
	Non-Deed			
	Restricted			
Low	Deed Restricted	54	7	124
	Non-Deed			
	Restricted			
Moderate	Deed Restricted	58	8	128
	Non-Deed			
	Restricted			
Above		147	20	338
Moderate				
Total RHNA		346	47	829

New Legislation:

In 2017-2019, the California Legislature substantially amended housing and planning laws. Many of these bills limit local discretion and impose tough mandates on the City. In the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update, cities face challenges in complying with Housing Element Law. The following is a summary of new legislation that must be addressed in the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update.

Suitable sites: As part of preparation of the Housing Element update, jurisdictions are required to identify an inventory of suitable sites that can accommodate the allocation. The 2018 legislative session brought new restrictions to the criteria applied to suitable sites. Staff is beginning to analyze the possible impacts of the new suitable sites criteria in the context of the RHNA process to understand potential implications for Lomita. The Department of Housing and Community Development prepared the Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook to provide detailed information on development of the site inventory analysis for the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update (Exhibit 2)

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH): AB 686 adds an AFFH analysis to the Housing Element for plans that are due beginning in 2021. "Affirmatively furthering fair housing" means taking meaningful actions that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities from barriers that restrict access to opportunities. The Housing Element's AFFH analysis must include a required examination of issues such as segregation and resident displacement, as well as the required identification of fair housing goals. The Department of Housing and Community Development prepared a memorandum dated April 23, 2020 summarizing AB686 Requirements (Exhibit 3).

Accessory Dwelling Units: AB 671 requires cities to include a plan that incentivizes and promotes the creation of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), formerly known as Second Dwelling Units, that can be offered at affordable rent for very low, low-, or moderate-income households in its housing element. HCD is also to develop a list of existing state grants and financial incentives for operating, administrative, and other expenses in connection with the planning, construction, and operation of ADUs with affordable rent. Other state bills that were adopted in the 2019 legislative session were intended to make it easier to construct ADUs. An Ordinance addressing state law with respect to ADUs will be presented to the Commission later this year. The Department of Housing and Community Development prepared a memorandum dated January 10, 2020 summarizing Accessory Dwelling Unit requirements (Exhibit 4). An Accessory Dwelling Handbook (September 2020) provides a comprehensive guide for building ADU's (Exhibit 5).

Enforcement and Penalties: The State adopted legislation that penalizes cities for not having a compliant Housing Element or adopting policies that bring the Housing Element out of compliance. The State has expanded its enforcement role. Cities with compliant housing elements get preference in applying for housing and infrastructure programs. Cities that do not comply are ineligible for certain programs. The Attorney General, through court order or judgement, can direct cities to bring their Housing Elements into compliance. Courts can impose fines, and failure to pay fines, can result in State Controller intercepting any available state and local funds. If cities do not meet their RHNA, certain affordable housing developments must be streamlined and are subject to a ministerial approval process.

Lomita 5th Cycle Housing Element Accomplishments

The Housing Element of the Lomita General Plan provides for an implementation plan of programs and action the City will pursue to meet the community's needs for housing for the 5th cycle RHNA (2013-2021). The following chart provides a summary of the residential units achieved completed from 2013 through 2019.

		Reporting Year 201	.9	
Income Level		RHNA Allocation	Total Units	Total Remaining
		by Income Level	from 2013-	RHNA by
			2019	Income Level
Very Low	Deed Restricted	12		12
	Non-Deed Restricted			
Low	Deed Restricted	7		
	Non-Deed Restricted		9	
Moderate	Deed Restricted	8		
	Non-Deed Restricted		35	
Above		20	61	
Moderate				
Total RHNA		47		
Total Units			105	12

ANNUAL HOUSING ELEMENT PROGRESS REPORT Penerting Very 2019

The City has generally been successful in meeting past RHNA allocations except in the very lowincome category. The challenge with the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update will be planning for a minimum of 829 housing units and establishing programs for achieving the targeted affordability levels. During the process of identifying suitable sites, there will be an attempt to identify additional sites to allow for a greater likelihood of achieving compliance and obtaining approval from HCD. The City will be engaging the community to help determine areas that can be zoned for residential densities needed to achieve the RHNA allocation. As Lomita is substantially a built-out community, opportunities may include larger residential properties, underperforming commercial areas and incentives to promote development in districts already identified for mixed-use and higher density residential. Working collaboratively with the community and elected and appointed officials, the Housing Element update process will seek to identify incentives and programs to attract quality development for all segments of the community. There are many public interest groups that are adding to the discussion. Several representative articles are included as exhibits to assist the Planning Commission in understanding the broad range of stakeholder opinions.

The City has retained Dudek as our consultant to prepare the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update. In the upcoming months, there will be workshops, and public hearings to strategically address the development of the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update. The initial public outreach involves a community survey that is available on the City website (<u>Lomita.com</u>). The Planning Commission and community are invited to complete the survey to assist in identifying goals to meet the collective vision for Lomita. A public workshop will be scheduled in April 2021 with public hearings to follow in the upcoming months. The schedule seeks to complete the public hearing process with the Planning Commission and City Council by the October 2021 deadline to allow submittal of the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update to HCD for final approval.

The purpose of this report is to inform the Planning Commission and community on the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update process. It is evident that state mandates are getting tough with respect to getting cities to increase housing production within their jurisdictions. The various exhibits provide an opportunity to gain more understanding of the laws and framework in which Lomita will proceed with the 6th cycle Housing Element Update and long-range planning that will shape the future of the community.

Exhibits (Provided by hyperlink to websites)


- 1. <u>SCAG 6th Cycle Proposed Final RHNA Allocation Plan</u>
- 2. Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook: Government Code Section 65583.2
- 3. <u>AB 686 Summary of Requirements in Housing Element Law Government Code Section</u> 8899.50, 65583(c)(5), 65583(c)(10), 65583.2(a)
- 4. Local Agency Accessory Dwelling Units Chapter 653, Statutes of 2019 (Senate Bill 13) Chapter 655, Statutes of 2019 (Assembly Bill 68) Chapter 657, Statutes of 2019 (Assembly Bill 587) Chapter 178, Statutes of 2019 (Assembly Bill 670) Chapter 658, Statutes of 2019 (Assembly Bill 671) Chapter 659, Statutes of 2019 (Assembly Bill 881)
- 5. HCD <u>Accessory Dwelling Handbook</u> (September 2020)
- 6. UCLA School of Public Affairs: <u>"I Would, If Only I Could" How Cities Can Use</u> California's Housing Element to Overcome Neighborhood Resistance to New Housing
- 7. Abundant Housing LA letter to Mayor Gazeley dated November 30, 2020.
- 8. <u>Abundant Housing LA Requirements and Best Practices for Housing Element Updates:</u> <u>The Site Inventory (August 18, 2020)</u>

EXHIBIT C

While we are waiting to start...



Let's get familiar with Zoom





Use the Q&A to ask questions

LOMITA ELEVATION 120

Lomita Safety & Housing Elements Public Meeting 1

PRESENTED BY THE CITY OF LOMITA



A message from the Lomita Chamber of Commerce...



Helping Lomita thrive and businesses prosper



¿Necesita traducción al español?

Si necesita traducción al español, por favor comuníquese con Lina Hernandez <u>lina.hernandez@lomitacity.com</u>



4

Project Team

City Staff

Greg Kapovich, Community & Economic **Development Director** g.kapovich@lomitacity.com

Sheri Repp Loadsman, Planner

s.repp@lomitacity.com

Lina Hernandez, Management Analyst lina.Hernandez@lomitacity.com

Consulting Team

Dudek

Elizabeth Dickson, Planner Eric Williams, IT Systems Administrator

Veronica Tam Associates


Other Participants

Lomita Chamber of Commerce Heidi Butzine

You




Before we get started





Before we get started











Before we get started

What is your favorite thing to do in Lomita?





Safety Overview



Housing Overview






The General Plan

- The General Plan is the long-term blueprint for the future and is the foundation for making decisions on the physical development of the community.
- Last comprehensive update to Lomita's General Plan was in 1998 •
- The Lomita General Plan includes the following Elements:
 - Land Use
 - Resource Management (Open Space and Conservation)
 - Housing
 - Circulation
 - Noise
 - Safety
 - Economic Development



10




What is a Safety Element?

A set of goals, policies, and actions that address both natural and human caused hazards related to air pollution, extreme heat, flooding, earthquakes, hazardous materials, wildfires, and the potential role of climate change.



What are Lomita's Risks?



Adaptive Capacity



What is the purpose of the Safety Element?





Safety Questions (Live Polling):

- Does your household have air filters?
- Do you have a cool place to go during an extreme heat event?
- Does your household have a plan for an emergency event?
- Are you aware of the evacuation routes in your area?
- Do you feel the City of Lomita is prepared in the event of an emergency?



02 Housing Overview



What is a Housing Element?

A set of goals, policies, and actions that address the housing needs of all current and anticipated residents at all income levels over eight-years (2021-2029)





What is the purpose of the Housing Element?

- Identify barriers to housing production
- Identify housing needs
- Identify programs and actions to meet the needs
- Identify sites available for housing
- Facilitate housing production on sites identified



Housing Element



Housing Element Timeline

Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4
 Work with regional agency to determine housing need Initiate public outreach 	 Evaluate existing conditions Review existing plans and programs Virtual Workshop 	 Virtual Workshop #2 (Coming in June) Prepare Draft Housing Element 	 State Preliminary Review Public Hearings of Planning Commission and City Council
Jan – Feb 2021	Jan – May 2021	May - July 2021	Aug - Dec 2021

Ongoing Public Engagement

Phase 5


Final State Review and Certification

Dec 2021 – Feb 2022

How is the housing need determined?


Determination of "fair share" for each region starts with the State

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) determines the allocation within their 6 counties





How many housing units does Lomita have to plan for?



Our "Fair Share" of Regional Housing

- Lomita's RHNA for the 2021-2029 Planning Period is 829 units
- The RHNA is divided into annual income affordability levels and the City must plan for housing units within each income level
- 44% of the City's RHNA is dedicated to Very Low or Low-Income Households

Income Level	Percentage of Area	Regional Allocation by Inco
	Median Income	
Very Low	0-50%	239
Low	51-80%	124
Moderate	81-120%	128
Above Moderate	Above 120%	338
TOTAL		829



ome Level

2020 Income Limits

- State income limits are used to determine eligibility for housing programs
- Housing cost burden is most commonly measured as the percentage of gross income spent on housing, with 30% a usual threshold for "cost burden" and 50% the threshold for "sever cost burden"

Los Angeles County	Income Level	1 Person Household	2 Person Household	3 Person Household
Area Median Income: \$77,300	Extremely Low	23700	27050	30450
	Very Low	39450	45050	50700
	Low	63100	75100	81100
	Median	54100	61850	69550
	Moderate	64900	74200	83500



What does the data show?



1.74 Persons Added



3.32 Persons Added

24

When was Lomita's Housing Built?

Housing Units by Year Structure Built




American Community Survey 2014-2018 5-year estimates.

The highest share of Lomita's housing units were built between 1950-1969




What does the data show?





Local Housing Facts: Population Growth Trends

Population Trend, 2000-2020



CA DOF E-5 Population and Housing Unit Estimates

production




• From 2000 through 2018, Lomita grew at an annual average growth rate of 0.1% compared to 0.7% for the region.

Growth trend was low due to minimal housing

Local Housing Facts: Renters Vs. Owners

Housing Tenure by Year Moved to Current Residence



American Community Survey 2014-2018 5-year estimates.


- Lomita's housing stock consists of 8,078 units with 47.5% owner-occupied
- Lomita has a higher percentage of renter when compared to the SCAG region
- Starting in 2000, the majority of new residents in Lomita are renters

occupied SCAG region



Local Housing Fact: Housing Stock Composition

Housing Type




CA DOF E-5 Population and Housing Unit Estimates

- The most prevalent housing type in Lomita is single-family detached with 4,194 units.
- The share of all single-family units in Lomita is 58.4%, which is lower than the 67.1% share in the SCAG region

3.5%

Affordability – Rental Rates

- Across Lomita's 4,242 renter households, 50% spend thirty percent or more of gross income on housing costs (22.8% spend over 50% of gross income on housing costs)
- In April 2020, the average cost of available 1-bedroom rentals was \$1700 per month and \$2,371 per month for 2-bedroom rentals




Spending on Rent

Percent of Income Spent on Rent



Where are we today?

- 65% of Lomita's land is designated for single family homes
- 18% of Lomita's land allows multifamily homes
- 94% of all housing was built prior to 1980



238th St W 240th St	Project Area
W 242nd Pl	Land use
	Lomita Shapefiles
11	Commercial
W 247th St	Downtown Overlay
	Industrial/Manufacturing
	Mixed Use Overlay
	None None
	NONE
	Publicly Owned Land
_	Residential (Agricultural)
	Residential (High Density)
W 256th St	Residential (Low Density)
W 257th St	Residential (Medium Density)
258th Pl W 260th St W 261st St	Systmontale
W 263rd St on ator Ave	
0.25 (0.50 1

How does housing get built?

The City of Lomita does not build housing

The private market builds housing

Public funds may be used as an incentive to provide affordable housing "restrictions" on private development (for a period of 30-55 years)

Lomita "sets the stage" for housing developers to build projects in line with the City's General Plan (including its Housing Element), zoning ordinance and other planning documents like Specific Plans

Lomita may be able to promote better development and more affordable housing by using zoning tools that incentivize quality housing at appropriate densities





Housing Types – Scale and Density

- Homes come in a variety of different scales and density
- Different people and lifestyles are attracted to different housing choices
- Communities can offer a variety of housing to meet the various needs
- Providing a range of housing options helps local employers attract a variety of people and income levels





choices needs act a variety

Housing Types








 Accessory Dwelling Unit

Single Family








Mixed Use



High Density Multi-Family

Where are the opportunities?

- Accessory and Second Dwelling Units
- Projects Currently Under Construction
- New Development Opportunity Areas
 - Lomita Blvd. West
 - Lomita Blvd. East
 - Narbonne Ave
 - · PCH/Hwy 1
 - Other Ideas



© URBANFOOTPRINT © MAPBOX © OPENSTREETMAP

Housing Questions (Live Polling)

- Where would you like to see new housing in Lomita? (select all that apply)
 - Lomita Blvd. West
 - Lomita Blvd. East
 - Narbonne Ave
 - PCH/Hwy 1



03 Discussion



Further Questions? Contact:

Sheri Repp Loadsman, Planner s.repp@lomitacity.com

Lina Hernandez, Management Analyst lina.hernandez@lomitacity.com

Thank You



EXHIBIT D

LOMITA ELEVATION 120

Lomita Safety & Housing Elements Joint Session

PRESENTED BY THE CITY OF LOMITA





Safety Element

Housing Element



05 Next Steps







Overview of Lomita's Safety and Housing Elements Update

- City began the update process in Fall 2020
- Community Workshop #1 (April 2021)
 Joint Housing and Safety Elements Workshop Video available at http://www.lomita.com/cityhall/housing-element/resources.cfm
- Community Survey (Spring 2021)
- Project website at

http://www.lomita.com/cityhall/housing-element/ http://www.lomita.com/cityhall/safety-element/


01 Safety Element



Public Engagement

- Safety Element Committee
 - 5 Working Meetings Last one scheduled for August
- Community Workshop #1 (April 2021)
- Community Survey (Spring 2021)
- City Newsletters
- Farmers Market






What does the Safety Element Address?





What are Lomita's Risks?



Adaptive Capacity



Safety Element Timeline





Phase 4

Public Hearings
Plan Adoption

Sep. – Oct. 2021

02 Housing Element



Housing Element Overview

The Housing Element is a set of goals, policies, and actions that address the housing needs of all current and anticipated residents at all income levels over eight-years (2021-2029)

Requires certification by the State Department of Housing and **Community Development for compliance with State housing** laws









Housing Element Components

Housing Needs Assessment


Fair Housing Analysis

Development Constraints and Barriers

Sites Analysis and Inventory

Goals, Policies, and Programs





03 Local Context



2020 Income Limits

• State income limits are used to determine eligibility for housing programs

Los Angeles County	Income Level	1 Person Household	2 Person Household	3 Person Household
Area	Extremely Low	23,700	27,050	30,450
Median	Very Low	39,450	45,050	50,700
Income: \$77,300	Low	63,100	75,100	81,100
<i></i>	Moderate	64,900	74,200	83,500




4 Person Household 33,800 56,300 90,100 92,750

Cost Burdened Households in Lomita


Housing cost burden is most commonly measured as the percentage of gross income spent on housing, with 30% a usual threshold for "cost burden" and 50% the threshold for "severe cost burden"

Income Level	Lomita Households by Share of Inco Housing Cost:		
	< 30%	30-50%	
< 30% HAMFI	2%	2%	
30-50% HAMFI	2%	5%	
50-80% HAMFI	9%	8%	
80-100% HAMFI	7%	3%	
> 100% HAMFI	38%	5%	
Total Households	58%	24%	



Current Housing Stock

- The most prevalent housing type in Lomita is single-family detached with 4,194 units. =
- The share of all single-family units in Lomita is 58.4%, and 34.2% consists of multifamily units •
- Lomita's housing stock consists of 8,078 units with 47.5% owner-occupied .






04 Public Engagement



Public Engagement

- Community Workshop #1 (April 2021)
- Community Survey (Spring 2021)
- City Newsletters
- Farmer's Market





O5Sites Analysis and
Inventory



Regional Housing Needs Allocation

5 th Cycle (2013-2021)			6 th Cycle (2021-2029)	
Lower Income	Moderate/Above Moderate Income	Lower Income	Moderat Moderat	
19	28	363		

829 Total RHNA Units

NOTE:

HCD requires a 15-30% buffer to ensure the City's 2021-2029 RHNA need can be achieved





Sites Analysis and Inventory

- The Sites Analysis and Inventory identifies candidate sites under existing zoning that can accommodate the City's 2021-2029 RHNA need
- Capacity Identified on Vacant and Underutilized Sites
- Lower income sites must meet additional State criteria .
 - Site size between 0.5 and 10 acres
 - Default density of 20 dwelling units per acre .



Sites Analysis and Inventory

- Underutilized Sites must meet State criteria
 - Viability of existing use: high vacancy/turnover rates, declining/marginal operations, outdated business model
 - Age and condition of structure
 - Intensity of existing land use (under-built): units on site or Floor Area Ratio •
 - Property owners/developers interest in redevelopment
 - Active development activity •



Programmatic Requirements

- Sites Previously Identified in prior Housing Element (reidentified)
 - By-right approval where 20% of units are affordable
- Shortfall Sites (rezone to meet lower-income need)
 - By-right approval where 20% of units are affordable
 - Must permit at least 16 units per site
 - Minimum density must be set at 20 du/ac
 - 100% residential permitted and 50% mandated for Mixed Use



Capacity and Credit Identified

Туре	Lower Income Units	Mod/Abo U
RHNA Units	363	4
Development Credit		220
ADU Projections	24	16
Vacant Capacity		26
Underutilized Capacity	235	28
Remaining RHNA	104	

ove Moderate Jnits

66




Sites Strategy

- The proposed candidate sites are focused in areas of the City with existing resources and transportation
- Much of the City's lower income need is planned to be accommodated in the existing Mixed Use Overlay (MUO) zone located on Narbonne Avenue and Lomita Boulevard
- A program to rezone sites is needed in order to provide for the remaining RHNA
- The MUO is proposed to be expanded to incorporate additional properties on Narbonne Avenue and Lomita Boulevard
- An alternative scenario could provide the MUO overlay zone to Pacific Coast Highway



Zoning Opportunities and Capacity





Opportunity Area Lomita East

Opportunity Area PCH

A Look at Multi-Family Building Types



Rowhome



Motorcourt





Stacked Flats

A Look at Multi-Family Building Types



Wrap



Podium





High Rise

Sample Developments



Veterans Village (51 units) 40 du/ac



Heros Landing (76 units) 49 du/ac



Union Bay (357 units) 65 du/ac



Picerne Apartments (220 units) 88 du/ac



Sample Developments

Mixed Use/Residential 30 du/acre















241st St

Cypress

S

UT SU


- Smart and Sustainable Commercial Corridors

 Channel future housing and employment into City's commercial corridors while maintaining integrity of adjacent residential neighborhoods

Narbonne Avenue MUO Corridor:

- Existing MUO zone allows for 22 du/ac
- Increase MUO to 30 du/ac
- Set minimum density at 20 du/ac
- Consider addition of property (Site #11 Former Southwest Tile location)





Lomita West MUO Corridor:

- Existing MUO zone allows for 22 du/ac
- Increase MUO to 30 du/ac
- Set minimum density at 20 du/ac
- Consider addition of property located along Crenshaw Blvd. (Site #1 – commercial center at SE corner of Lomita and Crenshaw)
- Option: Consider addition of program to establish an inclusionary housing ordinance
 - Density bonus will increase to 45 du/ac for projects with:
 - 15% very low-income units
 - 24% lower income units, or
 - 44% moderate income units
- Option: Incentive zone of 46-88 du/ac with Specific Plan including lot consolidation, affordable housing, community benefits and enhanced design





Lomita East MUO Corridor:

- Existing Commercial and Industrial/Manufacturing land use designation
- Establish MUO at 30 du/ac
- This area provides for the buffer to ensure no net loss of adequate sites and maintains capacity during the Housing Element cycle
- City of Los Angeles is proposing the area between Western Ave. and Frampton Ave. to be located in the Neighborhood Center and Medium Density land use designations allowing for pedestrian scale commercial and residential
 - FAR 1.5
 - Height Limit Base: 45'/ 3 stories





Pacific Coast Highway Corridor:

- Existing Commercial Retail zone •
- Option: Consider addition of program to establish MUO zone in conjunction with a planned update to the • General Plan (approximately 2-4 years)
- City of Los Angeles is proposing the area of Pacific Coast Highway between Western and Normandie to be • located in the Community Center land use designation allowing for commercial, residential and institutional facilities
 - FAR 1.5
 - Height Limit Base: 45'/ 3 stories
 - Height Limit Bonus: 5-7 stories



Sample Projects

Sample housing developments at 30 dwelling units per acre





Site Identification

- Sites are grouped by adjacency and numbered from 1-36
- Sites outlined in orange were previously identified
- Includes an upzone to the existing MUO and extension of MUO to Lomita Blvd. East



Upzone and Rezone Capacity Identified

	Area	Lower Income Units	Mod/Above Un
	Narbonne Ave. (Upzone to 30 du/ac and extend north)	44	25
	Lomita Blvd. West (Upzone to 30 du/ac and extend west)	90	9
N	Lomita Blvd. East (Rezone to expand MUO to set minimum density at 30 du/ac)		228
	Total Buffer	11	16



ve Moderate nits

06 Programs





Programs to Address New State Requirements for Accessory Dwelling Units)

- ADU Incentive Program
- ADU Application Approval Timelines
- ADU and JADU per lot
- ADUs permitted with Multifamily
- More flexibility for ADUs (e.g. tiny homes)



Programs to Address New State Requirements for Mixed Income and Affordable Developments

- SB 35 Streamlining
- Limited number of hearings
- Increased Density Bonuses
 - Bonus for 100% affordable of 80%
- Supportive Housing
- Low Barrier Navigation Centers





Other Key Programs Required by State Law

- No Net Loss
- Replacement Requirements
- Lot Consolidation
- Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
- Remove Constraints to Development
- Objective Design Standards
- Parking Reductions for Housing on Religious Sites



City Programs for Consideration

- Increased Ownership Housing Opportunities in Low Density Residential land use designations
 - Allow flag lots
 - Rezone to Residential Variable Density (RVD) to allow detached condominiums on oversized properties
- Missing Middle Housing
 - New ordinance to allow attached units in A1 and R1
 - Use of RVD zone to allow for duplexes and triplexes
- Inclusionary Housing Ordinance
- Authorize use of Specific Plans for greater density in Mixed Use land use designations









Housing Element Timeline

Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	
 Work with regional agency to determine housing need Initiate public outreach 	 Evaluate existing conditions Review existing plans and programs Virtual Workshop 	 Joint Session Prepare Draft Housing Element 	 Public Review State Preliminary Review Public Hearings 	
Jan – Feb 2021	Jan – May 2021	May - July 2021	July – Dec. 2021	De

Ongoing Public Engagement

Phase 5

Final State Review and Certification

Dec 2021 – Feb 2022

Steps to Final Certification

Complete Draft Housing Element

HCD Submittal and Public Review

Finalize Housing Element

Housing Element Adoption

HCD Certification





08 Discussion



Considerations for Discussion

- What are your thoughts on the areas identified for a recommended upzone or rezone?
 - Narbonne
 - Lomita West
 - Lomita East
 - PCH
- Should the City adopt an inclusionary housing ordinance in order to require affordable housing or payment of in-lieu fees?
 - Lomita Blvd West
 - Citywide
- Should the City consider amendments to permit flag lots or RVD zones to promote homeownership in low density neighborhoods?
- Should the City utilize the specific plan process to encourage lot consolidation and increased density paired with community benefits?
 - Lomita West
 - Other locations such as PCH
- Other Ideas????



Thank You

47

Exhibit E:

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT 7- OFFICE OF REGIONAL PLANNING 100 S. MAIN STREET, SUITE 100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 PHONE (213) 897-3574 FAX (213) 897-1337 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



Making Conservation a California Way of Life.

August 31, 2021

Sheri Repp Loadsman City of Lomita 24300 Narbonne Avenue, Lomita CA 90717

> RE: City of Lomita Housing Element and Safety Element Updates – Negative Declaration (ND) SCH# 2021080206 GTS# 07-LA-2021-03682 Vic. LA Multiple

Dear Sheri Repp Loadsman,

Thank you for including the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in the environmental review process for the above referenced project. The project proposes an amendment to the City of Lomita General Plan to update the Housing and Safety Elements. As required under State Law every eight years, the City of Lomita is preparing an update to the City's Housing Element. The City's existing Housing Element was adopted in 2013 and is set to expire in October 2021. The State Department of Housing and Community Development has provided a Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) to the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and the City has been assigned a RHNA of 829 units by SCAG for the upcoming 2021-2029 housing cycle across various income levels. The updated Housing Element will provide the capacity to accommodate the RHNA for the planning period with the necessary goals and policies to ensure adequate development of housing for the City during the housing cycle. The updates to the Safety Element address information and policies intended to minimize the risk to people or property from hazards within the community such as air pollution, extreme heat, flooding, geologic hazards, hazardous materials, wildfires and climate change. These elements are policy documents, and the adoption of these elements would not result in any direct or indirect physical impacts because no development or construction is authorized by this action.

After reviewing the ND, Caltrans does not expect project approval to result in a direct adverse impact to the existing State transportation facilities. However, to accommodate the additional housing units and not induce demand for excessive Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT), Caltrans recommends significantly reducing or eliminating car parking requirements. Program 27 of the proposed Housing Element update discusses this topic for specific Land Uses. This same critical analysis should be considered for all Land Use types, as research looking at the relationship between land-use, parking, and transportation indicates that car parking prioritizes driving above all other travel modes and undermines a community's ability to choose public transit and active modes of transportation. For any community or city to better support all modes of transportation

Sheri Repp Loadsman August 31, 2021 Page 2

and reduce vehicle miles traveled, we recommend the implementation of a TDM ordinance, as an alternative to requiring car parking.

If you have any questions, please contact project coordinator Anthony Higgins, at anthony.higgins@dot.ca.gov and refer to GTS# 07-LA-2021-03682.

Sincerely,

Miya Edmonson

Miya Edmonson IGR/CEQA Branch Chief

cc: State Clearinghouse



November 30, 2020

Mayor James Gazeley City of Lomita 24300 Narbonne Avenue Lomita, CA 90717

Dear Mayor Gazeley,

We are writing on behalf of **Abundant Housing LA** regarding Lomita's upcoming 6th Cycle housing element update. Abundant Housing LA is a pro-housing education and advocacy organization working to help solve Southern California's housing crisis. We support efforts to reform zoning codes and expand housing production, which are needed to reduce rents, improve access to jobs and transit, strengthen the local economy and job market, and combat segregation. We have a large and growing membership base throughout Los Angeles County.

California has a statewide housing shortage of nearly 3.5 million homes, and <u>has the highest</u> <u>poverty rate in the nation</u> after accounting for housing costs. Households at all levels of income face a historically high rent burden. Exclusionary zoning and longstanding constraints on denser housing have led to an undersupply of medium and high density housing near jobs and transit. This contributes to high rents and displacement of households.

Over the past few years, new state laws (e.g. AB 686 (2018), SB 166 (2017), AB 1397 (2017), SB 828 (2018), SB 35 (2017), etc.) have strengthened the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), which sets a housing growth target for individual jurisdictions and requires jurisdictions to update their housing elements in order to achieve these targets.

These changes to state law have led to historically high jurisdiction-level housing growth targets in the upcoming 6th Cycle Housing Element Planning Cycle, and have empowered the state Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to enforce appropriately high standards for housing element updates. We are encouraged that Lomita was given a target of **827 new homes, of which 361 must be affordable to lower-income households.**

As jurisdictions start the housing element update process, AHLA seeks to provide guidance on how jurisdictions should fulfill both the letter and the spirit of housing element law. Unfortunately, some jurisdictions are already seeking to skirt their obligation to sufficiently plan to meet their housing needs. AHLA will scrutinize jurisdictions' housing elements, submit comments to HCD as needed, and collaborate closely with nonprofits that bring legal action against jurisdictions that fail to comply with state housing laws.

Of course, AHLA recognizes that the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting economic devastation have made it more difficult for jurisdictions to meet ambitious RHNA targets. But the pandemic has made it even more critical than ever for jurisdictions to solve the region's housing crisis and



encourage economic recovery. We seek to collaborate with you and your team on policy efforts to achieve the RHNA goals.

To that end, we have published a memo, <u>Requirements and Best Practices for Housing</u> <u>Element Updates: The Site Inventory</u>, explaining the key legal requirements, as well as HCD and AHLA's recommended best practices, for housing element updates. Additionally, <u>this</u> <u>checklist provides a summary of our core policy recommendations</u>. We respectfully encourage you to incorporate the concepts detailed in these documents into Lomita's housing element update.

As your team begins to develop Lomita's housing element update, we would like to draw particular attention to four critical components of the site inventory analysis:

- 1. Incorporating an estimate of the **likelihood of development** and the **net new units if developed** of inventory sites
- 2. Using an HCD-recommended "safe harbor" methodology for **forecasting future ADU production**
- 3. Prioritizing high-opportunity census tracts and well-resourced areas (e.g. near transit, jobs, schools, parks, etc.) when selecting sites for lower-income housing opportunities, in order to **affirmatively further fair housing**
- 4. Including the HCD-recommended buffer of at least 15-30% extra capacity in the site inventory, in order to **avoid violating the No Net Loss requirement**

<u>Component #1</u>: Housing elements should estimate and report both the likelihood of development and the net new units if developed of inventory sites.

Just because jurisdictions zone for more housing doesn't mean that the housing will actually be built. The economic cycle, uncertainty of market conditions, the current usage of nonvacant sites, and land use regulations all influence the extent to which rezoned parcels are built to their maximum theoretical capacity.

A parcel's maximum theoretical capacity is not the same as its realistic capacity. To draw a parallel to college admissions, when UCLA wants 2,000 students in its incoming class, they admit 4,000 students. Similarly, to achieve housing production targets, jurisdictions must increase zoned capacity well above the target number of new homes.

An accurate assessment of site capacity is necessary in order for the housing element to achieve sufficient housing production. The site capacity estimate should account for the following **two factors**:

- What is the likelihood that the site will be developed during the planning period?
- If the site were to be developed during the planning period, how many net new units of housing are likely to be built on it?



These are the **likelihood of development**¹ and **net new units if developed**² factors, as required by HCD guidelines. The portion of the jurisdiction's RHNA target that a site will realistically accommodate during the planning period is:

(likelihood of development) x (net new units if developed) = realistic capacity.

In past planning cycles, the likelihood of development factor was not expressly considered; housing elements frequently assumed that most or all site inventory locations would be redeveloped to their maximum theoretical capacity. Since this generally did not happen, jurisdictions consistently fell short of their RHNA targets as a result. While Lomita achieved its 5th cycle RHNA targets for very low, low, and moderate income housing, this is because the 5th cycle RHNA goal was extremely low -- just 27 homes!

Income Bucket	RHNA Target	Homes Permitted
VLI	12	0
LI	7	9
MI	8	35
AMI	20	61
Total	47	105

5th Cycle RHNA Targets vs. Actual Housing Production (2014-19)

According to Lomita's 5th cycle housing element, the city had theoretical capacity for roughly 290 more housing units.³ Through 2019, Lomita permitted 105 housing units⁴, which equates to 140 housing units permitted by the end of the 5th cycle (assuming that the same annual permitting pace continues in 2020 and 2021). This implies that in Lomita, excess zoned capacity has a **48% likelihood of being developed** (140 actual units divided by 290 theoretical units).

Lomita's 6th cycle housing element should incorporate this likelihood of development estimate into its site inventory analysis. This would be consistent with HCD guidelines,⁵ while also ensuring that enough zoned capacity is available to encourage 827 housing units to be built by the end of the 6th cycle. Assuming that zoned capacity has a 48% likelihood of being developed in the next 8 years, **the housing element must allow for 1,723 units of zoned capacity in order to achieve 827 actual housing units.** If Planning believes that a higher likelihood of

¹ <u>HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 20</u>

² HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 21

³ Lomita 5th Cycle Housing Element

⁴ HCD Annual Progress Report dataset, 2020

⁵ HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 20



development (and thus a smaller zoned capacity increase) is justified for certain parcels in the site inventory, persuasive data to support this assumption must be provided.⁶

<u>Component #2</u>: Housing element updates should use an HCD-recommended "safe harbor" methodology for forecasting future ADU production.

Local jurisdictions frequently use overly optimistic estimates of future ADU production to avoid necessary housing reform and rezoning. ADU development estimates must reflect actual on-the-ground conditions to ensure that they are realistic. Overly aggressive ADU production estimates set jurisdictions up for failure in providing the required housing for residents.

To that end, HCD has established two safe harbors for forecasting ADU production during the 6th Cycle⁷. One option ("Option #1") is to project forward the local trend in ADU construction since January 2018. The other, for use when no other data is available ("Option #2"), assumes ADU production at five times the local rate of production prior to 2018. Jurisdictions are also permitted to include programs that aggressively promote and incentivize ADU construction.

Where no other data is available, jurisdictions may assume an average increase of five times the previous planning period construction trends prior to 2018. Jurisdictions may also use regional ADU production trends, and include programs that aggressively promote and incentivize ADU construction. Jurisdictions should clearly and explicitly state their methodology and data sources for future ADU development forecasts.

According to HCD, Lomita issued permits for 2 ADUs in 2017, 3 ADUs in 2018, and 7 ADUs in 2019. Under HCD's "Option #1", Lomita could take the average of the 2018 and 2019 ADU production trends, and forecast that 5 ADUs will be permitted per year during the 6th cycle. This would allow for a **total 6th cycle forecast of 40 ADUs**.

Under HCD's "Option #2", Lomita could multiply the 2017 ADU production trend by five, and forecast that 10 ADUs will be permitted per year during the 6th cycle. This would allow for a **total 6th cycle forecast of 80 ADUs**.

Another, more aggressive, option would take the average of the 2018 and 2019 ADU production trends, and multiply that average by five. This methodology would forecast that 25 ADUs will be permitted per year during the 6th cycle. This would allow for a **total 6th cycle forecast of 200 ADUs.** Abundant Housing LA does not recommend this methodology, since it is not an HCD-defined safe harbor forecasting option.

Lomita should use HCD's Option 1 or 2 safe harbor when projecting annual ADU production. If it believes that higher ADU production forecasts are warranted, it must provide

⁶ HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 20-21

⁷ HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 31



well-grounded estimates, based on the pace of ADU production in neighboring jurisdictions, and must explain programs or policy efforts that could lead to higher ADU production.

Finally, per HCD, the housing element "should also include a monitoring program that a) tracks ADU and JADU creation and affordability levels, and b) commits to a review at the planning cycle midpoint to evaluate if production estimates are being achieved."⁸ Lomita's housing element should commit to mid-cycle rezoning if ADU production is lower than forecasted, and its midpoint review should be linked with immediate and automatic programs to increase housing production in the second half of the RHNA cycle. AHLA's recommended approach is to incorporate by-right density bonuses on inventory sites, which would automatically take effect mid-cycle if the ADU target is not met. The density bonus should be large enough, and apply to enough parcels, to fully make up for any ADU production shortfall.

<u>Component</u> #3: Housing elements must prioritize high-opportunity census tracts and well-resourced areas (e.g. near transit, jobs, schools, parks, etc.) when selecting sites for lower-income housing opportunities, in order to affirmatively further fair housing.

AB 686 (2018) requires housing element updates to "affirmatively further fair housing", which is defined as "taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and fosters inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics."

In our region, housing policy and land use regulations were once used to exclude members of minority groups. <u>Redlining and restrictive covenants</u>, which restricted where Black Americans could live, were once commonplace in Lomita and throughout Los Angeles County. Thankfully, Lomita is much more welcoming today; in fact, 31% of the city's population is Latino and 17% is Asian-American.⁹ However, exclusion continues on the basis of income: the median home sale price in Lomita was \$617,000 in 2018¹⁰, and 50% of the city's renters are "rent-burdened" (i.e. they spend more than 30% of their income on rent)¹¹. High housing costs place a disproportionate burden on lower-income communities of color, and have the effect of excluding them from the city altogether.

Jurisdictions must address this issue by accommodating the lower-income RHNA targets in a way that conforms with AFFH requirements. HCD's Site Inventory Guidebook offers recommendations for **how** jurisdictions should accomplish this. HCD is **likely to require jurisdictions to distribute lower-income housing opportunities throughout the jurisdiction**, and recommends that jurisdictions first identify development potential for lower-income housing in high-opportunity neighborhoods¹².

⁸ HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 31

⁹ American Community Survey, 2014-18

¹⁰ SCAG Pre-Certified Local Housing Data, Lomita

¹¹ American Community Survey, 2014-18

¹² HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 3



Given that single-family, exclusionary zoning predominates in Lomita's high-opportunity census tracts (as defined in the TCAC/HCD Opportunity Map), rezoning is required in order to accommodate the RHNA targets for lower-income households. Additionally, focusing rezoning in single-family zoned areas will expand housing opportunities while minimizing the impact on existing renters in multifamily-zoned areas.

In order to fairly distribute housing opportunities citywide, Lomita should develop a quantitative methodology for scoring neighborhoods, based on factors like housing costs, median income, access to transit, access to jobs, access to schools, and environmental quality. Neighborhoods that score higher on these dimensions should be allocated higher housing growth targets, and rezoning should be based on these neighborhood-level housing growth targets.

Finally, Lomita should identify funding sources, public resources, and density bonus programs to maximize the likelihood that housing projects with below market-rate units are actually built. Local measures like a <u>real estate transfer tax</u> and <u>congestion pricing</u> could help generate new funding to support affordable housing production and preservation.

<u>Component #4</u>: Housing elements should include the HCD-recommended buffer of at least 15-30% extra capacity in the site inventory, in order to avoid violating the No Net Loss requirement.

SB 166 (2017) requires adequate sites to be maintained **at all times** throughout the planning period to accommodate the remaining RHNA target by each income category.¹³ This means that if a jurisdiction approves a development on a parcel listed in the site inventory that will have fewer units (either in total or at a given income level) than the number of units (either in total or at a given income level) than the jurisdiction must identify and make available enough sites to accommodate the remaining unmet RHNA target for each income category.¹⁴

If additional sites with adequate zoned capacity don't exist, then the jurisdiction must rezone enough sites to accommodate the remaining unmet RHNA target within 180 days. If the jurisdiction fails to accomplish this rezoning in the required period, then the consequences will include decertification of the housing element and potential state legal action.

To ensure that adequate housing capacity at all income levels exists in the housing element through the 6th Cycle, HCD recommends that "the jurisdiction create a buffer in the housing element inventory of at least 15-30% more capacity than required, especially for capacity to accommodate the lower income RHNA."¹⁵ Lomita should "overshoot" on total site capacity

¹³ HCD <u>No Net Loss Law Memo</u>, pg. 1

¹⁴ HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 22

¹⁵ HCD Site Inventory Guidebook, pg. 22



for each income level, in order to ensure that the City's RHNA target is achieved at all income levels.

The City of Lomita has an obligation to sufficiently plan to meet current and future residents' housing needs. The housing element update affords Lomita, and the broader Southern California region, the chance to take bold action on lowering housing costs, reducing car dependency, strengthening the local economy, and guaranteeing access to opportunity for Californians of all racial and ethnic backgrounds. We urge you and your colleagues to fully embrace this opportunity to transform Lomita for the better.

Finally, it is worth noting that state law imposes penalties on jurisdictions that fail to adopt a compliant 6th cycle housing element update by October 15, 2021. On that date, noncompliant jurisdictions will forfeit the right to deny residential projects on the basis of local zoning, so long as projects include at least a 20% set-aside for below market-rate units¹⁶. Jurisdictions that want to maintain local control over new development should therefore plan to adopt a compliant housing element update on time.

We would be glad to engage with your office and with the Planning Department throughout the housing element update process. We look forward to a productive and collaborative working relationship with the City of Lomita on this critical effort. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Leonora Camner Executive Director Abundant Housing LA Anthony Dedousis Director of Policy and Research Abundant Housing LA

¹⁶ California Government Code 65589.5(d)(5)